Developing the theoretical basis for service user/surviv involvement in research

Epidemiologia E Psichiatria Sociale 14, 4-9 DOI: 10.1017/s1121189x0000186x

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Ethics of Survivor Research: Guidelines for the Ethical Conduct of Research Carried Out by Mental Service Users and Survivors Alison Faulkner, Bristol: Policy Press, 2004, £9.95, 56 pp. ISBN: 1-86134-641-7. Psychiatric Bulletin, 2005, 29, 398-398.	0.3	0
2	Growing recognition of the importance of service user involvement in mental health service planning and evaluation. Epidemiologia E Psichiatria Sociale, 2005, 14, 1-3.	1.0	163
4	Evaluating a community-based mental health service focusing on severe mental illness. The Verona experience. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 2006, 113, 90-94.	2.2	48
5	Service users' views of self-help strategies and research in the UK. Journal of Mental Health, 2007, 16, 795-805.	1.0	23
6	Assessing the outcome of communityâ€based psychiatric care: building a feedback loop from â€~real world' health services research into clinical practice. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 2007, 116, 6-15.	2.2	33
7	<i>â€~l know it is only exercise, but to me it is something that keeps me going'</i> : A qualitative approach to understanding mental health service users' experiences of sports therapy. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 2008, 17, 197-207.	2.1	68
8	Involving people affected by cancer in research: a review of literature. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2008, 17, 233-244.	0.7	35
10	Assessing research and involving people using health and social care services: addressing the tensions. Evidence and Policy, 2008, 4, 251-262.	0.5	2
11	Clinical psychology service users' experiences of confidentiality and informed consent: A qualitative analysis. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 2009, 82, 355-368.	1.3	12
12	Doing research together: bringing down barriers through the â€~coproduction' of personality disorder research. Mental Health Review Journal, 2010, 15, 29-35.	0.3	5
13	"Staying native― coproduction in mental health services research. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 2010, 23, 567-577.	1.2	33
14	User involvement in inâ€patient mental health services: operationalisation, empirical testing, and validation. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2010, 19, 1897-1907.	1.4	14
15	†What difference does it make?' Finding evidence of the impact of mental health service user researchers on research into the experiences of detained psychiatric patients. Health Expectations, 2010, 13, 185-194.	1.1	89
16	Working with lay people in health service research: A model of co-evolution based on complexity theory. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 2010, 24, 31-40.	0.8	9
17	Public involvement at the design stage of primary health research: A narrative review of case examples. Health Policy, 2010, 95, 10-23.	1.4	188
18	Public involvement in the systematic review process in health and social care: A narrative review of case examples. Health Policy, 2011, 102, 105-116.	1.4	92
19	Evaluating the impact of service user involvement on research: a prospective case study. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 2011, 35, 609-615.	7.2	86
20	Talking about living and dying with the oldest old: public involvement in a study on end of life care in care homes. BMC Palliative Care, 2011, 10, 20.	0.8	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
21	Producing different analytical narratives, coproducing integrated analytical narrative: a qualitative study of UK detained mental health patient experience involving service user researchers. International Journal of Social Research Methodology: Theory and Practice, 2012, 15, 239-254.	2.3	28
22	Troubling the field of service user involvement in research. Contemporary Social Science, 2012, 7, 73-89.	1.0	9
23	Patient and Public Involvement in the Coproduction of Knowledge. Qualitative Health Research, 2012, 22, 1126-1137.	1.0	179
24	Working with Interpreters in Qualitative Psychological Research: Methodological and Ethical Issues. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 2012, 9, 75-87.	9.4	17
25	Victimized again? intersectionality and injustice in disabled women's lives after hate crime and rape. Advances in Gender Research, 2013, , 17-51.	0.2	15
26	Mental health service user involvement in research: where have we come from, where are we going?. Journal of Public Mental Health, 2013, 12, 122-135.	0.8	29
27	Setting up a mental health service user research group: a process paper. Journal of Research in Nursing, 2013, 18, 746-759.	0.3	12
29	The Engaged Academic: Academic Intellectuals and the Psychiatric Survivor Movement. Social Movement Studies, 2013, 12, 138-154.	1.8	30
30	Mobilising the experiential knowledge of clinicians, patients and carers for applied health-care research. Contemporary Social Science, 2013, 8, 307-320.	1.0	22
31	Les pairs-assistants de rechercheÂ: pour favoriser l'évolution d'un statut de patients vers celui de citoyens à part entière. Drogues, Santé Et Société, 0, 12, 57-78.	0.1	7
32	Consumer involvement in health research: a <scp>UK</scp> scoping and survey. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 2014, 38, 35-44.	7.2	59
33	"Walking alongside:―collaborative practices in mental health and substance use care. International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 2014, 8, 55.	1.1	67
34	â€~But is it a question worth asking?' A reflective case study describing how public involvement can lead to researchers' ideas being abandoned. Health Expectations, 2014, 17, 440-451.	1.1	30
35	Toward a Safer Working Environment on Psychiatric Wards: Service Users' Delayed Perspectives of Aggression and Violence-Related Situations and Development Ideas. Perspectives in Psychiatric Care, 2014, 50, 271-279.	0.9	21
36	Elimination and selection by aspects in health choice experiments: Prioritising health service innovations. Journal of Health Economics, 2014, 38, 10-22.	1.3	26
37	Partners in projects: Preparing for public involvement in health and social care research. Health Policy, 2014, 117, 399-408.	1.4	27
38	Two Methods for Engaging with the Community in Setting Priorities for Child Health Research: Who Engages?. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0125969.	1.1	3
39	â€~Talking the talk or walking the walk?' A bibliometric review of the literature on public involvement in health research published between 1995 and 2009. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 44-57.	1.1	149

	CITATION	Report	
#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
40	Public involvement in research within care homes: benefits and challenges in the <scp>APPROACH</scp> study. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 1336-1345.	1.1	11
41	Endâ€user involvement in a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative research of nonâ€pharmacological interventions for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder delivered in school settings: reflections on the impacts and challenges. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 1084-1097.	1.1	17
42	"Sorting things out together― Young adults' experiences of collaborative practices in mental health and substance use care. American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation, 2017, 20, 126-142.	0.7	25
43	Involving the public in mental health and learning disability research: Can we, should we, do we?. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 2017, 24, 570-579.	1.2	23
44	Involving Service Users with Intellectual Disability in Research: Experiences from the <scp>STOP</scp> Diabetes Study. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities, 2017, 14, 255-261.	1.7	13
45	Service user involvement enhanced the research quality in a study using interpretative phenomenological analysis – the power of multiple perspectives. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2017, 73, 265-278.	1.5	50
46	StreetWise: developing a serious game to support forensic mental health service users' preparation for discharge: a feasibility study. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 2017, 24, 185-193.	1.2	10
48	Care leavers' involvement in research: An ethnographic case study on impact. Qualitative Social Work, 2018, 17, 133-151.	0.9	10
49	Alzheimer Europe's position on involving people with dementia in research through PPI (patient and) Tj ETQqO	0 0 rgBT /C)verlack 10 Tf
50	The nature and extent of service user involvement in critical care research and quality improvement: A scoping review of the literature. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 2018, 42, 217-231.	7.2	11
51	Uncovering the mechanisms of research capacity development in health and social care: a realist synthesis. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2018, 16, 93.	1.1	48
52	Using Garden Cafés to engage community stakeholders in health research. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0200483.	1.1	10
54	Healing the heart of recovery. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 2018, 64, 660-667.	1.6	7
55	Reciprocal relationships and the importance of feedback in patient and public involvement: A mixed methods study. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 899-908.	1.1	58
56	Salutogenic service user involvement in nursing research: A case study. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2018, 74, 2145-2156.	1.5	10
5 7	Turning the Tables: Power Relations Between Consumer Researchers and Other Mental Health Researchers. Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 2018, 39, 633-640.	0.6	11
58	†Outside the Original Remit': Coâ€production in UK mental health research, lessons from the field. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 2018, 27, 1273-1281.	2.1	48
59	When Madness Meets Madness: Insider Reflections on Doing Mental Health Research. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, The, 2019, 18, 160940691983535.	1.3	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
60	Learning as an outcome of involvement in research: what are the implications for practice, reporting and evaluation?. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2019, 5, 14.	1.1	77
61	Understanding and using patient experiences as evidence in healthcare priority setting. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2019, 17, 20.	0.6	37
62	Co-Production: An Ethical Model for Mental Health Research?. American Journal of Bioethics, 2019, 19, 49-51.	0.5	12
63	â€~We're passengers sailing in the same ship, but we have our own berths to sleep in': Evaluating patient and public involvement within a regional research programme: An action research project informed by Normalisation Process Theory. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0215953.	1.1	18
64	The dark side of coproduction: do the costs outweigh the benefits for health research?. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2019, 17, 33.	1.1	364
65	Questioning the professionalization of recovery: a collaborative exploration of a recovery process. Disability and Society, 2019, 34, 797-818.	1.4	18
66	Perspectives of Patients With Mental Illness on How to Better Teach and Evaluate Diversity Education in the National Health Service. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2019, 39, 92-102.	0.4	4
67	Engaging experience: mobilising personal encounters with mental ill-health in social science. Social Theory and Health, 2020, 18, 103-109.	1.0	1
68	From monologue to dialogue in mental health care research: reflections on a collaborative research process. Disability and Society, 2020, 35, 1274-1289.	1.4	11
69	Considering a participatory approach to social work – Service user research. Qualitative Social Work, 2020, 19, 1078-1094.	0.9	12
70	Unregulated Health Research Using Mobile Devices: Ethical Considerations and Policy Recommendations. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2020, 48, 196-226.	0.4	25
71	"lt's a life you're playing with†A qualitative study on experiences of NHS maternity services among undocumented migrant women in England. Social Science and Medicine, 2021, 270, 113610.	1.8	17
72	â€~Dignity and respect': An example of service user leadership and coâ€production in mental health research. Health Expectations, 2021, 24, 10-19.	1.1	17
73	Knowing Our Own Minds: Transforming the Knowledge Base of Madness and Distress. Mental Health in Historical Perspective, 2021, , 333-357.	0.5	1
74	Experiences of living with mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK: a coproduced, participatory qualitative interview study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 2021, 56, 1447-1457.	1.6	71
75	Involving an individual with livedâ€experience in a coâ€analysis of qualitative data. Health Expectations, 2021, 24, 766-775.	1.1	19
76	Involving older people in co-designing an intervention to reverse frailty and build resilience. Family Practice, 2022, 39, 200-206.	0.8	4
77	Missing Hero: Coâ€Producing Change in Social Housing Programmes. Social Inclusion, 2021, 9, 234-244.	0.6	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
78	Participatory research in a pandemic: The impact of Covid-19 on co-designing research with autistic people. Qualitative Social Work, 2022, 21, 869-879.	0.9	6
79	An occupational justice perspective on playing football and living with mental distress. Journal of Occupational Science, 2021, 28, 159-172.	0.7	6
80	"Home Thoughts from Abroadâ€: Reflections on the History of Participatory Health Research in the UK. , 2018, , 255-270.		1
81	Why and how to use patient-oriented research to promote translational research Families, Systems and Health, 2019, 37, 1-9.	0.4	8
82	"We Are Not Really Marketing Mental Health― Mental Health Advocacy in Zimbabwe. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0161860.	1.1	15
84	Public Involvement in the Design and Conduct of Clinical Trials: A Review. International Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Sciences, 2011, 5, 91-112.	0.1	23
85	Involving service users in Births and their outcomes: a retrospective birth cohort data linkage study analysing daily, weekly and yearly cycles and their implications for the NHS. International Journal of Population Data Science, 2020, 5, 1366.	0.1	5
86	ReseArch with Patient and Public invOlvement: a RealisT evaluation – the RAPPORT study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2015, 3, 1-176.	1.4	143
87	The contribution of the voluntary sector to mental health crisis care: a mixed-methods study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2020, 8, 1-200.	1.4	18
88	Screening for glucose intolerance and development of a lifestyle education programme for prevention of type 2 diabetes in a population with intellectual disabilities: the STOP Diabetes research project. Programme Grants for Applied Research, 2017, 5, 1-316.	0.4	11
89	Establishing the Rationale for, and Practice of, User Research at the Humber Mental Health Teaching Trust. Mental Health and Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, 2006, 3, 193-197.	0.0	0
90	Betydning av oppl¦ring i brukarinvolvert forsking - Kunnskap frå Storbritannia, Italia og Norge. Tidsskrift for Psykisk Helsearbeid, 2015, 12, 18-26.	0.0	0
91	Patient-Centred Care in the Nordic Countries. , 2016, , 27-42.		0
92	Care home residents' quality of life and its association with CQC ratings and workforce issues: the MiCareHQ mixed-methods study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2021, 9, 1-188.	1.4	9
94	â€~It's like having a core belief that's able to speak back to you': Therapist accounts of dialoguing with auditory hallucinations. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 2022, 95, 295-312.	1 1.3	5
95	A systematic review that evaluates the extent and quality of involving childhood abuse survivors in shaping, conducting and disseminating research in the UK. Research for All, 2022, 6, .	0.1	2
96	Co-production: A resource to guide co-producing research in the sport, exercise, and health sciences. Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 2023, 15, 159-187.	3.3	70
97	Strengthening Review and Publication of Participatory Mental Health Research to Promote Empowerment and Prevent Co-optation. Psychiatric Services, 2023, 74, 166-172.	1.1	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
98	The value of compassionate support to address smoking: A qualitative study with people who experience severe mental illness. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 0, 13, .	1.3	1
99	Standpoint Theory and the Psy Sciences: Can Marginalization and Critical Engagement Lead to an Epistemic Advantage?. Hypatia, 2022, 37, 659-687.	0.5	1
100	La proximité épistémique comme concept clé pour penser la notion d'allié.e dans les recherches er travail social auprès des groupes marginalisés. Nouvelles Pratiques Sociales, 0, 33, 134-153.	¹ 0.1	0
101	The Secure Quality Involvement (SeQuIn) tool: benchmarking co-production in secure services. Journal of Forensic Practice, 2023, 25, 98-113.	0.2	0