

Psychological impact and cosmetic outcome of surgical

ANZ Journal of Surgery

75, 940-947

DOI: [10.1111/j.1445-2197.2005.03517.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-2197.2005.03517.x)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Recovery Issues in Cancer Survivorship. <i>Cancer Journal (Sudbury, Mass)</i> , 2006, 12, 432-443.	1.0	190
2	Risk Factors and Blood Flow in the Free Transverse Rectus Abdominis (TRAM) Flap. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2007, 59, 364-371.	0.5	23
3	Should adjuvant radiotherapy to the supraclavicular fossa be routinely given in patients with breast conservative treatment?. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2007, 96, 144-150.	0.8	12
4	Patient-reported outcomes. How important are they?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2007, 21, 503-507.	1.3	36
5	Quality of life over 5 years in women with breast cancer after breast-conserving therapy versus mastectomy: a population-based study. <i>Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2008, 134, 1311-1318.	1.2	167
6	Correlates of the desire for improved cosmetic results after breast-conserving therapy and mastectomy in breast cancer patients. <i>Breast</i> , 2008, 17, 640-645.	0.9	16
7	Defining the Role for Autologous Breast Reconstruction After Mastectomy: Social and Oncologic Implications. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2008, 8, 134-142.	1.1	43
9	Breast Reconstruction after Surgery for Breast Cancer. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2008, 359, 1590-1601.	13.9	333
10	Have We Lost Sight of the Mirrors?. <i>Holistic Nursing Practice</i> , 2008, 22, 317-323.	0.3	15
11	Prospective Analysis of Long-term Psychosocial Outcomes in Breast Reconstruction. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2008, 247, 1019-1028.	2.1	299
12	Impact of Breast Cancer Surgery on the Self-Esteem and Sexual Life of Female Patients. <i>Journal of International Medical Research</i> , 2009, 37, 182-188.	0.4	124
13	Patient-Reported Outcomes of Breast Reconstruction after Mastectomy: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2009, 209, 123-133.	0.2	175
14	Does nipple preservation in mastectomy improve satisfaction with cosmetic results, psychological adjustment, body image and sexuality?. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2009, 118, 623-633.	1.1	253
15	Breast-Conservation Treatment Outcomes: A Community Hospital's Experience. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2009, 15, 76-84.	0.4	6
16	Patient-Reported Aesthetic Satisfaction with Breast Reconstruction during the Long-Term Survivorship Period. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2009, 124, 1-8.	0.7	300
17	Quality-of-Life and Self-Esteem Outcomes after Oncoplastic Breast-Conserving Surgery [Outcomes Article]. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2010, 125, 811-817.	0.7	118
18	A Systematic Review of the Clinical Evidence to Guide Treatment Recommendations in Breast Reconstruction Based on Patient- Reported Outcome Measures and Health-Related Quality of Life. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2010, 252, 929-942.	2.1	102
19	Quality of life and satisfaction after breast cancer operation. <i>Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2010, 282, 75-82.	0.8	78

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Aesthetic and functional results after breast conserving surgery as correlates of quality of life measured by a German version of the Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS). <i>Breast</i> , 2010, 19, 470-474.	0.9	52
21	Body Image Perception and Quality of Life in Patients who Underwent Breast Surgery. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2010, 76, 1000-1005.	0.4	20
22	Depression and conservative surgery for breast cancer. <i>Clinics</i> , 2010, 65, 1291-1294.	0.6	11
23	Experiences with a specific screening instrument to identify psychosocial support needs in breast cancer patients. <i>European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology</i> , 2010, 148, 166-171.	0.5	19
24	Evaluation of quality of life after breast reconstruction using an autologous latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2010, 36, 520-527.	0.5	24
25	Quality of Life in Taiwanese Breast Cancer Survivors With Breast-conserving Therapy. <i>Journal of the Formosan Medical Association</i> , 2010, 109, 493-502.	0.8	19
26	A single institution experience with skin sparing mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction. <i>Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England</i> , 2011, 93, 382-384.	0.3	4
28	Patient Satisfaction in Unilateral and Bilateral Breast Reconstruction [Outcomes Article]. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2011, 127, 1417-1424.	0.7	89
29	Immediate Single-Stage Reconstruction of the Breast Utilizing FlexHD and Implant following Skin-Sparing Mastectomy. <i>The American Journal of Cosmetic Surgery</i> , 2011, 28, 145-155.	0.1	2
30	Effects of breast cancer surgery and surgical side effects on body image over time. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2011, 126, 167-176.	1.1	141
31	Long-Term Clinical and Cosmetic Outcomes After Breast Conservation Treatment for Women With Early-Stage Breast Carcinoma According to the Type of Breast Boost. <i>International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics</i> , 2011, 79, 1048-1054.	0.4	33
32	Breast conserving surgery for breast cancer: Single institutional experience from Southern India. <i>Indian Journal of Cancer</i> , 2011, 48, 415.	0.2	11
34	The Effects of Hysterectomy on Body Image, Self-Esteem, and Marital Adjustment in Turkish Women With Gynecologic Cancer. <i>Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2012, 16, E99-E104.	0.3	31
35	Conservative mastectomy: extending the idea of breast conservation. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2012, 13, e311-e317.	5.1	45
36	When Treatment Affects Appearance. , 0, , 414-438.		5
37	Do Reexcisions Impair Aesthetic Outcome in Breast Conservation Surgery? Exploratory Analysis of a Prospective Cohort Study. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2012, 19, 541-547.	0.7	51
38	Quality of life among Egyptian women with breast cancer after sparing mastectomy and immediate autologous breast reconstruction: a comparative study. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2012, 133, 537-544.	1.1	17
39	Why do women accept to undergo a nipple sparing mastectomy or to reconstruct the nipple areola complex when nipple sparing mastectomy is not possible?. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2012, 132, 1177-1184.	1.1	41

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
41	Breast reconstruction following mastectomy for invasive breast cancer is strongly influenced by demographic factors in women in Victoria, Australia. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 394-400.	0.9	23
42	Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation for Early-Stage Breast Cancer: Controversies and Current Indications for Use. <i>Current Treatment Options in Oncology</i> , 2013, 14, 51-65.	1.3	7
43	The impact of breast cosmetic and functional outcomes on quality of life: long-term results from the St. George and Wollongong randomized breast boost trial. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2013, 139, 115-123.	1.1	59
44	Psychosexual Functioning and Body Image Following a Diagnosis of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Journal of Sexual Medicine</i> , 2013, 10, 370-377.	0.3	20
45	The effect of breast reconstruction surgery on body image among women after mastectomy: a meta-analysis. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2013, 137, 13-21.	1.1	95
46	Aspects of body image after mastectomy due to breast cancer – A two-year follow-up study. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2013, 17, 340-345.	0.9	57
47	The option of delayed reconstructive surgery following mastectomy for invasive breast cancer: Why do so few patients embrace this offer?. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2013, 39, 36-43.	0.5	18
49	Partner relationships after mastectomy in women not offered breast reconstruction. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2013, 22, 1653-1657.	1.0	38
50	Feeling like me again: a grounded theory of the role of breast reconstruction surgery in self-image. <i>European Journal of Cancer Care</i> , 2013, 22, 493-502.	0.7	31
51	A Paradigm Shift in U.S. Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 131, 15-23.	0.7	745
52	An Assessment of the Risks and Benefits of Immediate Autologous Breast Reconstruction in Patients Undergoing Postmastectomy Radiation Therapy. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2013, 71, 149-155.	0.5	53
53	Breast reconstruction after mastectomy among Department of Defense beneficiaries by race. <i>Cancer</i> , 2014, 120, 3033-3039.	2.0	25
54	Does Breast Reconstruction Impact the Decision of Patients to Pursue Cosmetic Surgery?. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2014, 73, S144-S148.	0.5	2
55	Comprehensive Breast Reconstruction in an Academic Surgical Practice. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2014, 134, 1131-1139.	0.7	35
56	A Meta-Analysis Comparing Breast Conservation Therapy Alone to the Oncoplastic Technique. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2014, 72, 145-149.	0.5	276
57	Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Image Discomfort, and Depressive Symptoms Among Breast Cancer Survivors in Taiwan. <i>Psychology of Women Quarterly</i> , 2014, 38, 563-574.	1.3	32
58	Quality of life and anxiety of patients affected by the PIP/Rofil Medical breast implant recall: results from a prospective monocentre cohort study. <i>Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2014, 290, 957-962.	0.8	0
61	Psychological distress in women with breast and gynecological cancer treated with radical surgery. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2014, 23, 459-466.	1.0	44

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
62	Quality of Life and Religious Coping in Women with Breast Cancer. <i>Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences</i> , 2014, 114, 322-326.	0.5	17
63	Clinical Outcome of Reconstruction With Tissue Expanders for Patients With Breast Cancer and Mastectomy. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2014, 14, 339-345.	1.1	14
64	Patient-Reported Quality of Life After Breast Reconstruction. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2015, 75, 144-148.	0.5	15
65	The Impact of Body Image and Self-Perceived Physical Ability on the Well-Being after Mastectomy without Reconstruction. <i>Jurnalul De Chirurgie</i> , 2015, 11, .	0.0	2
66	Systematic Review: Aesthetic Assessment of Breast Reconstruction Outcomes by Healthcare Professionals. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 22, 4305-4316.	0.7	25
67	Advanced Age Is a Predictor of 30-Day Complications after Autologous but Not Implant-Based Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2015, 135, 253e-261e.	0.7	53
68	Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Reconstructive Breast Surgery. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2015, 135, 479e-490e.	0.7	6
69	Systematic review of effects of pregnancy on breast and abdominal contour after TRAM/DIEP breast reconstruction in breast cancer survivors. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2015, 152, 9-15.	1.1	14
70	Body image in adult women: moving beyond the younger years. <i>Advances in Eating Disorders (Abingdon, England)</i> , 2015, 3, 144-164.	0.8	77
71	Obesity Should Not Prevent from TRAM Flap Breast Reconstruction in Developing Countries. <i>Indian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 77, 341-344.	0.2	2
72	Radiation and breast reconstruction: Algorithmic approach and evidence-based outcomes. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 113, 906-912.	0.8	44
73	A 35-Year Evolution of Free Flap-Based Breast Reconstruction at a Large Urban Academic Center. <i>Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery</i> , 2016, 32, 147-152.	1.0	9
74	Single dose IOERT versus whole breast irradiation. <i>Strahlentherapie Und Onkologie</i> , 2016, 192, 705-713.	1.0	5
75	Distance to a Plastic Surgeon and Type of Insurance Plan Are Independently Predictive of Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2016, 138, 203e-211e.	0.7	63
76	Breast Reconstruction Post Mastectomy. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2016, 76, 640-644.	0.5	92
77	Exploring novel dimensions of body experience after breast reconstruction. <i>JPRAS Open</i> , 2016, 7, 32-41.	0.4	1
78	Identification of complications in mastectomy with immediate reconstruction using tissue expanders and permanent implants for breast cancer patients. <i>Breast Cancer</i> , 2016, 23, 400-406.	1.3	22
79	The Effects of Surgery Type and Chemotherapy on Early-Stage Breast Cancer Patientsâ€™ Quality of Life Over 2-Year Follow-up. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 735-743.	0.7	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
80	Characterization of an Acellular Scaffold for a Tissue Engineering Approach to the Nipple-Areolar Complex Reconstruction. <i>Cells Tissues Organs</i> , 2017, 203, 183-193.	1.3	43
81	The impact of mastectomy type on the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI), satisfaction with appearance, and the reconstructed breast's role in intimacy. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 163, 273-279.	1.1	19
82	Patient-centered outcomes of breast reconstruction in the setting of post-mastectomy radiotherapy: A comprehensive review of the literature. <i>Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery</i> , 2017, 70, 768-780.	0.5	36
83	Quality of life after mastectomy with or without immediate breast reconstruction. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 1197-1206.	0.1	105
84	Reduction Mammoplasty for Breast Symmetrisation in Implant-Based Reconstructions. <i>Aesthetic Plastic Surgery</i> , 2017, 41, 773-781.	0.5	14
85	Women's expectations of breast reconstruction following mastectomy for breast cancer: a systematic review. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2017, 25, 2631-2661.	1.0	27
86	National and Regional Differences in 32,248 Postmastectomy Autologous Breast Reconstruction Using the Updated National Inpatient Survey. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2017, 78, 717-722.	0.5	41
87	A Qualitative Study of Breast Reconstruction Decision-Making among Asian Immigrant Women Living in the United States. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2017, 139, 360e-368e.	0.7	33
88	A meta-analysis of implant-based breast reconstruction and timing of adjuvant radiation therapy. <i>Journal of Surgical Research</i> , 2017, 218, 108-116.	0.8	115
89	Patient-Reported Outcomes Are Better after Oncoplastic Breast Conservation than after Mastectomy and Autologous Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2017, 5, e1419.	0.3	51
90	Socioeconomic Factors Associated with Post-Mastectomy Immediate Reconstruction in a Contemporary Cohort of Breast Cancer Survivors. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 24, 3017-3023.	0.7	48
91	Talking about women's sexual health after cancer: Why is it so hard to move the needle?. <i>Cancer</i> , 2017, 123, 4757-4763.	2.0	34
92	Comparing oncoplastic breast conserving surgery with mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction: Case-matched patient reported outcomes. <i>Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery</i> , 2017, 70, 1377-1385.	0.5	69
93	Trends in Post-Mastectomy Reconstruction in an Asian Population: A 12-Year Institutional Review. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2017, 23, 59-66.	0.4	15
94	Protocol for the BRECAR study: a prospective cohort follow-up on the impact of breast reconstruction timing on health-related quality of life in women with breast cancer. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e018108.	0.8	4
95	Evaluaci3n de la imagen corporal en mujeres con c4ncer de mama: una revisi3n sistem4tica. <i>Universitas Psychologica</i> , 2017, 16, 1.	0.6	2
96	Breast Reconstruction. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2018, 80, 457-463.	0.5	36
97	Does Prosthesis-Based Breast Reconstruction Affect the Clinical Outcome of Postmastectomy Radiotherapy?. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2018, 80, S7-S10.	0.5	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
98	Comparative Effectiveness of Preoperative Paravertebral Block for Post-Mastectomy Reconstruction: A Systematic Review of the Literature. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 25, 818-828.	0.7	11
99	Development and psychometric validation of a shorter version of the Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS-12). <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 38, 58-65.	0.9	12
100	Decisional regret and choice of breast reconstruction following mastectomy for breast cancer: A systematic review. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2018, 27, 1110-1120.	1.0	38
101	Effect of Regional Hospital Market Competition on Use Patterns of Free Flap Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2018, 142, 1438-1446.	0.7	6
102	Patients with abdominal-based free flap breast reconstruction a decade after surgery: A comprehensive long-term follow-up study. <i>Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery</i> , 2018, 71, 1301-1309.	0.5	15
103	3D bioprinting adipose tissue for breast reconstruction. , 2018, , 305-353.		5
104	Reconstruction in the Metastatic Breast Cancer Patient: Results from the National Cancer Database. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 25, 3125-3133.	0.7	9
105	Patterns of immediate breast reconstruction in New South Wales, Australia: a population-based study. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 89, 1230-1235.	0.3	17
106	Variations in the utilization of immediate post-mastectomy breast reconstruction. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 218, 712-715.	0.9	11
107	Psychometric validation of the Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS-12): a prospective cohort study. <i>Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2019, 300, 1679-1686.	0.8	5
108	Patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) following mastectomy with breast reconstruction or without reconstruction: a systematic review. <i>Gland Surgery</i> , 2019, 8, 441-451.	0.5	43
109	Quality of life, patient satisfaction and cosmetic outcome after delayed breast reconstruction using DIEP flap: a 10Åyearsâ€™ follow-up survey. <i>Journal of Plastic Surgery and Hand Surgery</i> , 2019, 53, 119-124.	0.4	7
110	Assessing the Quality of Microvascular Breast Reconstruction Performed in the Urban Safety-Net Setting: A Doubly Robust Regression Analysis. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2019, 143, 361-370.	0.7	6
111	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Silicone versus Saline Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction Using the BREAST-Q. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2019, 143, 276e-284e.	0.7	11
112	Is immediate breast reconstruction safe in women over 70? An analysis of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 177, 215-224.	1.1	8
113	Clinical Validation of the BREAST-Q Breast-Conserving Therapy Module. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 2759-2767.	0.7	20
114	The Effect of the Breast Cancer Provider Discussion Law on Breast Reconstruction Rates in New York State. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2019, 144, 560-568.	0.7	17
115	Biological Morphogenetic Surgery: A Minimally Invasive Procedure to Address Different Biological Mechanisms. <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2019, 39, 745-755.	0.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
116	The impact on Australian women of lack of choice of breast reconstruction options: A qualitative study. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2019, 28, 547-552.	1.0	10
117	Single incision for oncologic breast conserving surgery and sentinel node biopsy in early stage breast cancer: A minimally invasive approach. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2019, 25, 41-46.	0.4	5
118	Increasing access to breast reconstruction for women living in underserved non-metropolitan areas of Australia. <i>Supportive Care in Cancer</i> , 2020, 28, 2843-2856.	1.0	2
119	Interventions for reducing the use of opioids in breast reconstruction. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, , .	1.5	0
120	Breast Reconstruction in the Setting of Stage 4 Breast Cancer: Is It Worthwhile?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 4730-4739.	0.7	5
121	An alternative to standard lumpectomy: a 5-year case series review of oncoplastic breast surgery outcomes in a Canadian setting. <i>Canadian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 63, E46-E51.	0.5	8
122	Breast Cancer Surgery Decision Role Perceptions and Choice of Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 3623-3632.	0.7	4
123	Aesthetic results following breast cancer surgery: A prospective study on 6515 cases from ten Italian Senonetwork breast centers. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, 1861-1866.	0.5	3
124	Health-related quality of life following breast reconstruction compared to total mastectomy and breast-conserving surgery among breast cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Breast Cancer</i> , 2020, 27, 534-566.	1.3	74
125	Determinants of receiving immediate breast reconstruction: An analysis of patient characteristics at a tertiary care center in the US. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 34, 1-6.	0.8	9
126	Evaluating a couple-based intervention addressing sexual concerns for breast cancer survivors: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 173.	0.7	18
127	Acellular Biologic Nippleâ€“Areolar Complex Graft: <i>In Vivo</i> Murine and Nonhuman Primate Host Response Evaluation. <i>Tissue Engineering - Part A</i> , 2020, 26, 872-885.	1.6	5
128	Implant Replacement or Removal: What Happens after Capsular Contracture? A German Study Examining Breast Implant Revision Surgery and Patient Choices in 946 Cases. <i>Breast Care</i> , 2021, 16, 350-357.	0.8	5
129	Development and Usability Testing of a Decision Support App for Women Considering Breast Reconstruction Surgery. <i>Journal of Cancer Education</i> , 2021, 36, 160-167.	0.6	7
130	Perspectives of Women Who Forgo Post-mastectomy Breast Reconstruction: A Mixed Methods Analysis. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2021, 9, e3203.	0.3	1
131	Regenerative tissue filler for breast conserving surgery and other soft tissue restoration and reconstruction needs. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2021, 11, 2711.	1.6	15
132	Impact of Breast Reconstruction Patients on Cosmetic Practice. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2021, 9, e3614.	0.3	0
133	Psychological and Aesthetic Outcomes in Breast Cancer Patients. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2021, 9, e3679.	0.3	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
134	Long-term outcomes of breast reconstruction and the need for revision surgery. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, 91, 1751-1758.	0.3	4
135	Effect of radiotherapy on expanders and permanent implants in immediate breast reconstruction: long-term surgical and patient-reported outcomes in a large multicentre cohort. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 1474-1482.	0.1	5
136	Implications of postmastectomy radiation therapy on optimal timing and approach for breast reconstruction. Community Oncology, 2010, 7, 548-560.	0.2	2
137	The Experience of Viewing Oneself in the Mirror After a Mastectomy. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2012, 39, 361-369.	0.5	20
138	Breast - conserving surgery in combination with the volume replacement by latissimus dorsi (LD) flap in patients with breast cancer stage III. Medical and Health Science Journal, 2011, 6, 49-54.	0.1	3
139	Breast cancer and body image as a prognostic factor of depression: a case study in MÃ©xico City. Nutricion Hospitalaria, 2014, 31, 371-9.	0.2	15
140	Comparative analysis of fluorescent angiography, computed tomographic angiography and magnetic resonance angiography for planning autologous breast reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 164-78.	0.5	28
141	Dual Extrusion Patterning Drives Tissue Development Aesthetics and Shape Retention in 3D Printed Nipple-Areola Constructs. Advanced Healthcare Materials, 2021, 10, e2101249.	3.9	8
142	Immediate Single-Stage Reconstruction of the Breast Utilizing FlexHD and Implant Following Skin-Sparing Mastectomy. The American Journal of Cosmetic Surgery, 2011, 28, 145-155.	0.1	0
144	The Risks and Benefits of Immediate Versus Delayed Autologous Breast Reconstruction. , 2016, , 1155-1162.		0
145	Qualidade de vida e a cirurgia em cancro da mama: revisÃ£o narrativa da literatura. Revista CES Psicologia, 2017, , 35-47.	0.1	1
146	Trends in breast reconstruction techniques at a large safety net hospital: a 10-year institutional review. Annals of Breast Surgery, 0, 2, 14-14.	0.8	1
147	Combined Patients and Medical Related Cosmetic Breast Cancer Outcomesâ€”A Preferred Approach to Outcomes Assessment. Advances in Breast Cancer Research, 2019, 08, 31-44.	0.1	0
148	Does delayed immediate breast reconstruction lead to improved patientsâ€™ satisfaction?. Journal of Surgery and Surgical Research, 0, , 103-111.	0.1	0
149	Factors affecting hospital readmission rates for breast cancer patients in Western Australia. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2011, , n/a-n/a.	0.8	1
150	Quality of life after breast cancer surgery with or without reconstruction. Eplasty, 2009, 9, e18.	0.4	14
151	Risk-reducing, conservative mastectomy-analysis of surgical outcome and quality of life in 272 implant-based reconstructions using TiLoop(Ã©) Bra versus autologous corial flaps. Gland Surgery, 2016, 5, 1-8.	0.5	36
152	Special Considerations in Patients with Early-Stage Breast Cancer and Survivors. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America, 2022, 49, 195-208.	0.7	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
154	Long-Term Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life Following Breast Reconstruction Using the BREAST-Q: A Prospective Cohort Study. <i>Frontiers in Oncology</i> , 2022, 12, .	1.3	13
155	Comparison of Body Image and Body Exposure During Sexual Activity and Sexual Assertiveness Among Mastectomized Women with/Without Mammoplasty and Mammoplasty Volunteer Patients. <i>International Journal of Cancer Management</i> , 2022, 15, .	0.2	0
156	Breast Reconstruction Free Flap Failure. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2022, 89, 523-528.	0.5	3
157	Outcome analysis of breast reconstruction with free transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous (TRAM) flaps. <i>Swiss Medical Weekly</i> , 0, , .	0.8	2
158	Differences in Preoperative Health-Related Quality of Life between Women Receiving Mastectomy or Breast Conserving Surgery in a Prospectively Recruited Cohort of Breast Cancer Patients. <i>Current Oncology</i> , 2023, 30, 118-129.	0.9	4
159	Sometimes it is better to just make it simple. De-escalation of oncoplastic and reconstructive procedures. <i>Breast</i> , 2023, 69, 265-273.	0.9	4
160	Simultaneous Salvage Auto-augmentation: Contemporary Strategy for Management of the Breast Explantation Patient. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2023, 11, e4860.	0.3	1