

The Money Primary: What Influences the Outcome of P Fundraising?

Presidential Studies Quarterly

32, 256-275

DOI: [10.1111/j.0360-4918.2002.00220.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0360-4918.2002.00220.x)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
2	Candidates and Candidacies in the Expanded Party. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2003, 36, 165-169.	0.5	10
3	The New Hampshire Effect in Presidential Nominations. Political Research Quarterly, 2004, 57, 375-390.	1.7	42
4	Bumps in the Road to the White House. Journal of Political Marketing, 2004, 3, 1-27.	2.0	18
5	Do Early Birds Get the Worm? Improving Timeliness of Presidential Nomination Forecasts. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2005, 35, 646-660.	0.6	27
6	Forecasting the presidential primary vote: Viability, ideology and momentum. International Journal of Forecasting, 2008, 24, 193-208.	6.5	16
7	Interparty Differences in Elite Support for Presidential Nomination Candidates. American Politics Research, 2008, 36, 724-749.	1.4	8
8	The Viability Primary. Political Research Quarterly, 2009, 62, 77-91.	1.7	23
9	Does Presidential Primary and Caucus Order Affect Policy? Evidence from Federal Procurement Spending. Political Research Quarterly, 2010, 63, 398-409.	1.7	11
10	Riding the Waves of Money: Contribution Dynamics in the 2008 Presidential Nomination Campaign. Journal of Political Marketing, 2011, 10, 4-26.	2.0	8
11	More Bang for the Buck. American Politics Research, 2012, 40, 949-975.	1.4	10
12	Party cohesion in presidential races. , 2012, , .		1
13	The Political Geography of Campaign Finance: Contributions to 2008 Republican Presidential Candidates. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2012, 45, 688-693.	0.5	4
14	<i>Polls and Elections</i>: Still Part of the Conversation: Iowa and New Hampshire's Say within the Invisible Primary. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2012, 42, 597-621.	0.6	8
16	Trading Strategies and Market Microstructure: Evidence from a Prediction Market. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	5
17	Introduction to Methodological Issues in Biopolitics. Research in Biopolitics, 2014, , 67-99.	0.3	0
20	Party cohesion in presidential races. Party Politics, 2015, 21, 638-648.	2.5	4
21	Campaign Finance and Primary Elections. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
22	Polls and Elections: Do the Presidential Primary Debates Matter? Measuring Candidate Speaking Time and Audience Response during the 2012 Primaries. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2015, 45, 361-381.	0.6	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
23	Forecasting Presidential Nominations in 2016: #WePredictedClintonANDTrump. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2016, 49, 691-695.	0.5	5
24	Debates and Partisan Enthusiasm Before the 2012 Republican Primaries. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2017, 47, 293-310.	0.6	1
25	Free Media and Twitter in the 2016 Presidential Election. Social Science Computer Review, 2018, 36, 440-455.	4.2	71
26	Partisan Dynamics in Presidential Primaries and Campaign Divisiveness. American Politics Research, 2018, 46, 834-868.	1.4	2
27	Why Do Bureaucrats Make Campaign Contributions to Presidential Candidates?: Evidence from 2004 to 2012. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2018, 48, 667-689.	0.6	3
28	Can "Letting in Sunlight" Lead to Accidental Sunburn? The Unintended Consequences of Campaign Finance Reform on the Financing of U.S. Presidential Candidates. Election Law Journal: Rules, Politics, and Policy, 2018, 17, 209-220.	0.6	1
29	Social Media, Opinion Polls, and the Use of Persuasive Messages During the 2016 US Election Primaries. Social Media and Society, 2018, 4, 205630511878477.	3.0	13
30	Laying the Foundation for a Successful Presidential Campaign: Public Attention and Fundraising in the Preprimary Period*. Social Science Quarterly, 2019, 100, 1308-1321.	1.6	3
31	Campaign Contributions and Donors' Policy Agreement with Presidential Candidates. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2019, 49, 770-797.	0.6	2
32	Fundamentals Matter: Forecasting the 2020 Democratic Presidential Nomination. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2021, 54, 41-46.	0.5	1
33	Visual Priming and Framing During the 2020 Democratic Presidential Debates: Electoral Status Predicts Favorable Camera Treatment. International Journal of Press/Politics, 2021, 26, 256-276.	5.1	3
34	Stepping Stone to the White House or Tombstone on Presidential Ambition: Why Senators Usually Fail When They Run for the White House. American Review of Politics, 0, 27, 45-70.	0.1	2
35	Trading Strategies and Market Microstructure: Evidence from a Prediction Market. The Journal of Prediction Markets, 2016, 10, 1-29.	0.1	15
36	Party Elites in the 2008 Presidential Nomination Campaigns. American Review of Politics, 0, 28, 293-318.	0.1	0
37	Parties, election campaigning, and the internet: Toward a comparative institutional approach. , 2008, , 72-87.		23
38	The Vicious Cycle: Fundraising and Perceived Viability in Us Presidential Primaries. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
39	Error. Quarterly Journal of Political Science, 2011, 6, 137-178.	1.1	3
41	Multiple Donor Networks Begin to Shed Light on the Nomination Process: The 2004 Democratic Nomination Process. , 2013, , 53-66.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
42	Clearing the Field: How do Presidential Primary Candidates Win Big on Super Tuesday?. American Review of Politics, 2020, 37, 1-28.	0.1	0
43	Predicting from Biased Polls. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
44	From Home Base to Swing States: The Evolution of Digital Advertising Strategies during the 2020 US Presidential Primary. Political Research Quarterly, 2022, 75, 460-478.	1.7	5
46	Inference from Biased Polls. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
47	Competition in Congressional Elections: Money versus Votes. American Political Science Review, 2023, 117, 675-691.	3.7	3