The mathematics and statistics of voting power

Statistical Science 17, 420

DOI: 10.1214/ss/1049993201

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Forming Voting Blocs and Coalitions as a Prisoner's Dilemma: A Possible Theoretical Explanation for Political Instability. BE Journal of Economic Analysis and Policy, 2003, 2, .	0.2	6
2	A Simple Scheme to Improve the Efficiency of Referenda. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2006, , .	0.4	2
3	A law of large numbers for weighted majority. Advances in Applied Mathematics, 2006, 37, 112-123.	0.7	6
5	Voting as a Rational Choice. Rationality and Society, 2007, 19, 293-314.	1.1	154
6	A priori ordering protocols to support consensus-building in multiple stakeholder contexts. Information Sciences, 2007, 177, 3129-3150.	6.9	3
7	Equal representation in two-tier voting systems. Social Choice and Welfare, 2007, 28, 401-420.	0.8	31
8	The electoral college: diversification and the election process. Constitutional Political Economy, 2007, 18, 21-34.	1.1	2
9	The exact bias of the Banzhaf measure of power when votes are neither equiprobable nor independent. Social Choice and Welfare, 2008, 31, 281-300.	0.8	34
10	Empirical study on voting power in participatory forest planning. Journal of Environmental Management, 2008, 88, 173-180.	7.8	30
11	A simple scheme to improve the efficiency of referenda. Journal of Public Economics, 2008, 92, 2240-2261.	4.3	22
12	Generalized scoring rules and the frequency of coalitional manipulability. , 2008, , .		49
13	How we count counts: The empirical effects of using coalitional potential to measure the effective number of parties. Electoral Studies, 2009, 28, 261-269.	1.7	9
14	A Bayesian Prediction Model for the U.S. Presidential Election. American Politics Research, 2009, 37, 700-724.	1.4	17
15	How to Win "Spend―and Influence Partners: Lessons in Behavioral Operations from the Outsourcing Game. Production and Operations Management, 2009, 18, 621-634.	3.8	29
16	Voting power: an information theory approach. Social Choice and Welfare, 2010, 34, 105-119.	0.8	9
17	Proportional versus winner-take-all electoral vote allocations. Public Choice, 2011, 148, 381-393.	1.7	8
18	Measuring voting power for dependent voters through causal models. SynthÈse, 2011, 179, 35-56.	1.1	6
19	Criticality in games with multiple levels of approval. Social Choice and Welfare, 2011, 37, 373-395.	0.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
20	A Comparison Between the Methods of Apportionment Using Power Indices: the Case of the US Presidential Elections. Annals of Economics and Statistics, 2011, , 87.	0.4	6
21	Modeling Measures of Float Monetization for Quantitative Risk Management of Construction Projects. , 2012, , .		5
22	Movers, Stayers, and Registration: Why Age is Correlated with Registration in the U.S Quarterly Journal of Political Science, 2012, 7, 333-363.	1.1	47
23	How to Predict the Frequency of Voting Events in Actual Elections. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	4
24	A knowledge-based model of parliamentary election. Information Sciences, 2012, 202, 24-40.	6.9	2
25	A note on the direct democracy deficit in two-tier voting. Mathematical Social Sciences, 2012, 63, 174-180.	0.5	14
26	Choosing the best among peers. Theoretical Computer Science, 2012, 440-441, 52-59.	0.9	0
27	Combined References for Electoral Studies Special Edition. Electoral Studies, 2013, 32, I-IX.	1.7	0
28	Voter perceptions of agenda power and attribution ofÂresponsibility for economic performance. Electoral Studies, 2013, 32, 512-516.	1.7	26
29	Developing the aggregate empirical side of computational social choice. Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence, 2013, 68, 31-64.	1.3	10
30	On the Democratic Weights of Nations. Journal of Political Economy, 2017, 125, 1599-1634.	4.5	26
31	Fair representation and a linear Shapley rule. Games and Economic Behavior, 2018, 108, 152-161.	0.8	2
33	Can current political developments in Nigeria undermine its territorial integrity?. Geopolitics, 2021, 26, 236-282.	3.1	2
34	Does Vote Trading Improve Welfare?. Annual Review of Economics, 2021, 13, 57-86.	5.5	9
35	"One Man, One Vote―Part 1: Electoral Justice in the U.S. Electoral College: Banzhaf and Shapley/Shubik Versus May. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2021, , 189-227.	0.2	4
36	A Priori Voting Power and the US Electoral College. , 2013, , 411-442.		12
37	Square Root Voting System, Optimal Threshold and \$\$ uppi \$\$ π. , 2013, , 573-592.		6
38	Evaluation and Ranking of Researchers – Bh Index. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e82050.	2.5	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
39	Quantification, Rationality, And Management Decisions. Journal of Business and Economics Research, $2010,8,.$	1.0	1
40	On the Democratic Weights of Nations. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	7
43	Committees as Representative Institutions. Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, 2010, , 41-67.	0.3	0
44	Political competition and electoral participation: Is there any linkage?. African Journal of Business Management, 2011, 5, .	0.5	О
45	Square Root Voting System, Optimal Threshold and π. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2014, , 127-146.	0.2	3
46	The Mean Voter, the Median Voter, and Welfare-Maximizing Voting Weights. Studies in Choice and Welfare, 2014, , 159-176.	0.2	5
47	Power and Inequality. , 2016, , 121-137.		0
48	Fair Representation and a Linear Shapley Rule. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	О
49	Does Vote Trading Improve Welfare ?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
50	Causal Judgment in the Wild: Evidence from the 2020 U.S. Presidential Election. Cognitive Science, 2022, 46, e13101.	1.7	1
51	Voting Behaviour and Power in Online Democracy: A Study of LiquidFeedback in Germany's Pirate Party. Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, 2015, 9, 208-217.	1.5	6
52	Making a positive difference: Criticality in groups. Cognition, 2023, 238, 105499.	2.2	2