Discriminating meta-search: a framework for evaluatio

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	MetaSpider: Meta-searching and categorization on the Web. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2001, 52, 1134-1147.	2.6	70
2	Personalized spiders for web search and analysis. , 2001, , .		29
3	Ã‰valuation des performances des outils de recherche dâ€™informations sur internet en biologie. Medecine/Sciences, 2002, 18, 1107-1112.	0.2	1
4	A comprehensive and systematic model of user evaluation of Web search engines: I. Theory and background. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2003, 54, 1175-1192.	2.6	46
5	WiIRE: the Web interactive information retrieval experimentation system prototype. Information Processing and Management, 2004, 40, 655-675.	8.6	29
6	Cognitive styles and usersâ€™ responses to structured information representation. International Journal on Digital Libraries, 2004, 4, 93-107.	1.5	26
7	Brute force web search for wireless devices using mobile agents. Journal of Systems and Software, 2004, 69, 195-206.	4.5	8
8	Personalized Web Search Results with Profile Comparisons. , 0, , .		2
9	A study of results overlap and uniqueness among major Web search engines. Information Processing and Management, 2006, 42, 1379-1391.	8.6	110
10	Overlap among major web search engines. Internet Research, 2006, 16, 419-426.	4.9	19
11	Secure ICT Services for Mobile and Wireless Communications: A Federated Global Identity Management Framework. , 2006, , .		4
12	User-Based Evaluations of Search Engines: Hygiene Factors and Motivation Factors. , 2007, , .		7
13	Web searcher interaction with the Dogpile.com metasearch engine. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2007, 58, 744-755.	2.6	48
15	Motivation for using search engines: A twoâ€factor model. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2008, 59, 1829-1840.	2.6	22
16	Finding relevant search engines results: a minimax linear programming approach. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 2010, 61, 1144-1150.	3.4	19
17	Zycox: File analyzer on the web. , 2010, , .		0
18	An overview of Web search evaluation methods. Computers and Electrical Engineering, 2011, 37, 835-848.	4.8	31
19	A Study on Effective Measurement of Search Results from Search Engines. Journal of Global Information Management, 2019, 27, 196-221.	2.8	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
20	Three approaches to measuring recall on the Web: a systematic review. Electronic Library, 2020, 38, 477-492.	1.4	1
24	Web Searching: A Quality Measurement Perspective. Information Science and Knowledge Management, 2008, , 309-340.	0.1	26
25	AnÃ¡lisis de estrategias de posicionamiento en relaciÃ³n con la relevancia documental. Profesional De La Informacion, 2005, 14, 21-29.	2.7	2
26	ArtÃculo InteracciÃ³n entre medidas de popularidad en el posicionamiento web. Profesional De La Informacion, 2005, 14, 100-107.	2.7	2
27	Uso de ontologÃas para la mejora de resultados de motores de bÃºsqueda web. Profesional De La Informacion, 2009, 18, 34-40.	2.7	3
28	Mesurer la qualitÃ© des moteurs de recherche Web. Questions De Communication, 2008, , 75-93.	0.1	4
29	Evaluation of web-based search engines using user-effort measures. Libres, 2003, 13, .	0.1	11
30	MeSH-based Biomedical Information Semantic Retrieval Model. Open Automation and Control Systems Journal, 2015, 6, 473-479.	0.9	0
31	Retrieval Efficiency of Search Engines on Medical Tourism in Kerala: A Webometric Analysis. Journal of Information & System Management, 2019, 9, 91.	0.1	0
32	A Framework for Designing Recommender System for Consumers Using Distributed Data Clustering. Advances in Electronic Commerce Series, 0, , 253-270.	0.3	0
33	Finding It on Google, Finding It on del.icio.us.. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2007, , 559-562.	1.3	0
34	A Comparison of Source Distribution and Result Overlap in Web Search Engines. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2022, 59, 346-357.	0.6	2
35	Proposing a New Combined Indicator for Measuring Search Engine Performance and Evaluating Google, Yahoo, DuckDuckGo, and Bing Search Engines based on Combined Indicator. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 0, , 096100062211385.	2.4	0