Intrapartum Detection of a Maerosomie Fetus: Clinieal

Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaeco 35, 266-270

DOI: 10.1111/j.1479-828x.1995.tb01978.x

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Ultrasonographic estimate of birth weight at 24 to 34 weeks: A multicenter study. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1998, 179, 909-916.	0.7	30
2	Limitations of Clinical and Sonographic Estimates of Birth Weight: Experience With 1034 Parturients. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1998, 91, 72-77.	1.2	161
3	A Comparison of Clinical and Ultrasonic Estimation of Fetal Weight. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1998, 91, 212-217.	1.2	121
4	Intrapartum amniotic fluid index: a poor predictor of abnormal fetal size. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1998, 92, 823-827.	1.2	4
5	Receiver operating characteristic curves of ultrasonographic estimates of fetal weight for prediction of fetal growth restriction in prolonged pregnancies. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1999, 181, 1133-1138.	0.7	12
6	Detection of growth-restricted fetuses in preeclampsia: a case-control study*1. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1999, 93, 687-691.	1.2	14
7	Detection of Growth-Restricted Fetuses in Preeclampsia. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1999, 93, 687-691.	1.2	4
8	Prediction of Birth Weight by Ultrasound in the Third Trimester. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 95, 502-506.	1.2	6
9	Antepartum Detection of Macrosomic Fetus. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 95, 639-642.	1.2	3
10	Effects of a policy of elective cesarean delivery in cases of suspected fetal macrosomia on the incidence of brachial plexus injury and the rate of cesarean delivery. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 183, 1296-1300.	0.7	86
11	Antepartum detection of macrosomic fetus: clinical versus sonographic, including soft-tissue measurements. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 95, 639-642.	1.2	92
12	Prediction of birth weight by ultrasound in the third trimester. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 95, 502-506.	1.2	48
13	Sonographic estimate of birth weight: relative accuracy of sonographers versus maternal–fetal medicine specialists. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2002, 11, 108-112.	0.7	13
14	Fetal monitoring in type 1 diabetic pregnancies. Early Human Development, 2003, 72, 1-13.	0.8	21
15	Ultrasonographic prediction of term birth weight: How accurate is it?. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2003, 188, 566-574.	0.7	90
16	Detection of fetal growth restriction in patients with chronic hypertension: is it feasible?. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2003, 14, 324-328.	0.7	7
17	Prediction of term birth weight in Hispanic women using an equation based on maternal characteristics. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2004, 112, 145-150.	0.5	12
18	Accuracy of ultrasound biometry in the prediction of macrosomia: a systematic quantitative review. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2005, 112, 1461-1466.	1.1	105

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A review of sonographic estimate of fetal weight: Vagaries of accuracy. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2005, 18, 211-220.	0.7	67
20	Predicting term birth weight using ultrasound and maternal characteristics. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2006, 128, 231-235.	0.5	28
21	Outcome of Second Delivery After Prior Macrosomic Infant in Women With Normal Glucose Tolerance. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2006, 107, 857-862.	1.2	34
22	A computerized method for accurately predicting fetal macrosomia up to 11 weeks before delivery. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2007, 133, 148-156.	0.5	22
23	Could birthweight prediction models be improved by adding fetal subcutaneous tissue thickness?. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 2008, 34, 18-26.	0.6	21
24	Percentage Change in Antenatal Body Mass Index as a Predictor of Neonatal Macrosomia. Annals of Family Medicine, 2008, 6, 550-554.	0.9	37
25	Instrumental Delivery., 0,, 455-508.		1
26	Sonographic Prediction of Fetal Macrosomia. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2010, 29, 225-230.	0.8	67
27	Contemporary Management of Shoulder Dystocia. Women's Health, 2010, 6, 861-869.	0.7	4
28	Delivery After Prior Cesarean: Maternal Morbidity and Mortality. Clinics in Perinatology, 2011, 38, 297-309.	0.8	77
29	Comparison of abdominal palpation, Johnson's technique and ultrasound in the estimation of fetal weight in Northern Iran. Midwifery, 2011, 27, 99-103.	1.0	10
30	Impending macrosomia: will induction of labour modify the risk of caesarean delivery?. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2012, 119, 402-409.	1.1	42
31	Assessment of the Accuracy of Multiple Sonographic Fetal Weight Estimation Formulas: A 10-Year Experience From a Single Center. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2013, 32, 815-823.	0.8	30
32	Assessment of the Accuracy of Multiple Sonographic Fetal Weight Estimation Formulas. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2013, 32, 815-823.	0.8	17
33	Fetal macrosomia: induction of labour or expectant management? – Authors' reply. Lancet, The, 2015, 386, 1629-1630.	6.3	2
34	Estimation of fetal weight before delivery in low-resource setting of North-west Nigeria: can we rely on our clinical skills?. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2015, 28, 949-953.	0.7	14
35	The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2016, 128, e195-e209.	1.2	122
36	Longitudinal Assessment of Examiner Experience and the Accuracy of Sonographic Fetal Weight Estimation at Term. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2017, 36, 163-174.	0.8	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	Citations
37	Does Maternal Body Mass Index Have an Effect on the Accuracy of Ultrasound-Derived Estimated Birth Weight?: A Retrospective Study. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2017, 36, 1009-1014.	0.8	8
38	Fetal weight estimation at term – ultrasound versus clinical examination with Leopold's manoeuvres: a prospective blinded observational study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2019, 19, 122.	0.9	15
39	A simple model to predict the complicated operative vaginal deliveries using vacuum or forceps. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2019, 220, 193.e1-193.e12.	0.7	44
40	Third trimester ultrasound for fetal macrosomia: optimal timing and institutional specific accuracy. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2019, 32, 1337-1341.	0.7	1
41	Macrosomia. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 135, e18-e35.	1.2	160
42	Identification of newborns with birthweight ≥ 4,500g: Ultrasound within one- vs. two weeks of delivery. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2020, 249, 47-53.	0.5	2
43	Evaluation and Management of Fetal Macrosomia. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America, 2021, 48, 387-399.	0.7	16
44	Fetal weight estimation in tall women: is ultrasound more accurate than clinical assessment? A prospective trial. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2021, , 1.	0.8	1
45	Delivery of the Nondiabetic Macrosomic Infant. , 2009, , 257-268.		1
46	ULTRASOUND EVALUATION OF FETAL BIOMETRY AND NORMAL AND ABNORMAL FETAL GROWTH. , 2008, , 225-265.		16
47	Fetal Growth Disorders. , 2011, , 173-196.e8.		1
48	Sonographic and Clinical Methods in the Diagnosis of Macrosomia. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 43, 309-320.	0.6	63
49	Estimating Fetal Weight in the Management of Macrosomia. Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey, 2000, 55, 229-239.	0.2	77
50	Sonography in diabetic pregnancies. Series in Maternal-fetal Medicine, 2008, , 253-258.	0.1	1
51	Growth Disturbances. , 2008, , 1383-1408.		0
53	Comparison of Estimation of Fetal Weight by Clinical Method, Ultrasonography and its Correlation with Actual Birth Weight in Term Pregnancy. MVP Journal of Medical Sciences, 2018, 5, 75-81.	0.1	3
54	Johnson's formula to compare fetal weight with actual birth weight. Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Research, 2020, 7, 147-152.	0.0	2
55	Challenges of third-trimester screening in obese women. , 2020, , 69-73.		O

ARTICLE IF CITATIONS

56 SARS-CoV-2 and human retroelements: a case for molecular mimicry?. BMC Genomic Data, 2022, 23, 27. 0.7 4