

Repeat Players in the Supreme Court: The Role of Exper

Journal of Politics

57, 187-196

DOI: 10.2307/2960277

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Strategic Policy Considerations and Voting Fluidity on the Burger Court. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1996, 90, 581-592.	3.7	84
2	Amicus Curiae and the Role of Information at the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1997, 50, 365-386.	1.7	133
3	The Life of the Law: Judicial Politics and Legal Change. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1997, 59, 778-802.	2.2	90
4	Explaining Executive Success in the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1998, 51, 505-526.	1.7	55
5	Explaining Executive Success in the U. S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1998, 51, 505.	1.7	49
6	Attorney Expertise, Litigant Success, and Judicial Decisionmaking in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1999, 33, 667.	1.0	54
7	Courts and Judges. , 0, , 170-194.		5
9	Information Provision, Organizational Constraints, and the Decision to Submit an Amicus Curiae Brief in a U.S. Supreme Court Case. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2004, 57, 219-230.	1.7	58
10	Friends of the Court: Examining the Influence of Amicus Curiae Participation in U.S. Supreme Court Litigation. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2004, 38, 807-832.	1.0	151
11	The Work of Rights and the Work Rights Do: A Critical Empirical Approach. , 0, , 63-79.		11
12	Capacity, Attitudes, and Case Attributes: The Differential Success of the States before the United States Courts of Appeals. <i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> , 2005, 5, 147-167.	0.8	7
13	Government Lawyers and Non-judicial Constitutional Review in Estonia. <i>Europe-Asia Studies</i> , 2005, 57, 93-115.	0.5	4
14	The Effects of Information on the Accuracy of Presidential Assessments of Supreme Court Nominee Preferences. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2005, 58, 151-160.	1.7	18
15	The Influence of Jurisprudential Considerations on Supreme Court Decisionmaking: A Study of Conflict Cases. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2006, 40, 135-162.	1.0	82
16	Constitutional Review and the Selective Promotion of Case Results. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2006, 50, 98-112.	4.5	131
17	Amici Curiae in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2006, 34, 803-824.	1.4	21
18	Three's a Crowd: Third Parties and WTO Dispute Settlement. <i>World Politics</i> , 2006, 58, 446-477.	1.9	174
19	The Influence of Oral Arguments on the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2006, 100, 99-113.	3.7	131

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Experienced Advocates and Litigation Outcomes. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2007, 60, 443-453.	1.7	22
21	Judicial Review by the Burger and Rehnquist Courts. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2007, 60, 71-90.	1.7	39
22	State Merit Amicus Participation and Federalism Outcomes in the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Publius</i> , 2007, 37, 599-612.	1.8	7
23	Lobbyists before the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2007, 60, 55-70.	1.7	104
24	Where Have All of the Pro-Choice Lawyers Gone? An Analysis of Post-Roe Reproductive Rights Lawyering. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2007, 29, 368-379.	0.7	2
25	Lawyers, Justices, and Issue Salience: When and How Do Legal Arguments Affect the U.S. Supreme Court?. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2007, 41, 259-278.	1.0	90
26	Does the Lawyer Matter? Influencing Outcomes on the Supreme Court of Canada. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2007, 41, 279-304.	1.0	47
27	Interest Group Participation, Competition, and Conflict in the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Law and Social Inquiry</i> , 2007, 32, 955-984.	0.6	16
28	The Supreme Court and Opinion Content. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2008, 61, 468-478.	1.7	95
29	Counteractive Lobbying in the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2009, 37, 670-699.	1.4	21
30	The Tenth Justice? Consequences of Politicization in the Solicitor General's Office. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2009, 71, 224-237.	2.2	52
31	Have We Come a Long Way, Baby? The Influence of Attorney Gender on Supreme Court Decision Making. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2010, 6, 1.	1.4	32
32	Supreme Court Litigants and Strategic Framing. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2010, 54, 617-631.	4.5	51
33	Why the "Haves" Do Not Necessarily Come Out Ahead in Informal Dispute Resolution. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2010, 32, 283-312.	0.7	14
35	Friends of the Circuits: Interest Group Influence on Decision Making in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2010, 91, 397-414.	1.6	16
37	Women Lawyers before the Supreme Court of Canada. <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2011, 44, 83-109.	0.4	12
38	The Small Group Context: Designated District Court Judges in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2011, 8, 177-205.	0.8	22
39	Explaining Litigant Success in the High Court of Australia. <i>Australian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2012, 47, 239-255.	1.6	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
40	US Supreme Court Agenda Setting and the Role of Litigant Status. <i>Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization</i> , 2012, 28, 286-312.	1.5	30
41	Building an Integrated Model of Trial Court Decision Making: Predicting Plaintiff Success and Awards across Circuits. <i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> , 2012, 12, 103-126.	0.8	10
42	How Dispute Resolution System Design Matters: An Organizational Analysis of Dispute Resolution Structures and Consumer Lemon Laws. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2012, 46, 463-496.	1.0	43
44	Judicialisation de lâ€™action publique en Suisse: analyse du contentieux administratif au Tribunal fÃ©dÃ©ral. <i>Swiss Political Science Review</i> , 2012, 18, 78-100.	1.7	3
45	Looking Back to Move Forward: Quantifying Policy Predictions in Political Decision Making. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2012, 56, 802-816.	4.5	8
46	A Built-In Advantage. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2013, 66, 454-466.	1.7	18
47	Voting Fluidity and Oral Argument on the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2013, 66, 429-440.	1.7	22
48	The Impact of Attorney Gender on Decision Making in the United States Courts of Appeals. <i>Journal of Women, Politics and Policy</i> , 2013, 34, 72-100.	1.3	12
49	Quality Over Quantity: Amici Influence and Judicial Decision Making. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2013, 107, 446-460.	3.7	79
50	Judicial Ideology and the Selection of Disputes for <scp>U.S.</scp> Supreme Court Adjudication. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2013, 10, 847-865.	0.8	7
51	Selecting the Select Few: The Discuss List and the U.S. Supreme Court's Agendaâ€™Setting Process. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2013, 94, 1124-1144.	1.6	15
52	The Information Dynamics of Vertical <i>Stare Decisis</i>. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2013, 75, 894-906.	2.2	22
53	Barrister gender and litigant success in the High Court of Australia. <i>Australian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2014, 49, 1-21.	1.6	8
54	Mediating Religion and Government. , 2014, , .		0
55	Does the Law Matter? Win Rates and Law Reforms. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2014, 11, 378-407.	0.8	5
56	State Solicitors General, Appellate Expertise, and State Success Before the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2014, 48, 657-685.	1.0	11
57	Examining the Effects of Information, Attorney Capability, and Amicus Participation on U.S. Supreme Court Decision Making. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2014, 42, 441-471.	1.4	22
58	The Solicitor General and the United States Supreme Court: Executive Branch Influence and Judicial Decisions. By Ryan C. Black and Ryan J. Owens. (Cambridge University Press, 2012.). <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2014, 76, E7.	2.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
59	The Supply of Amicus Curiae Briefs in the Market for Information at the U.S. Supreme Court. Justice System Journal, 2014, 35, 362-382.	0.4	11
60	The Supreme Court in a Separation of Powers System. , 0, , .		7
61	Supreme Court Outcomes in Criminal Justice Cases (1994-2012 Terms). Criminal Justice Policy Review, 2015, 26, 773-804.	1.0	6
62	Litigant Status and Trial Court Appeal Mobilization. Law and Policy, 2015, 37, 294-323.	0.7	11
63	Leveling the Odds: The Effect of Quality Legal Representation in Cases of Asymmetrical Capability. Law and Society Review, 2015, 49, 209-239.	1.0	27
64	Supreme Court Justices Loyalty to the President. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2015, , .	0.4	2
65	Trial and Error: Lawyers and Nonlawyer Advocates. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
66	The Role of Emotional Language in Briefs Before the U.S. Supreme Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2015, , .	0.4	0
67	Marketing and Product Description: Value Added in the Real Estate Market. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
68	Does Size Matter? The Influence of Law Firm Size on Litigant Success Rates. Justice System Journal, 2015, 36, 341-354.	0.4	6
69	Elements of Professional Expertise. American Sociological Review, 2015, 80, 909-933.	5.2	72
70	The Role of Emotional Language in Briefs before the US Supreme Court. Journal of Law and Courts, 2016, 4, 377-407.	0.6	24
71	Party Capability and the US Courts of Appeals. Journal of Law and Courts, 2016, 4, 65-102.	0.6	15
72	Arbitrator acceptability in international commercial arbitration. International Journal of Conflict Management, 2016, 27, 379-397.	1.9	4
73	Supreme Court Justices'™ Loyalty to the President. Journal of Legal Studies, 2016, 45, 401-436.	0.4	17
74	Lawyer rankings either do not matter for litigation outcomes or are redundant. International Journal of the Legal Profession, 2016, 23, 185-205.	0.3	2
75	Intersecting Disadvantages: Race, Gender, and Age Discrimination Among Attorneys*. Social Science Quarterly, 2017, 98, 1642-1658.	1.6	26
77	Being Part of the "Home Team". Journal of Law and Courts, 2017, 5, 141-171.	0.6	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
78	“Tilted Scales:” The Impact of the U.S. Supreme Court on American Income Inequality. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2017, 38, 213-240.	0.4	0
79	Lawyer, Interrupted: Gender Bias in Oral Arguments at the US Supreme Court. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2017, 5, 337-361.	0.6	25
80	Opinion Construction in the Roberts Court. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2017, 39, 192-209.	0.7	8
81	Trial and Error: Lawyers and Nonlawyer Advocates. <i>Law and Social Inquiry</i> , 2017, 42, 1023-1057.	0.6	2
82	Avoiding Adversariness? The Effects of Gender on Litigant Decision-Making. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2017, 13, 656-682.	1.4	0
83	Interest Groups and the Judiciary. , 2017, , .		0
84	Assessing Threats to Inference with Simultaneous Sensitivity Analysis: The Case of US Supreme Court Oral Arguments. <i>Political Science Research and Methods</i> , 2018, 6, 33-56.	2.3	3
85	Assessing the Influence of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Briefs on the Roberts Court*. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2018, 99, 1253-1266.	1.6	2
86	Elements of the Support Structure for Campaign Finance Litigation in the Roberts Court. <i>Law and Social Inquiry</i> , 2018, 43, 319-359.	0.6	2
87	Compliance with International Soft Law. <i>International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences</i> , 2018, 9, 1-15.	0.0	4
88	Tipping the Scales of Justice: Perceptions of Unfair Treatment in the Courtroom. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2018, 39, 303-321.	0.4	1
89	Predicting Credit Rating Migration Employing Neural Network Models. <i>International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences</i> , 2018, 9, 70-85.	0.0	0
90	Predicting Supreme Court Behavior in Indian Law Cases. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2018, , .	0.4	3
91	Why File? Organized Interests and Amicus Briefs in State Courts of Last Resort. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2018, 39, 39-53.	0.4	2
92	Balancing the interests of labor and capital: an empirical analysis of Philippine Supreme Court labor cases from 1987 to 2016. <i>Philippine Political Science Journal</i> , 2018, 39, 24-46.	0.1	1
93	The Use of Amicus Briefs. <i>Annual Review of Law and Social Science</i> , 2018, 14, 219-237.	1.3	17
94	Counterbalancing the disadvantages of the “Have Nots”: an examination of the impact of amicus participation in state supreme court cases. <i>Interest Groups and Advocacy</i> , 2019, 8, 579-599.	0.8	0
95	Decision-making in civil litigation: Effects of attorney credibility, evidence strength, and juror cognitive processing. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2019, 49, 498-518.	2.0	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
96	Adjudicating Executive Privilege: Federal Administrative Agencies and Deliberative Process Privilege Claims in U.S. District Courts. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2019, 53, 823-850.	1.0	5
97	Anti-foreign bias in the court: Welfare explanation and evidence from Canadian intellectual property litigations.. <i>Journal of International Economics</i> , 2019, 117, 21-36.	3.0	7
99	Signals from a politicized bar: the solicitor general as a direct litigant before the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Constitutional Political Economy</i> , 2019, 30, 194-210.	1.1	0
101	The Role of Gender Norms in Judicial Decision-Making at the U.S. Supreme Court: The Case of Male and Female Justices. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2019, 47, 494-529.	1.4	12
102	Beyond Mere Presence: Gender Norms in Oral Arguments at the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2020, 73, 596-608.	1.7	27
103	Taking the EU to Court. <i>Palgrave Studies in European Union Politics</i> , 2020, , .	1.6	4
104	The Devil Is in the Details: Attorney Effects on Employment Arbitration Outcomes. <i>ILR Review</i> , 2020, 73, 456-478.	2.3	1
105	The Influence of Personalized Knowledge at the Supreme Court: How (Some) Former Law Clerks Have the Inside Track. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2020, , 106591292094813.	1.7	3
106	Gender, Ideology, and Dominance in Supreme Court Oral Arguments. <i>Journal of Women, Politics and Policy</i> , 2020, 41, 393-415.	1.3	6
107	Do Better Lawyers Win More Often? Measures of Advocate Quality and Their Impact in Singapore's Supreme Court. <i>Asian Journal of Comparative Law</i> , 2020, 15, 250-280.	0.3	1
108	Humor and Persuasion: The Effects of Laughter during <sc>US</sc> Supreme Court's Oral Arguments. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2020, 42, 162-185.	0.7	3
109	Selective assertiveness and strategic deference: explaining judicial contestation of military prerogatives in Pakistan. <i>Democratization</i> , 2021, 28, 604-624.	3.2	4
110	The law of attraction: How similarity between judges and lawyers helps win cases in the Hong Kong Court of Final Appeal. <i>International Review of Law and Economics</i> , 2021, 65, 105944.	0.8	1
111	As She Was Saying: The Role of Gender and Narratives in Oral Argument Amicus Success. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2021, 42, 416-433.	0.4	3
112	Confessions at the Supreme Court: Judicial Response to Solicitor General Error. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 0, , .	0.6	0
113	A Dynamic Model of Speech for the Social Sciences. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2021, 115, 649-666.	3.7	15
114	How Docket Control Shapes Judicial Behavior. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2021, 9, 111-136.	0.6	0
115	The Influence of Procurator Generals in Constitutional Review: The Case of Bulgaria. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2021, 9, 189-214.	0.6	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
116	Human Capital in Court: The Role of Attorney Experience in US Supreme Court Litigation. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2022, 10, 61-85.	0.6	6
117	The Attorneys' Gender: Exploring Counsel Success before the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 0, , 106591292110172.	1.7	1
118	Measuring Supreme Court Case Complexity. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
119	Measuring Supreme Court Case Complexity. <i>Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization</i> , 2021, 38, 92-118.	1.5	5
120	Contextualizing the "Black Box". <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2016, 4, 291-312.	0.6	4
121	Campaign Contributions and Judicial Behavior. <i>American Review of Politics</i> , 0, 23, 261-274.	0.1	23
122	Join-3 Votes and Supreme Court Agenda Setting. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	3
123	Judicial Ideology and the Selection of Disputes for U.S. Supreme Court Adjudication. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
124	Expertise Value Added in the Real Estate Market. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	6
125	The 'Odd Party Out' Theory of Certiorari. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
126	The Impact of Legal Advocacy Experience Within the US Supreme Court on Trial Decision Outcomes. <i>International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences</i> , 2017, 8, 65-76.	0.0	5
127	Fair Use Defences During Copyright Litigation. <i>International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences</i> , 2017, 8, 31-51.	0.0	6
128	A model for predicting court decisions on child custody. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0258993.	2.5	3
129	What Moves the Court? Interest Groups, Public Opinion, Court Composition and the Solicitor General. <i>American Review of Politics</i> , 0, 23, 245-260.	0.1	0
130	The Small Group Context: Designated District Court Judges in the United States Courts of Appeals. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
132	The Information Dynamics of Vertical Stare Decisis. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	3
133	Does the Attitudinal Model of Supreme Court Decision Making Depend on Litigation Choices? Applying the Priest-Klein Selection Hypothesis to Litigation in the High Court. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
134	Serving God by Shaping Law: Religious Legal Advocacy in the United States. , 2014, , 83-107.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
135	Intervention Unnecessary: Bar Associations Taking Sides in Regulatory Actions. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
136	The Roberts Court Source-Code: Pinpointing the Justices' Opinion Construction Toolkits. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
137	The Impact of the Judicial Role Orientation. , 2017, , 105-132.		0
138	Judicial Activism in the Brazilian Supreme Court: A New Measure. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
139	The Legacy of President Obama in the U.S. Supreme Court. , 2019, , 149-189.		1
140	Litigant Success: How Litigant Configurations Relate to Legal Outcomes. Palgrave Studies in European Union Politics, 2020, , 155-188.	1.6	0
141	Compliance with International Soft Law. , 2020, , 49-64.		0
142	Predicting Credit Rating Migration Employing Neural Network Models. , 2020, , 65-82.		0
143	Fair Use Defences During Copyright Litigation. , 2020, , 539-560.		0
144	Do Lawyers Matter? Evidence from Patents. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
145	Human Rights Issues in Constitutional Courts: Why Amici Curiae are Important in the U.S., and What Australia Can Learn from the U.S. Experience. Law in Context, 2021, 37, 66-98.	0.2	0
146	Case Sensitive: Lawyers and the Formation of Legal Arguments in Tanzania. Law and Social Inquiry, 0, , 1-28.	0.6	1
147	IOsâ€™ selective adoption of NGO information: Evidence from the Universal Periodic Review. Review of International Organizations, 0, , 1.	3.4	0
148	The Revolving Door in Judicial Politics: Former Clerks and Agenda Setting on the U.S. Supreme Court. American Politics Research, 0, , 1532673X2110708.	1.4	0
150	You Think; Therefore I Am: Gender Schemas and Context in Oral Arguments at the Supreme Court, 1979â€“2016. Political Research Quarterly, 0, , 106591292110691.	1.7	2
152	Represented but unequal: The contingent effect of legal representation in removal proceedings. Law and Society Review, 2021, 55, 634-656.	1.0	8
155	Successful patentingâ€™ not only how, but with whom: the importance of patent attorneys. Scientometrics, 2022, 127, 5111-5137.	3.0	5
156	Whatâ€™s in a Name: How US Supreme Court Justices Shape Law and Policy in the Lower Courts. Law and Social Inquiry, 0, , 1-26.	0.6	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
157	Leveled Odds? Attorney Capability, Team Litigation, and Outcomes in Administrative Patent Cases. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 0, , .	0.7	0
158	Who Are You and Whatâ€™s Your Issue? Winning in Collective Litigation in Colombia. <i>Latin American Research Review</i> , 0, , 1-19.	0.4	0
159	A War of Words Over Abortion: The Legal-Framing Contest Over the Undue Burden Standard. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 0, , 1-22.	0.4	0
160	Conversations with friends: â€˜friends of the Courtâ€™ interventions of the state parties to the European Convention on Human Rights. <i>Legal Studies</i> , 0, , 1-21.	0.4	0
161	Asking Versus Telling: The Supreme Courtâ€™s Strategic Use of Questions and Statements During Oral Arguments. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2023, 76, 1559-1572.	1.7	1
162	Do corporate lawyers matter? Evidence from patents. <i>Journal of Corporate Finance</i> , 2023, , 102473.	5.5	0
163	I Canâ€™t See You; Can You Hear Me? Gender Norms and Context During In-Person and Teleconference U.S. Supreme Court Oral Arguments. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 0, , 1-28.	1.4	0
164	Recusal as Remedy: Disincentivizing Donors. <i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> , 2024, 24, 77-98.	0.8	0