

Regional differences in surgical management of breast c

Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians

42, 39-43

DOI: [10.3322/canjclin.42.1.39](https://doi.org/10.3322/canjclin.42.1.39)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Pathology and treatment of impalpable breast lesions. American Journal of Surgery, 1992, 164, 427-432.	0.9	18
2	Communication between patients with breast cancer and health care providers determinants and implications. Cancer, 1993, 72, 2612-2620.	2.0	179
3	Why not breast conservation?. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 1993, 53, 211-214.	0.8	2
4	Controversies in primary breast cancer management. American Journal of Surgery, 1993, 166, 502-508.	0.9	22
5	Preferences of Older Cancer Patients: Can You Judge a Book by Its Cover?. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 1994, 86, 1743-1744.	3.0	12
6	Patient selection criteria for conservation surgery versus mastectomy: Memorial hospital breast service experience. World Journal of Surgery, 1994, 18, 58-62.	0.8	7
7	Determinants of receiving breast-conserving surgery. The surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program, 1983-1986. Cancer, 1994, 73, 2344-2351.	2.0	107
8	Progress from clinical trials on breast cancer. Cancer, 1994, 74, 2606-2609.	2.0	22
9	Selection of patients for breast conserving surgery. Cancer, 1994, 74, 366-371.	2.0	25
10	Nonmastectomy Therapy for Breast Cancer: Where Do We Go From Here?. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 1994, 69, 601-602.	1.4	0
11	Changes in use of breast-conserving therapy in years 1978-2000. British Journal of Cancer, 1994, 70, 1165-1170.	2.9	21
12	Treating early-stage breast cancer: hospital characteristics associated with breast-conserving surgery.. American Journal of Public Health, 1995, 85, 1432-1434.	1.5	64
13	Advocating for the woman with breast cancer. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 1995, 45, 114-126.	157.7	27
14	The surgical management of primary invasive breast cancer. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 1995, 45, 279-288.	157.7	12
15	Treatment decisions in elderly breast cancer patients. Radiation Oncology Investigations, 1995, 3, 172-178.	1.3	1
16	Treatment trends for ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 1995, 2, 207-213.	0.7	66
17	Breast-conserving surgery for breast cancer: Patterns of care in a geographic region and estimation of potential applicability. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 1995, 2, 275-280.	0.7	71
18	Influence of patient characteristics, socioeconomic factors, geography, and systemic risk on the use of breast-sparing treatment in women enrolled in adjuvant breast cancer studies: an analysis of two intergroup trials.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1996, 14, 3009-3017.	0.8	93

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The National Cancer Data Base report on patterns of hospital reporting. , 1996, 78, 1829-1837.		54
20	The National Cancer Data Base report on breast carcinoma characteristics and outcome in relation to age. , 1996, 78, 1838-1843.		162
21	Mammographic features after conservation therapy for malignant breast disease: serial findings standardized by regression analysis.. American Journal of Roentgenology, 1996, 167, 171-178.	1.0	21
22	Change in the Use of Breast-Conserving Surgery in Western Washington After the 1990 NIH Consensus Development Conference. Archives of Surgery, 1997, 132, 418.	2.3	30
23	Predictors of breast-conserving surgery in Connecticut, 1990â€“1992. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 1997, 4, 259-263.	0.7	15
24	The National Cancer Data Base report on the results of a large nonrandomized comparison of breast preservation and modified radical mastectomy. Cancer, 1997, 80, 162-167.	2.0	44
25	The National Cancer Data Base 10-year survey of breast carcinoma treatment at hospitals in the United States. Cancer, 1998, 83, 1262-1273.	2.0	191
26	Regional diversity and breadth of the National Cancer Data Base. Cancer, 1998, 83, 2649-2658.	2.0	20
27	Surgeon variability in treating nonpalpable breast cancer: Surgical oncology as a value-added specialty. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 1998, 5, 28-32.	0.7	27
28	Low rate of breast conservation surgery in a large urban hospital serving the medically indigent. American Journal of Surgery, 1998, 176, 520-524.	0.9	16
29	How breast cancer treatment decisions are made by women in North Dakota. American Journal of Surgery, 1998, 176, 515-519.	0.9	56
30	Predictors of Mastectomy for Women with Breast Cancer in the Greater Western Region of Sydney. Breast Journal, 1999, 5, 116-121.	0.4	17
31	Geographic Differences in Treatment of Early Stage Breast Cancer. Breast Journal, 1999, 5, 29-35.	0.4	11
32	A sociodemographic and economic comparison of breast reconstruction, mastectomy, and conservative surgery. Surgery, 1999, 125, 441-447.	1.0	78
33	Examining the Use of Breast-Conserving Treatment for Women With Breast Cancer in a Managed Care Environment. American Journal of Clinical Oncology: Cancer Clinical Trials, 2000, 23, 438-441.	0.6	27
34	Patient Compliance Is Critical for Equivalent Clinical Outcomes for Breast Cancer Treated by Breast-Conservation Therapy. Annals of Surgery, 2000, 231, 883-889.	2.1	64
35	National Cancer Data Base survey of breast cancer management for patients from low income zip codes. Cancer, 2000, 88, 933-945.	2.0	55
36	Despite limited data, coexistent lobular carcinoma in situ should not be a contraindication to breast conservation for women with invasive breast carcinoma. , 2000, 88, 978-981.		5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The National Cancer Data Base: What does it mean to the community surgeon?. , 2000, 73, 189-191.		2
38	Breast Disease-Related Educational Outcomes at the University of Florida. Breast Journal, 2000, 6, 157-160.	0.4	3
39	Attitudes and treatment outcome of breast conservation therapy for stage I & II breast cancer using peroperative iridium-192 implant boost to the tumour bed. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology, 2001, 45, 35-38.	0.6	8
40	Factors influencing the use of breast reconstruction postmastectomy: a national cancer database study. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2001, 192, 1-8.	0.2	213
41	Surgical treatment of early-stage breast cancer in the department of defense healthcare system11No competing interests declared.. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2001, 192, 293-297.	0.2	25
42	Relationship of Distance From a Radiotherapy Facility and Initial Breast Cancer Treatment. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2001, 93, 1344-1346.	3.0	226
43	Trends in, and predictors of, breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy for breast cancer in connecticut, 1988â€“1997. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2002, 53, 157-163.	0.4	33
44	Breast cancer surgery trends and outcomes: results from a national department of veterans affairs study. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2004, 198, 707-716.	0.2	32
46	Treatment Trends in Early-Stage Invasive Lobular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgery, 2005, 242, 281-289.	2.1	70
47	Diffusion of breast conserving surgery in medical communities. Social Science and Medicine, 2005, 60, 143-151.	1.8	23
48	Predictors of breast conservation therapy. Cancer, 2005, 103, 892-899.	2.0	73
49	The importance of location in determining breast conservation rates. American Journal of Surgery, 2005, 190, 18-22.	0.9	14
50	The Evolution of Surgery for Breast Cancer. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2005, 14, 479-498.	0.6	16
51	The Â«El ÃlamoÂ» project (1990â€“1997): two consecutive hospital-based studies of breast cancer outcomes in Spain. Clinical and Translational Oncology, 2006, 8, 508-518.	1.2	15
52	National quality validation programs for breast centers. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2007, 7, 1357-1362.	1.1	4
53	Changing trends in the decision-making preferences of women with early breast cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2008, 95, 312-318.	0.1	42
54	Urban/Rural Residence Moderates Effect of Race on Receipt of Surgery in Patients with Nonmetastatic Breast Cancer: A Report from the South Carolina Central Cancer Registry. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 15, 1828-1836.	0.7	27
55	Trends in surgical treatment of breast cancer at Mayo Clinic 1980â€“2004. Breast, 2008, 17, 555-562.	0.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
56	Regional variation in breast cancer treatment throughout the United States. American Journal of Surgery, 2008, 196, 572-574.	0.9	35
57	Private insurance is the strongest predictor of women receiving breast conservation surgery for breast cancer. American Journal of Surgery, 2009, 198, 787-791.	0.9	7
58	Selection of Treatment Among Latina and Non-Latina White Women with Ductal Carcinoma <i>in Situ</i> . Journal of Women's Health, 2011, 20, 215-223.	1.5	24
59	Breast Conservation Therapy Versus Mastectomy in the Community-Based Setting: Can This Rate Be Used as a Benchmark for Cancer Care?. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 2011, 20, 427-437.	0.6	2
60	Regional variation in breast cancer surgery: Results from the National Cancer Database (NCDB). American Journal of Surgery, 2017, 214, 907-913.	0.9	20
61	Halsted Radical Mastectomy. , 2018, , 422-442.e2.		0
62	Using State and Federal Claims Data to Evaluate the Patterns and Costs of Cancer Care. , 1998, 97, 53-69.		3
63	Psychological interventions for cancer patients to enhance the quality of life. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1992, 60, 552-68.	1.6	142
64	Patient, Hospital, and Surgeon Factors Associated with Breast Conservation Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 1996, 224, 419-429.	2.1	86
65	Minimal Increase in Use of Breast-Conserving Surgery from 1986 to 1990. Medical Care, 1996, 34, 479-489.	1.1	87
66	Halsted Radical Mastectomy. , 2009, , 779-802.		0
67	Kreftregisteret " fra registrering av kreftinsidens og overlevelse til populasjonsbasert klinisk epidemiologi. Norsk Epidemiologi, 2009, 14, .	0.2	0
68	Quality-of-Life Outcomes in Patients with Gynecologic Cancer with Colostomy and Effectiveness of Psychologist Consultation. Journal of Cancer Prevention & Current Research, 2017, 7, .	0.1	0
69	Surgical Oncology Evaluation and Management of Breast Diseases. , 2018, , 73-102.		0
70	Temporal trends in breast cancer surgery in Ontario: can one randomized trial make a difference?. Cmaj, 1994, 150, 1109-15.	0.9	5
72	Geographic Variations and the Associated Factors in Adherence to and Persistence with Adjuvant Hormonal Therapy for the Privately Insured women Aged 18"64 with Breast Cancer in Texas. Current Oncology, 2023, 30, 3800-3816.	0.9	0