Sensory Characteristics of Sucralose and other High Int

Journal of Food Science 57, 1014-1019 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1992.tb14345.x

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Fat Concentration Affects Sweetness and Sensory Profiles of Sucrose, Sucralose, and Aspartame. Journal of Food Science, 1993, 58, 599-602.	1.5	26
2	Tocopherol Micro-Extraction Method with Application to Quantitative Analysis of Lipophilic Nutrients. Journal of Food Science, 1993, 58, 663-666.	1.5	22
3	Time-Intensity Parameters of Selected Carbohydrate and High Potency Sweeteners. Journal of Food Science, 1993, 58, 1418-1421.	1.5	39
5	Effect of alternative sweeteners on egg-thickened mixtures. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 1993, 93, 814-815.	1.3	2
6	Recent Trend of Sensory Evaluation in Food Research in Japan Part I. Types and Methods of Sensory Evaluation in Food Research Journal of the Japanese Society for Food Science and Technology, 1994, 41, 218-223.	0.2	1
7	Psychophysical characterization of new sweeteners of commercial importance for the EC food industry. Food Chemistry, 1996, 56, 291-302.	4.2	66
8	Does chemical modification of tastants merely enhance their intrinsic taste qualities?. Food Chemistry, 1997, 58, 305-311.	4.2	9
9	Sensory Evaluation of Mixtures of Maltitol or Aspartame, Sucrose and an Orange Aroma. Chemical Senses, 1998, 23, 59-66.	1.1	14
10	Propiedades tecnológicas y regulación de los edulcorantes de alta intensidad en la Unión Europea Technological properties and regulatory status of high intensity sweeteners in the European Union. Food Science and Technology International, 1998, 4, 5-16.	1.1	5
11	Measurement of the relative sweetness of stevia extract, aspartame and cyclamate/saccharin blend as compared to sucrose at different concentrations. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 1999, 54, 119-129.	1.4	136
12	Lack of effect of sucralose on glucose homeostasis in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 2003, 103, 1607-1612.	1.3	125
13	Sucralose - all sweetness and light. Nutrition Bulletin, 2003, 28, 53-58.	0.8	38
14	Qualities of Chiffon Cake Prepared with Indigestible Dextrin and Sucralose as Replacement for Sucrose. Cereal Chemistry, 2005, 82, 405-413.	1.1	29
15	Sucralose. , 0, , 130-148.		8
16	Perception and acceptance of selected high-intensity sweeteners and blends in model soft drinks by propylthiouracil (PROP) non-tasters and super-tasters. Food Quality and Preference, 2007, 18, 531-540.	2.3	42
17	Partial Substitution of Sugars by the Low alorie Sweetener Sucralose in Peach Compote. Journal of Food Science, 2001, 66, 1195-1200.	1.5	10
18	Interactions between aspartame, glucose and xylitol in aqueous systems containing potassium sorbate. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2008, 41, 611-619.	2.5	16
19	Consumption of SC45647 and Sucralose by Rats Selectively Bred for High and Low Saccharin Intake. Chemical Senses, 2008, 34, 211-220.	1.1	22

		Citation Report	
#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
20	An overview of the safety of sucralose. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2009, 55, 1-5.	1.3	109
21	Sensory properties of some synthetic highâ€intensity sweeteners in water solutions. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2009, 89, 2030-2038.	1.7	12
22	FORMULATING A NEW PASSION FRUIT JUICE BEVERAGE WITH DIFFERENT SWEETENER SYSTEMS. J Sensory Studies, 2009, 24, 698-711.	ournal of 0.8	18
23	Isothermal Titration Calorimetry Study of the Interaction of Sweeteners with Fullerenols as an Artificial Sweet Taste Receptor Model. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2009, 57, 2945-	2954. ^{2.4}	14
24	Identification and Structure Elucidation of a <i>p</i> Phenoxybenzaldehyde in Bamboo Shoots by HPLC-ESI/MS/MS and NMR. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2011, 59, 11579-11584.	2.4	5
25	Time–intensity analysis and acceptance test for traditional and light vanilla ice cream. Food Rese International, 2011, 44, 677-683.	arch 2.9	52
26	Short-term consumption of sucralose, a nonnutritive sweetener, is similar to water with regard to select markers of hunger signaling and short-term glucose homeostasis in women. Nutrition Research, 2011, 31, 882-888.	1.3	86
27	ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS SWEETENERS IN PETIT SUISSE CHEESE: DETERMINATION OF THE IDEAL AN EQUIVALENT SWEETNESS. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2011, 26, 339-345.	D 0.8	29
28	Preference for Sucralose Predicts Behavioral Responses to Sweet and Bittersweet Tastants. Chemic Senses, 2012, 37, 445-453.	:al 1.1	16
29	Optimizing Synergism of Binary Mixtures of Selected Alternative Sweeteners. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2012, 27, 295-303.	0.8	28
30	Sensory Characteristics and Relative Sweetness of Tagatose and Other Sweeteners. Journal of Food Science, 2012, 77, S323-8.	d 1.5	77
31	Using quantitative descriptive analysis and temporal dominance of sensations analysis as complementary methods for profiling commercial blackcurrant squashes. Food Quality and Preference, 2012, 25, 121-134.	2.3	90
33	Is sucralose too good to be true?. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 2012, 40, 14-18.	0.5	2
34	Sucralose. Profiles of Drug Substances, Excipients and Related Methodology, 2013, 38, 423-462.	3.5	17
35	Modifying Bitterness in Functional Food Systems. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 2 53, 464-481.	013, 5.4	61
36	Food restriction-induced hyperactivity: Addiction or adaptation to famine?. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 2013, 38, 884-897.	1.3	24
37	The Role of Sweet Taste in Satiation and Satiety. Nutrients, 2014, 6, 3431-3450.	1.7	63
38	Application of Survival Analysis to Estimate Equivalent Sweet Concentration of Low alorie Sweeteners in Orange Juice. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2014, 29, 474-479.	0.8	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
39	Development of chocolate dairy dessert with addition of prebiotics and replacement of sucrose with different high-intensity sweeteners. Journal of Dairy Science, 2014, 97, 2600-2609.	1.4	98
40	Relative sweetness, sweetness quality, and temporal profile of xylooligosaccharides and luo han guo (Siraitia grosvenorii) extract. Food Science and Biotechnology, 2015, 24, 965-973.	1.2	28
41	Pharmaceutical and pharmacokinetic characterization of a novel sublingual buprenorphine/naloxone tablet formulation in healthy volunteers. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 2015, 41, 79-84.	0.9	33
42	Production and physicochemical assessment of new stevia amino acid sweeteners from the natural stevioside. Food Chemistry, 2015, 173, 979-985.	4.2	14
43	The effect of modifying the distribution of sucralose and quinine on bitterness suppression in model gels. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 50, 157-162.	2.3	0
44	The development of sweet taste: From biology to hedonics. Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders, 2016, 17, 171-178.	2.6	139
45	Is there a generalized sweetness sensitivity for an individual? A psychophysical investigation of inter-individual differences in detectability and discriminability for sucrose and fructose. Physiology and Behavior, 2016, 165, 239-248.	1.0	11
46	Variation in the TAS2R31 bitter taste receptor gene relates to liking for the nonnutritive sweetener Acesulfame-K among children and adults. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 39135.	1.6	23
47	Controlling the taste receptor accessible structure of rebaudioside A via binding to bovine serum albumin. Food Chemistry, 2016, 197, 84-91.	4.2	17
48	Sucralose administered in feed, beginning prenatally through lifespan, induces hematopoietic neoplasias in male swiss mice. International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2016, 22, 7-17.	1.2	52
49	Thermorheological evaluation of gelation of gelatin with sugar substitutes. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2016, 69, 570-578.	2.5	35
50	Adapting and enhancing sequential profiling to understand the effects of successive ingestion, using the sensory characteristics of high intensity sweeteners as a case study. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 47, 139-147.	2.3	12
51	Oromucosal film preparations: points to consider for patient centricity and manufacturing processes. Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery, 2016, 13, 493-506.	2.4	72
52	Acetylation and deacetylation for sucralose preparation by a newly isolated Bacillus amyloliquefaciens WZS01. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 2017, 123, 576-580.	1.1	7
53	Personal Variation in Preference for Sweetness: Effects of Age and Obesity. Childhood Obesity, 2017, 13, 369-376.	0.8	40
54	A 12-week randomized clinical trial investigating the potential for sucralose to affect glucose homeostasis. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2017, 88, 22-33.	1.3	53
55	The galanin-3 receptor antagonist, SNAP 37889, suppresses alcohol drinking and morphine self-administration in mice. Neuropharmacology, 2017, 118, 1-12.	2.0	17
56	The effect of training on the temporal dominance of sensations method: A study with milk protein hydrolysates. Journal of Sensory Studies, 2017, 32, e12303.	0.8	17

CITATION REPORT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
57	Taste-aroma Interactions in Lemon-flavored Model Beverages: Influence of Sweeteners on Aroma Perception and In Vivo Aroma Release. Food Science and Technology Research, 2017, 23, 51-56.	0.3	4
58	Solubility and molar enthalpy of solution of sucralose in H2O and D2O. Fluid Phase Equilibria, 2018, 460, 45-50.	1.4	2
59	A Comparison of Psychophysical Dose-Response Behaviour across 16 Sweeteners. Nutrients, 2018, 10, 1632.	1.7	42
60	Pharmacokinetic and pharmaceutical properties of a novel buprenorphine/naloxone sublingual tablet for opioid substitution therapy versus conventional buprenorphine/naloxone sublingual tablet in healthy volunteers. European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2018, 122, 125-133.	1.9	4
61	Quantitative comparison of adsorption and desorption of commonly used sweeteners in the oral cavity. Food Chemistry, 2019, 271, 577-580.	4.2	6
62	Multiple Dimensions of Sweet Taste Perception Altered after Sleep Curtailment. Nutrients, 2019, 11, 2015.	1.7	11
63	Novel database of declared low- and no-calorie sweeteners from foods and beverages available in Spain. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 2019, 82, 103234.	1.9	16
64	Sucralose-Enhanced Degradation of Electronic Cigarette Liquids during Vaping. Chemical Research in Toxicology, 2019, 32, 1241-1249.	1.7	22
65	Temporal sweetness and side tastes profiles of 16 sweeteners using temporal check-all-that-apply (TCATA). Food Research International, 2019, 121, 39-47.	2.9	66
66	Low Calorie Sweeteners Differ in Their Physiological Effects in Humans. Nutrients, 2019, 11, 2717.	1.7	48
67	The Effect of Sleep Curtailment on Hedonic Responses to Liquid and Solid Food. Foods, 2019, 8, 465.	1.9	5
68	Hedonic drinking engages a supraspinal inhibition of thermal nociception in adult rats. Pain, 2019, 160, 1059-1069.	2.0	17
69	Sugar reduction without compromising sensory perception. An impossible dream?. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 2019, 59, 2287-2307.	5.4	82
70	Effect of concentration range on the accuracy of measuring sweetness potencies of sweeteners. Food Quality and Preference, 2020, 79, 103753.	2.3	10
71	Satiety, Taste and the Cephalic Phase: A Crossover Designed Pilot Study into Taste and Glucose Response. Foods, 2020, 9, 1578.	1.9	3
72	Use of sucralose in foods heated during manufacturing does not pose a risk to human health. Toxicology Research and Application, 2021, 5, 239784732110194.	0.7	0
73	Sucralose and Cardiometabolic Health: Current Understanding from Receptors to Clinical Investigations. Advances in Nutrition, 2021, 12, 1500-1513.	2.9	13
74	Degradation of the low-calorie sugar substitute 5-ketofructose by different bacteria. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2021, 105, 2441-2453.	1.7	3

CITATION REPORT

~			<u> </u>	
	ΙΤΔΤ	$1 \cap N$	RED	OPT
<u> </u>				

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
75	Sweetness profiles of glycosyl rebaudioside <scp>A</scp> and binary mixtures with sugar alcohols in aqueous solution and a lemonade model system. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2022, 102, 2110-2119.	1.7	4
76	Critical review of the current literature on the safety of sucralose. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 2017, 106, 324-355.	1.8	81
77	Effects of sweetener sucralose on diet preference, growth performance and hematological and biochemical parameters of weaned piglets. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 2020, 33, 802-811.	2.4	7
78	Use of Sodium Saccharin and Sucralose in Foodstuffs and the Estimated Daily Intakes of Both Products in Korea. Korean Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2013, 45, 642-651.	0.0	4
80	Nurturing and Preserving the Sensory Qualities of Nature. , 2010, , 555-566.		0
81	Quality characteristics of non steamed oriental melon Makgeolli by adding sucralose. Korean Journal of Food Preservation, 2015, 22, 377-384.	0.2	2
83	lce cream with sucralose, stevioside, and erythritol as sugar substitutes: Sensory profile and customer preference. Food Science and Technology International, 2024, 30, 273-281.	1.1	0