

Ideology, Status, and The Differential Success of Direct

American Political Science Review

86, 464-471

DOI: 10.2307/1964234

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
2	Integrating Alternative Approaches to the Study of Judicial Voting: Obscenity Cases in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1992, 36, 963.	4.5	139
3	Lawyers, Organized Interests, and the Law of Obscenity: Agenda Setting in the Supreme Court. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1993, 87, 717-726.	3.7	94
4	Integrated Models of Judicial Dissent. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1993, 55, 914-935.	2.2	109
5	Interest Group Success in the Courts: Amicus Participation in the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1993, 46, 339.	1.7	1
6	Party Capability Theory and Appellate Success in the Supreme Court of Canada, 1949-1992. <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> , 1993, 26, 523-540.	0.4	45
7	The Supreme Court as a Countermajoritarian Institution? The Impact of Public Opinion on Supreme Court Decisions. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1993, 87, 87-101.	3.7	279
8	Interest Group Success in the Courts: Amicus Participation in the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1993, 46, 339-354.	1.7	70
9	Resource Inequalities and Litigation Outcomes in the Philippine Supreme Court. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1994, 56, 752-772.	2.2	47
10	“Appropriate and Just in the Circumstances” Public Policy and the Enforcement of Rights under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> , 1994, 27, 435-463.	0.4	2
11	Who Wins and Who Loses in the Provincial Courts of Appeal? A Statistical Analysis, 1920-1990. <i>Canadian Journal of Law and Society</i> , 1994, 9, 21-40.	0.2	2
12	Studying Courts Comparatively: The View from the American States. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1995, 48, 5.	1.7	14
13	Do Courts Respond to the Political Clout of Groups or to Their Superior Litigation Resources/Repeat Player Status?. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 1995, 18, 29-42.	0.4	3
14	Studying Courts Comparatively: The View from the American States. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1995, 48, 5-29.	1.7	111
15	Specialized Courts, Bureaucratic Agencies, and the Politics of U.S. Trade Policy. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1995, 39, 529.	4.5	31
16	Repeat Players in the Supreme Court: The Role of Experienced Lawyers in Litigation Success. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1995, 57, 187-196.	2.2	164
17	Ideological Values and the Votes of U.S. Supreme Court Justices Revisited. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1995, 57, 812-823.	2.2	166
18	Resource Inequalities and Regional Variation in Litigation Outcomes in the Philippine Supreme Court, 1961-1986. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1995, 48, 371-380.	1.7	21
19	Strategic Policy Considerations and Voting Fluidity on the Burger Court. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1996, 90, 581-592.	3.7	84

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
20	Do Bills of Rights Matter? The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1996, 90, 765-779.	3.7	64
21	Norms, Dragons, and Stare Decisis: A Response. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1996, 40, 1064.	4.5	21
22	Justices' Responses To Case Facts. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1996, 24, 237-261.	0.7	62
23	Measuring Political Preferences. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1996, 40, 261.	4.5	69
24	Amicus Curiae and the Role of Information at the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1997, 50, 365-386.	1.7	133
25	Amicus Curiae and the Role of Information at the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1997, 50, 365.	1.7	28
26	Explaining Federal Bureaucratic Compliance with Supreme Court Opinions. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1997, 50, 567-593.	1.7	64
27	The Life of the Law: Judicial Politics and Legal Change. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1997, 59, 778-802.	2.2	90
28	The Interplay of Preferences, Case Facts, Context, and Rules in the Politics of Judicial Choice. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1997, 59, 1206-1231.	2.2	210
29	Explaining Federal Bureaucratic Compliance with Supreme Court Opinions. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1997, 50, 567.	1.7	7
30	The Development of a Legal Rule: The Federal Common Law of Public Nuisance. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1998, 32, 613.	1.0	23
31	Explaining Executive Success in the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1998, 51, 505-526.	1.7	55
32	Explaining Executive Success in the U. S. Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1998, 51, 505.	1.7	49
33	A Question of Justice: Equity in Environmental Litigation, 1974-1991. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1998, 60, 1148-1165.	2.2	44
34	The Supreme Court as a Policy Arena: The Strategies and Tactics of State Attorneys General. <i>Policy Studies Journal</i> , 1999, 27, 242-259.	5.1	10
35	Reexamining Litigant Success in State Supreme Courts. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1999, 33, 1043.	1.0	34
36	Do the "Haves" Still Come out Ahead? Resource Inequalities in Ideological Courts: The Case of the Israeli High Court of Justice. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1999, 33, 1059.	1.0	39
37	The Two Motifs of "Why the 'Haves' Come out Ahead" and Its Heirs. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1999, 33, 1089.	1.0	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
38	Attorney Expertise, Litigant Success, and Judicial Decisionmaking in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1999, 33, 667.	1.0	54
39	Do the "Haves" Come out Ahead over Time? Applying Galanter's Framework to Decisions of the U.S. Courts of Appeals, 1925-1988. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 1999, 33, 811.	1.0	87
40	Why the Haves Don't Always Come out Ahead: Repeat Players Meet Amici Curiae for the Disadvantaged. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2000, 53, 537.	1.7	3
41	Why the Haves Don't Always Come Out Ahead: Repeat Players Meet Amici Curiae for the Disadvantaged. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2000, 53, 537-556.	1.7	40
42	The 'Haves' and the 'Have Nots': An Empirical Study of the Rational Actor and Party Capability Hypotheses in the High Court 1948-99. <i>Australian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2000, 35, 255-274.	1.6	18
43	American indians in court: the Burger and Rehnquist years. <i>Social Science Journal</i> , 2000, 37, 247-259.	1.5	4
44	Does the Interest Group Choir Really "Sing with an Upper Class Accent?". <i>Journal of Women, Politics and Policy</i> , 2000, 21, 53-73.	0.2	1
45	"Haves" versus "Have Nots" in State Supreme Courts: Allocating Docket Space and Wins in Power Asymmetric Cases. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2001, 35, 393.	1.0	62
46	Interest Groups in the Israeli High Court of Justice: Measuring Success in Litigation and in Out-of-Court Settlements. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2001, 23, 1-27.	0.7	55
47	Selecting Appeals for Judicial Review in Canada: A Replication and Multivariate Test of American Hypotheses. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2002, 64, 232-248.	2.2	15
48	Explaining Supreme Court Policymaking in Civil Rights: The Influence of the Solicitor General, 1953-2002. <i>Policy Studies Journal</i> , 2003, 31, 253-271.	5.1	4
49	The Institutional Sources of State Success in Federalism Litigation before the Supreme Court. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2003, 25, 455-472.	0.7	7
50	Explaining Corporate Litigation Activity in an Integrated Framework of Interest Mobilization. <i>Business and Politics</i> , 2003, 5, 65-94.	0.8	4
51	THE SUPREME COURT IN AMERICAN POLITICS. <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> , 2003, 6, 161-180.	6.5	23
52	Victor's Justice or the Law? Judging And Punishing At The International Criminal Tribunal For The Former Yugoslavia. <i>Journal of Conflict Resolution</i> , 2003, 47, 140-162.	2.0	44
53	Reading between the Lines: Analyzing the Supreme Court's Views on Gender Discrimination in Employment, 1971-1982. <i>Social Service Review</i> , 2003, 77, 25-50.	0.5	5
54	Friends of the Court: Examining the Influence of Amicus Curiae Participation in U.S. Supreme Court Litigation. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2004, 38, 807-832.	1.0	151
55	Another Look at the "Corporate Advantage" in Routine Criminal Proceedings. <i>Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice</i> , 2005, 47, 685-708.	0.5	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
56	Do Institutions Really Matter? Assessing the Impact of State Judicial Structures on Citizen Litigiousness. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2006, , .	0.4	1
57	U.S. Supreme Court Decision Making, Case Salience, and the Attitudinal Model. Law and Policy, 2006, 28, 295-320.	0.7	73
58	Does Chevron Matter?. Law and Policy, 2006, 28, 444-469.	0.7	22
59	Reasoning on the Threshold: Testing the Separability of Preferences in Legal Decision Making. Journal of Politics, 2006, 68, 308-321.	2.2	44
60	The Influence of Jurisprudential Considerations on Supreme Court Decisionmaking: A Study of Conflict Cases. Law and Society Review, 2006, 40, 135-162.	1.0	82
61	Constitutional Review and the Selective Promotion of Case Results. American Journal of Political Science, 2006, 50, 98-112.	4.5	131
62	Half Empty, Half Full, or Neither: Law, Inequality, and Social Change in Capitalist Democracies. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 2007, 3, 69-97.	1.3	42
63	Lobbyists before the U.S. Supreme Court. Political Research Quarterly, 2007, 60, 55-70.	1.7	104
64	Lawyers, Justices, and Issue Salience: When and How Do Legal Arguments Affect the U.S. Supreme Court?. Law and Society Review, 2007, 41, 259-278.	1.0	90
65	Does the Lawyer Matter? Influencing Outcomes on the Supreme Court of Canada. Law and Society Review, 2007, 41, 279-304.	1.0	47
66	Splitting the Difference: Modeling Appellate Court Decisions with Mixed Outcomes. Law and Society Review, 2007, 41, 429-456.	1.0	24
67	Controlling Forum Choice and Controlling Policy: Congress, Courts and the IRS. Policy Studies Journal, 2007, 35, 109-123.	5.1	8
68	THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT AND THE POLITICAL DISADVANTAGE THEORY. Southeastern Political Review, 1996, 24, 555-578.	0.1	0
69	FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY POLICY MAKING BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT. Southeastern Political Review, 1998, 26, 785-801.	0.1	0
70	ISSUES AND OUTCOMES IN STATE SUPREME COURT JUDICIAL REVIEW CASES. Southeastern Political Review, 2000, 28, 295-317.	0.1	0
71	The Consistency of Judicial Choice. Journal of Politics, 2008, 70, 861-873.	2.2	52
72	Counteractive Lobbying in the U.S. Supreme Court. American Politics Research, 2009, 37, 670-699.	1.4	21
73	An Analysis of Ideological Effects in Published Versus Unpublished Judicial Opinions. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2009, 6, 213-239.	0.8	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
74	The Influence of Retention Politics on Judges' Voting. <i>Journal of Legal Studies</i> , 2009, 38, 169-206.	0.4	80
75	Have We Come a Long Way, Baby? The Influence of Attorney Gender on Supreme Court Decision Making. <i>Politics and Gender</i> , 2010, 6, 1.	1.4	32
76	Executive Discretion, Judicial Decision Making, and Separation of Powers in the United States. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2010, 72, 812-824.	2.2	54
77	Supreme Court Litigants and Strategic Framing. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2010, 54, 617-631.	4.5	51
78	The Causes of Pro-Immigration Voting in the United States Supreme Court. <i>International Migration Review</i> , 2010, 44, 659-696.	2.1	4
79	Judicial Setbacks, Material Gains: Terror Litigation at the Israeli High Court of Justice. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2010, 7, 664-692.	0.8	42
80	Party Capability versus Court Preference: Why the 'Haves' Come Out Ahead? - An Empirical Lesson from Taiwan Supreme Court. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
81	A Strategic Court and National Security: Comparative Lessons from the Israeli Case. <i>Israel Studies Review</i> , 2010, 25, .	0.3	6
82	The Influence of Animal Advocacy Groups in State Courts of Last Resort. <i>Society and Animals</i> , 2010, 18, 58-74.	0.2	2
83	The Influence of Animal Advocacy Groups in State Courts of Last Resort. <i>Society and Animals</i> , 2010, 18, 58-74.	0.2	0
84	Women Lawyers before the Supreme Court of Canada. <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2011, 44, 83-109.	0.4	12
85	Emotions, Oral Arguments, and Supreme Court Decision Making. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2011, 73, 572-581.	2.2	35
86	The Small Group Context: Designated District Court Judges in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2011, 8, 177-205.	0.8	22
87	U.S. Supreme Court Decisions in Fourth Amendment Cases (1961â€“2009). <i>Criminal Justice Review</i> , 2011, 36, 393-413.	0.9	5
88	Measuring Case Salience in State Courts of Last Resort. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2011, 64, 559-572.	1.7	38
89	Explaining Litigant Success in the High Court of Australia. <i>Australian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2012, 47, 239-255.	1.6	8
90	US Supreme Court Agenda Setting and the Role of Litigant Status. <i>Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization</i> , 2012, 28, 286-312.	1.5	30
91	Judges, Litigants, and the Design of Courts. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2012, 46, 497-522.	1.0	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
93	A Built-In Advantage. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2013, 66, 454-466.	1.7	18
94	Is Certiorari Contingent on Litigant Behavior? Petitioners' Role in Strategic Auditing. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2013, 10, 54-75.	0.8	14
95	Quality Over Quantity: Amici Influence and Judicial Decision Making. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2013, 107, 446-460.	3.7	79
96	Judicial Ideology and the Selection of Disputes for <scp>U.S.</scp> Supreme Court Adjudication. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2013, 10, 847-865.	0.8	7
97	Institutional Paths to Policy Change: Judicial Versus Nonjudicial Repeal of Sodomy Laws. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2013, 47, 409-439.	1.0	12
98	The Philippine Supreme Court and Regime Response, 1970-2000. <i>Asian Journal of Comparative Law</i> , 2014, 9, 173-196.	0.3	1
99	Haves and Have-Nots before the Law Lords. <i>Political Studies</i> , 2014, 62, 686-697.	3.0	8
100	Litigant participation and success in water rights cases in the Western States. <i>Social Science Journal</i> , 2014, 51, 607-614.	1.5	0
101	Partisanship in State Supreme Courts: The Empirical Relationship between Party Campaign Contributions and Judicial Decision Making. <i>Journal of Legal Studies</i> , 2015, 44, S161-S185.	0.4	7
102	The Supreme Court in a Separation of Powers System. , 0, , .		7
103	Supreme Court Outcomes in Criminal Justice Cases (1994-2012 Terms). <i>Criminal Justice Policy Review</i> , 2015, 26, 773-804.	1.0	6
104	Litigant Status and Trial Court Appeal Mobilization. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2015, 37, 294-323.	0.7	11
105	The Role of Emotional Language in Briefs Before the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2015, , .	0.4	0
106	Party Capability versus Court Preference: Why Do the "Haves" Come Out Ahead?--An Empirical Lesson from the Taiwan Supreme Court. <i>Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization</i> , 2015, 31, 93-126.	1.5	20
107	Executive Power and Judicial Deference. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2015, 68, 128-141.	1.7	11
108	On the Measurement of Judicial Ideology. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2016, 37, 169-188.	0.4	2
109	The Role of Emotional Language in Briefs before the US Supreme Court. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2016, 4, 377-407.	0.6	24
110	Party Capability and the US Courts of Appeals. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2016, 4, 65-102.	0.6	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
111	Environmental Sentencing in the United States Pacific Northwest 2007â€“2011. Sociological Perspectives, 2016, 59, 528-542.	2.3	5
112	The Differential Effect of War on Liberal and Conservative Judges on the US Courts of Appeals. Journal of Law and Courts, 2017, 5, 1-31.	0.6	5
113	â€œTilted Scales:â€•The Impact of the U.S. Supreme Court on American Income Inequality. Justice System Journal, 2017, 38, 213-240.	0.4	0
114	Originalism , Pragmatic Conservatism, and Living Document Judicial Philosophies: Explaining Variation in U.S. Supreme Court Votes in Criminal Procedure Cases for the 1994â€“2014 Terms of Court. American Journal of Criminal Justice, 2017, 42, 28-54.	2.0	0
115	How Global Judicial â€œCountermajoritarianismâ€•Can Enhance Democracy and Inclusion. , 0, , 165-195.		0
117	Interest Groups and the Judiciary. , 2017, , .		0
118	Assessing the Influence of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Briefs on the Roberts Court*. Social Science Quarterly, 2018, 99, 1253-1266.	1.6	2
119	Testing Representational Advantage in the Argentine Supreme Court. Journal of Law and Courts, 2018, 6, 1-23.	0.6	8
120	Compliance with International Soft Law. International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences, 2018, 9, 1-15.	0.0	4
121	Predicting Credit Rating Migration Employing Neural Network Models. International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences, 2018, 9, 70-85.	0.0	0
122	Paths to Social Change: Analyses of Decriminalization of Sodomy. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2018, , .	0.4	0
123	Why File? Organized Interests and Amicus Briefs in State Courts of Last Resort. Justice System Journal, 2018, 39, 39-53.	0.4	2
124	Counterbalancing the disadvantages of the â€œHave Notsâ€•: an examination of the impact of amicus participation in state supreme court cases. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 2019, 8, 579-599.	0.8	0
125	Do the â€œHavesâ€•Come Out Ahead? Resource Disparity in Publicâ€•Land Usurpation Litigation in Taiwan*. Social Science Quarterly, 2019, 100, 1215-1227.	1.6	4
126	Assessing the Effects of Political Factors on Court Decisions in Corruption Litigation in Taiwan. Asian Survey, 2019, 59, 295-314.	0.9	3
127	Stability and Change: Policy Evolution on the Supreme Court of Canada, 1945â€“2005. Canadian Journal of Political Science, 2019, 52, 343-362.	0.4	1
128	Taking the EU to Court. Palgrave Studies in European Union Politics, 2020, , .	1.6	4
129	Judging government economic performance. Australian Journal of Political Science, 2020, 55, 38-54.	1.6	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
130	Enhanced temperature stability in the O ₂ -T phase boundary of (K, Na)NbO ₃ -based ceramics. <i>Journal of the American Ceramic Society</i> , 2020, 103, 1698-1708.	3.8	23
131	Latino Judges on the Federal District Court: <i>¿CÓmo Deciden?</i> . <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2020, 48, 343-354.	1.4	5
132	¿Why Try? Comparing the Aims of Parties and Amici in U.S. Supreme Court Litigation. <i>Justice System Journal</i> , 2020, 41, 81-97.	0.4	1
133	Do Better Lawyers Win More Often? Measures of Advocate Quality and Their Impact in Singapore's Supreme Court. <i>Asian Journal of Comparative Law</i> , 2020, 15, 250-280.	0.3	1
134	Selective assertiveness and strategic deference: explaining judicial contestation of military prerogatives in Pakistan. <i>Democratization</i> , 2021, 28, 604-624.	3.2	4
135	Protesters on trial: examining factors influencing district court decisions in social protest litigation in Taiwan. <i>Social Movement Studies</i> , 0, , 1-21.	2.9	0
137	Explaining Corporate Litigation Activity in an Integrated Framework of Interest Mobilization. <i>Business and Politics</i> , 2003, 5, 65-94.	0.8	4
138	Which Governments Come Out Ahead?. <i>Perspectives on Federalism</i> , 2017, 9, 13-33.	0.3	1
139	Competing Interests in State Supreme Courts: Justices' Votes and Voting Rights. <i>American Review of Politics</i> , 0, 24, 267-283.	0.1	4
140	Judicial Ideology and the Selection of Disputes for U.S. Supreme Court Adjudication. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
141	The Impact of Legal Advocacy Experience Within the US Supreme Court on Trial Decision Outcomes. <i>International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences</i> , 2017, 8, 65-76.	0.0	5
142	Fair Use Defences During Copyright Litigation. <i>International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences</i> , 2017, 8, 31-51.	0.0	6
143	What Moves the Court? Interest Groups, Public Opinion, Court Composition and the Solicitor General. <i>American Review of Politics</i> , 0, 23, 245-260.	0.1	0
144	Judges, Litigants and the Design of Courts. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
145	The Small Group Context: Designated District Court Judges in the United States Courts of Appeals. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
146	Executive Discretion, Judicial Decision Making, and Separation of Powers in the United States. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
147	Candidate Attributes and Attitudes Toward Courts in Judiciary Elections: An Experimental Study. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
148	Judicial Setbacks, Material Gains: Terror Litigation at the Israeli HCJ. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
149	Decision Making on the Merits. , 2009, , 129-163.		0
150	The Size of the Plenary Docket of the Nation's Highest Court and Its Ramifications to the Court's Function: The Experience of the U.S. Supreme Court. American Studies, 2009, 32, 199-247.	0.0	0
151	Does the Attitudinal Model of Supreme Court Decision Making Depend on Litigation Choices? Applying the Priest-Klein Selection Hypothesis to Litigation in the High Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
153	The Concept of the State in Investor-State Arbitration: A Social Science Perspective. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	12
154	Competing (?) Spectrums: Haves and Have-Nots vs. Government and Government-Nots. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
155	Words that Matter: Predicting Persuasive Briefs in the United States Supreme Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
156	Litigant Success: How Litigant Configurations Relate to Legal Outcomes. Palgrave Studies in European Union Politics, 2020, , 155-188.	1.6	0
157	Litigant Configurations: Turbulence and the Emergence of Complex Configurations. Palgrave Studies in European Union Politics, 2020, , 127-153.	1.6	0
158	Whither repeat players?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
159	Compliance with International Soft Law. , 2020, , 49-64.		0
160	Predicting Credit Rating Migration Employing Neural Network Models. , 2020, , 65-82.		0
161	Fair Use Defences During Copyright Litigation. , 2020, , 539-560.		0
162	Case Sensitive: Lawyers and the Formation of Legal Arguments in Tanzania. Law and Social Inquiry, 0, , 1-28.	0.6	1
163	Feminist Friends of the Court: Amicus Curiae, Social Movement Institutional Activism, and the U.S. Supreme Court's Women's Rights Cases. Sociological Focus, 2022, 55, 1-26.	0.4	0
164	Listening to competing speakers: evolving interest group sponsorship of commercial speech cases before the American Supreme Court. Interest Groups and Advocacy, 0, , .	0.8	0
165	Who Are You and What's Your Issue? Winning in Collective Litigation in Colombia. Latin American Research Review, 0, , 1-19.	0.4	0
166	Inmate Litigation, Legal Access, and Prison Privatization. Journal of Law and Courts, 2023, 11, 256-276.	0.6	1
167	Simplification of Procedure. YSEC Yearbook of Socio-economic Constitutions, 2023, , .	0.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
168	Punishing environmental offenders: court decisions in environmental litigation in Taiwan. Environmental Politics, 0, , 1-25.	5.4	0