Senate Voting on Supreme Court Nominees: A Neoinstit

American Political Science Review 84, 525-534

DOI: 10.2307/1963533

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Senate Voting on Supreme Court Nominees: A Neoinstitutional Model. American Political Science Review, 1990, 84, 525-534.	3.7	219
2	Congressional Committees and the Federal Courts: A Neo-Institutional Perspective. The Western Political Quarterly, 1992, 45, 949.	0.3	7
3	Congressional Committees and the Federal Courts: a Neo-Institutional Perspective. The Western Political Quarterly, 1992, 45, 949-970.	0.3	5
4	Courting Constituents? An Analysis of the Senate Confirmation Vote on Justice Clarence Thomas. American Political Science Review, 1992, 86, 997-1003.	3.7	85
5	A Spatial Model of Roll Call Voting: Senators, Constituents, Presidents, and Interest Groups in Supreme Court Confirmations. American Journal of Political Science, 1992, 36, 96.	4.5	145
6	Can the Senate Examine the Constitutional Philosophies of Supreme Court Nominees?. PS - Political Science and Politics, 1993, 26, 495.	0.5	5
7	Deference or Preference?. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 1993, 5, 23-59.	0.4	55
8	The Supreme Court, Critical Nominations, and the Senate Confirmation Process. Journal of Politics, 1993, 55, 793-805.	2.2	78
9	Lawyers in Congress: What Difference Does It Make?. Congress and the Presidency, 1993, 20, 1-24.	0.5	7
10	Courts, Agencies, and Congressional Committees: A Neo-Institutional Perspective. Review of Politics, 1993, 55, 471-489.	0.1	3
11	Can the Senate Examine the Constitutional Philosophies of Supreme Court Nominees?. PS - Political Science and Politics, 1993, 26, 495-500.	0.5	0
12	The Senate and Justice Thomas: A Note on Ideology, Race, and Constituent Pressures. Congress and the Presidency, 1994, 21, 131-136.	0.5	O
13	African-American Constituents and Supreme Court Nominees: An Examination of the Senate Confirmation of Thurgood Marshall. Political Research Quarterly, 1994, 47, 839-855.	1.7	11
14	The Usefulness of Senate Confirmation Hearings for Judicial Nominees: The Case of Ruth Bader Ginsburg. PS - Political Science and Politics, 1994, 27, 224.	0.5	2
15	The Usefulness of Senate Confirmation Hearings for Judicial Nominees: The Case of Ruth Bader Ginsburg. PS - Political Science and Politics, 1994, 27, 224-227.	0.5	0
16	The Senate Judiciary Committee and Supreme Court Nominees: Measuring the Dynamics of Confirmation Criteria. Journal of Politics, 1994, 56, 773-787.	2.2	28
17	African-American Constituents and Supreme Court Nominees: An Examination of the Senate Confirmation of Thurgood Marshall. Political Research Quarterly, 1994, 47, 839.	1.7	4
18	Calling It Quits: Strategic Retirement on the Federal Courts of Appeals, 1893-1991. Political Research Quarterly, 1995, 48, 573.	1.7	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Understanding court nominee evaluation and approval: Mass opinion in the Bork and Thomas cases. Political Behavior, 1995, 17, 135-153.	2.7	7
20	Calling It Quits: Strategic Retirement on the Federal Courts of Appeals, 1893-1991. Political Research Quarterly, 1995, 48, 573-597.	1.7	66
21	Senate confirmation of cabinet nominations: Institutional politics and nominee qualifications. Social Science Journal, 1996, 33, 273-285.	1.5	13
22	National Grange Influence on the Supreme Court Confirmation of Stanley Matthews. Social Science History, 1996, 20, 41-62.	0.5	3
23	Measuring Political Preferences. American Journal of Political Science, 1996, 40, 261.	4.5	69
24	Divided Government and the Design of Administrative Procedures: A Formal Model and Empirical Test. Journal of Politics, 1996, 58, 373-397.	2.2	160
25	From Abe Fortas to Zoë Baird: Why Some Presidential Nominations Fail in the Senate. American Political Science Review, 1998, 92, 871-881.	3.7	100
26	Dimensions of Attitudes Underlying Search and Seizure Decisions of the Supreme Court of Canada. Canadian Journal of Political Science, 1998, 31, 767-787.	0.4	17
27	Lobbying for Justice: Organized Interests Supreme Court Nominations, and United States Senate. American Journal of Political Science, 1998, 42, 499.	4.5	101
30	The Politics of Supreme Court Nominations: A Theory of Institutional Constraints and Choices. American Journal of Political Science, 1999, 43, 1069.	4.5	240
31	Reexamining the Impact of the Bork Nomination to the Supreme Court. Political Research Quarterly, 1999, 52, 403.	1.7	2
32	Measuring the Preferences of State Supreme Court Judges. Journal of Politics, 2000, 62, 387-413.	2.2	178
33	Once More Unto the Breach: PostBehavioralist Approaches to Judicial Politics. Law and Social Inquiry, 2000, 25, 601-634.	0.6	94
34	Who Drives the Ideological Makeup of the Lower Federal Courts in a Divided Government?. Law and Society Review, 2001, 35, 191.	1.0	2
36	Why Are Japanese Judges So Conservative in Politically Charged Cases?. American Political Science Review, 2001, 95, 331-344.	3.7	83
37	Public policy for business and the economy Ideological dissensus, change and consensus. Policy Sciences, 2001, 34, 403-434.	2.8	7
38	Appointment Delay for Vacancies on the Federal Communications Commission. Public Administration Review, 2001, 61, 483-492.	4.1	25
39	Comparing Presidents, Senators, and Justices: Interinstitutional Preference Estimation. Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 2001, 17, 477-506.	1.5	90

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
40	Confirmation Delay for Vacancies on the Circuit Courts of Appeals. American Politics Research, 2001, 29, 246-274.	1.4	38
41	The Nominations Presidents Make: Appointing Supreme Court Justices. Congress and the Presidency, 2001, 28, 63-84.	0.5	2
42	To Advise and Consent: The Senate and Lower Federal Court Nominations, 1977-1998. Journal of Politics, 2002, 64, 337-361.	2.2	81
43	Delaying Justice(s): A Duration Analysis of Supreme Court Confirmations. American Journal of Political Science, 2003, 47, 654-668.	4.5	105
46	Courts and Judges. , 0, , 170-194.		5
48	RULING AGAINST THE RULERS. , 2004, , 1-19.		0
49	THE LOGIC OF STRATEGIC DEFECTION. , 2004, , 20-40.		0
50	A THEORY OF COURT-EXECUTIVE RELATIONS: INSECURE TENURE, INCOMPLETE INFORMATION, AND STRATEGIC BEHAVIOR. , 2004, , 41-60.		0
51	JUDGES, GENERALS, AND PRESIDENTS: INSTITUTIONAL INSECURITY ON THE ARGENTINE SUPREME COURT, 1976–1999. , 2004, , 61-97.		0
52	THE REVERSE LEGAL-POLITICAL CYCLE: AN ANALYSIS OF DECISION MAKING ON THE ARGENTINE SUPREME COURT. , 2004, , 98-125.		0
53	THE DYNAMICS OF DEFECTION: HUMAN RIGHTS, CIVIL LIBERTIES, AND PRESIDENTIAL POWER. , 2004, , 126-152		0
54	CONCLUSION: BROADER LESSONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS. , 2004, , 153-174.		0
60	Presidential Capital and the Supreme Court Confirmation Process. Journal of Politics, 2004, 66, 663-683.	2.2	79
61	The contingency of Senate consent: a study of the determinants of roll call confirmation voting on executive branch appointments, 1945–1996. Social Science Journal, 2004, 41, 67-82.	1.5	2
62	The Effects of Information on the Accuracy of Presidential Assessments of Supreme Court Nominee Preferences. Political Research Quarterly, 2005, 58, 151.	1.7	0
63	Final Passage Votes., 2005,, 87-105.		0
64	The Costs of Agenda Control., 2005, , 106-123.		0
65	The Textbook Congress and the Committee on Rules. , 2005, , 124-148.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
66	Which Way Does Policy Move?., 2005,, 171-198.		0
67	Positive Agenda Power. , 2005, , 201-219.		0
68	Modeling Agenda Power. , 2005, , 37-49.		0
70	The Primacy of Reed's Rules in House Organization. , 2005, , 50-86.		O
71	The Bills Reported from Committee. , 2005, , 149-170.		0
73	Procedural Cartel Theory. , 2005, , 17-34.		1
74	The American Congress: Modern Trends. , 2005, , 1-24.		0
75	Representation and Lawmaking in Congress: The Constitutional and Historical Context. , 2005, , 25-52.		0
76	Congressional Elections and Policy Alignments. , 2005, , 53-90.		0
77	The Rules of the Legislative Game. , 2005, , 91-120.		0
78	Members, Goals, Resources, and Strategies. , 2005, , 121-152.		0
79	Parties and Leaders. , 2005, , 153-196.		0
80	The Standing Committees. , 2005, , 197-240.		0
81	The Floor and Voting. , 2005, , 241-270.		0
82	Congress and the President., 2005,, 271-312.		0
83	Congress and the Courts. , 2005, , 313-340.		0
84	Congress, Lobbyists, and Interest Groups., 2005,, 341-366.		0
85	Congress and Budget Politics. , 2005, , 367-392.		0

#	Article	IF	Citations
89	Setting the Agenda. , 2005, , xiii-xiv.		5
93	The Effects of Information on the Accuracy of Presidential Assessments of Supreme Court Nominee Preferences. Political Research Quarterly, 2005, 58, 151-160.	1.7	18
94	Pivotal Politics, Presidential Capital, and Supreme Court Nominations. Congress and the Presidency, 2005, 32, 31-48.	0.5	46
95	Choosing When to Choose: Explaining the Duration of Presidential Supreme Court Nomination Decisions. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2006, , .	0.4	2
96	Judicial Review of Acts of Congress, 1789-2006. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2006, , .	0.4	2
97	The Senate Confirmation Process and the Quality of U.S. Supreme Court Justices. Polity, 2006, 38, 295-313.	0.5	1
98	Roll Call Votes on Cabinet Confirmations. Congress and the Presidency, 2006, 33, 1-19.	0.5	2
99	The Changing Dynamics of Senate Voting on Supreme Court Nominees. Journal of Politics, 2006, 68, 296-307.	2.2	90
100	Judicial Procedures as Instruments of Political Control: Congress's Strategic Use of Citizen Suits. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 2006, 31, 283-305.	1.2	13
101	Pensions, Politics, and Judicial Tenure: An Empirical Study of Federal Judges, 1869–2002. American Law and Economics Review, 2006, 8, 143-180.	0.9	38
102	Appointment Delay and the Policy Environment of the National Transportation Safety Board. Administration and Society, 2006, 37, 679-694.	2.1	7
103	Partisanship, Ideology, and Senate Voting on Supreme Court Nominees. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2007, ,	0.4	4
104	Comparable Preference Estimates across Time and Institutions for the Court, Congress, and Presidency. American Journal of Political Science, 2007, 51, 433-448.	4.5	280
105	Presidents, Political Regimes, and Contentious Supreme Court Nominations: A Historical Institutional Model. Law and Social Inquiry, 2007, 32, 919-954.	0.6	11
106	Partisanship, Ideology, and Senate Voting on Supreme Court Nominees. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2008, 5, 55-76.	0.8	34
107	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: SENATORS†OPENING STATEMENTS AT SUPREME COURT CONFIRMATON HEARINGS. Southeastern Political Review, 1996, 24, 77-97.	0.1	0
108	Who Consents? Competing Pivots in Federal Judicial Selection. American Journal of Political Science, 2008, 52, 471-489.	4.5	53
109	The Supreme Court Appointment Process: Lessons from Filling the Rehnquist and O'Connor Vacancies. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2008, 41, 355-358.	0.5	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
110	Sounding the Fire Alarm: The Role of Interest Groups in the Lower Federal Court Confirmation Process. Journal of Politics, 2008, 70, 1026-1039.	2.2	51
111	Public Opinion and Senate Confirmation of Supreme Court Nominees. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2008, , .	0.4	4
112	Nominating Federal Judges and Justices. , 2009, , .		1
113	Malign Neglect: Evidence That Delay Has Become the Primary Method of Defeating Presidential Appointments. Congress and the Presidency, 2009, 36, 226-243.	0.5	40
114	How Will They Vote? Predicting the Future Behavior of Supreme Court Nominees, 1937–2006. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2009, 6, 485-511.	0.8	26
115	Public Opinion and Senate Confirmation of Supreme Court Nominees. Journal of Politics, 2010, 72, 767-784.	2.2	69
117	The Partisan Dynamics of Supreme Court Confirmation Voting. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
118	From Consent to Advice and Consent. Political Research Quarterly, 2010, 63, 328-342.	1.7	8
119	Counting Congress In: Patterns of Success in Judicial Nomination Requests by Members of Congress to Presidents Eisenhower and Ford. American Politics Research, 2010, 38, 691-717.	1.4	7
120	Senator Opposition to Supreme Court Nominations: Reference Dependence on the Departing Justice. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 2010, 35, 393-416.	1.2	8
121	The Changing Politics of Federal Judicial Nominations. Congress and the Presidency, 2010, 37, 157-175.	0.5	16
122	Female and Minority Judicial Nominees: President's Delight and Senators' Dismay?. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 2011, 36, 591-619.	1.2	35
124	Congress and Budget Politics., 0,, 379-404.		0
126	Distorting the Electoral Connection? Partisan Representation in Confirmation Politics. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
127	The Impact of Divided Government on the Supreme Court Appointment Process: An Assessment of Judicial Extremism, 1946â€2009. Politics and Policy, 2011, 39, 1077-1105.	1.2	1
128	Going Public When Opinion Is Contested: Evidence from Presidents' Campaigns for Supreme Court Nominees, 1930â€2009. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2011, 41, 442-470.	0.6	38
130	Obstructing Agenda-Setting: Examining Blue Slip Behavior in the Senate. Forum (Germany), $2011, 9, .$	0.5	7
131	The Changing Politics of Supreme Court Confirmations. American Politics Research, 2012, 40, 737-763.	1.4	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
132	The Supreme Court's Many Median Justices. American Political Science Review, 2012, 106, 847-866.	3.7	95
133	Improving Statistical Inference with Clustered Data. Statistics, Politics, and Policy, 2012, 3, .	0.5	3
134	The Value of Information in the Court: Get it Right, Keep it Tight. American Economic Review, 2012, 102, 202-237.	8.5	53
135	Why so much stability? Majority voting, legislative institutions, and Gordon Tullock. Public Choice, 2012, 152, 83-95.	1.7	13
136	Representative Appointments: The Effect of Women's Groups in Contentious Supreme Court Confirmations. Journal of Women, Politics and Policy, 2013, 34, 1-22.	1.3	4
137	Senate confirmation of cabinet appointments: Congress-centered, presidency-centered, and nominee-centered explanations. Social Science Journal, 2013, 50, 177-188.	1.5	3
138	Replacing Sandra Day O'Connor: Gender and the Politics of Supreme Court Nominations. Journal of Women, Politics and Policy, 2013, 34, 23-48.	1.3	2
139	Elections in <scp>W</scp> estern <scp>E</scp> uropean parliaments. European Journal of Political Research, 2013, 52, 512-535.	4.1	6
140	Presidential Preferences? The Supreme Federal Tribunal Nominations in Democratic Brazil. Latin American Politics and Society, 2013, 55, 77-105.	0.6	15
141	Analysing constitutional reform in Britain: Insights from economic approaches. British Politics, 2013, 8, 410-432.	1.1	2
142	Voting for Justices: Change and Continuity in Confirmation Voting 1937–2010. Journal of Politics, 2013, 75, 283-299.	2.2	25
143	The Standing Committees. , 0, , 173-216.		0
144	The Rules of the Legislative Game. , 0, , 217-248.		0
145	Members, Goals, Resources, and Strategies. , 0, , 93-130.		0
146	The Floor and Voting. , 0, , 249-276.		0
147	Congress, Lobbyists, and Interest Groups. , 0, , 345-374.		0
148	Appointment Politics and the Ideological Composition of the Judiciary. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 2014, 39, 27-54.	1.2	3
149	Qualifications or Philosophy? The Use of Blue Slips in a Polarized Era. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2014, 44, 290-308.	0.6	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
150	Choosing When to Choose: Explaining the Duration of Presidential Supreme Court Nomination Decisions. Congress and the Presidency, 2014, 41, 1-24.	0.5	4
151	InstitutionalConflict andPerceivedPropertyRights inAppointmentPolitics. Congress and the Presidency, 2014, 41, 223-247.	0.5	1
152	Polarizing the Electoral Connection: Partisan Representation in Supreme Court Confirmation Politics. Journal of Politics, 2015, 77, 787-804.	2.2	53
153	Communicating judicial retirement. International Review of Law and Economics, 2015, 43, 107-118.	0.8	1
154	The Political Determinants of Ambassadorial Appointments. Presidential Studies Quarterly, 2015, 45, 445-466.	0.6	27
155	Nuclear Fallout: Investigating the Effect of Senate Procedural Reform on Judicial Nominations. Forum (Germany), $2015,13,$	0.5	4
156	Are Supreme Court Nominations a Move-the-Median Game?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
157	How Uncertainty About Judicial Nominees Can Distort the Confirmation Process. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2015, , .	0.4	0
158	Senate Gate-Keeping, Presidential Staffing of "Inferior Offices," and the Ideological Composition of Appointments to the Public Bureaucracy. Quarterly Journal of Political Science, 2015, 10, 5-40.	1.1	42
159	Vacancies, vetting, and votes: A unified dynamic model of the appointments process. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 2015, 27, 206-236.	0.4	42
160	Forecasting the Senate vote on the Supreme Court vacancy. Research and Politics, 2016, 3, 205316801666121.	1.1	0
161	Are Supreme Court Nominations a Move-the-Median Game?. American Political Science Review, 2016, 110, 778-797.	3.7	11
162	Confirmation Wars, Legislative Time, and Collateral Damage. Political Research Quarterly, 2016, 69, 746-759.	1.7	10
163	Women's Representation in the Highest Court. Political Research Quarterly, 2016, 69, 865-876.	1.7	21
164	The Marginality Hypothesis and Supreme Court Confirmation Votes in the Senate. Congress and the Presidency, 2016, 43, 324-351.	0.5	2
165	How uncertainty about judicial nominees can distort the confirmation process. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 2017, 29, 22-47.	0.4	9
166	The When and Why of Nominations. American Politics Research, 2017, 45, 280-303.	1.4	20
167	The Supreme Court of the United States. , 0, , 723-760.		1

#	Article	IF	Citations
168	Appointing Supreme Court Justices., 2017,,.		2
169	The Economic Analysis of Judicial Behavior. , 2017, , .		2
170	The Who, When, and Where of Executive Nominations: Integrating Agency Independence and Appointee Ideology. American Journal of Political Science, 2018, 62, 296-311.	4.5	24
171	Judging the "Vapid and Hollow Charade― Citizen Evaluations and the Candor of U.S. Supreme Court Nominees. Political Behavior, 2018, 40, 495-520.	2.7	9
172	Someone like Me: Descriptive Representation and Support for Supreme Court Nominees. Political Research Quarterly, 2018, 71, 127-142.	1.7	52
173	The Role of Nominee Gender and Race at U.S. Supreme Court Confirmation Hearings. Law and Society Review, 2018, 52, 871-901.	1.0	8
174	Succession, Opportunism, and Rebellion on State Supreme Courts: Decisions to Run for Chief Justice. Justice System Journal, 2019, 40, 286-301.	0.4	3
175	Friends with benefits: Case significance, amicus curiae, and agenda setting on the U.S. Supreme Court. International Review of Law and Economics, 2019, 58, 43-53.	0.8	3
176	Packing the Courts: Ideological Proximity and Expansions to the Federal Judiciary from 1937 to 2012. Journal of Law and Courts, 2019, 7, 81-106.	0.6	1
177	Presidential Selection of Supreme Court Nominees: The Characteristics Approach. Quarterly Journal of Political Science, 2019, 14, 439-474.	1.1	8
178	From Textbook Pluralism to Modern Hyperpluralism. Journal of Law and Courts, 2020, 8, 301-332.	0.6	5
179	Judicial Nominations to the Courts of Appeals and the Strategic Decision to Elevate. Justice System Journal, 2020, 41, 118-138.	0.4	0
180	The "New Normal―in Supreme Court Confirmation Voting: Hyper-Partisanship in the Trump Era. Congress and the Presidency, 2020, 47, 365-386.	0.5	2
181	Democratic Representation of all "the People†Antislavery Petitions in the U.S. Senate. Studies in American Political Development, 2020, 34, 269-291.	0.4	0
182	The Structure of Appointment Politics: Consistency or Change?. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 2021, 46, 391-426.	1.2	4
184	Federal reserve appointments and the politics of senate confirmation. Public Choice, 2022, 190, 93-110.	1.7	1
189	Executives—The American Presidency. , 2008, , .		2
190	The New Separationâ€ofâ€Powers Approach to American Politics. , 2009, , .		2

#	Article	IF	Citations
191	'Overly Deferential to Executive Power:' Partisanship and Evasiveness in Justice Samuel Alito's Confirmation Hearing. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
192	Strategically Speaking: A New Analysis of Presidents Going Public. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
193	Congress and the Political Expansion of the United States District Courts. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0,	0.4	0
194	Delegating powers: a transaction cost politics approach to policy making under separate powers. Choice Reviews, 2000, 37, 37-6536-37-6536.	0.2	147
195	The European Central Bank., 2003,, 205-230.		0
197	Courts under constraints: judges, generals, and presidents in Argentina. Choice Reviews, 2005, 43, 43-0578-43-0578.	0.2	25
198	Setting the agenda: responsible party government in the U.S. House of Representatives. Choice Reviews, 2006, 43, 43-4315-43-4315.	0.2	123
199	Constructing Courts in Polarized Times. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
200	The Politics of Judicial Departures in the U.S. Federal Courts. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
201	The U.S. Supreme Court. , 0, , 487-502.		2
202	Filling the Bench. , 2008, , .		0
203	Appointment of Justices to the U.S. Supreme Court. , 2009, , 49-78.		0
204	Quantitative Approaches to Studying the Presidency. , 2009, , .		3
205	Can 'So Few So Quickly Change So Much?'. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
206	â€~U.S. Asylum Decisions: Who You Face on the Bench is as Important as What You Face at Home'. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
208	Voting for Justices: Change and Continuity in Confirmation Voting 1937-2010. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
209	A Theory of Judicial Retirement. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
210	Amateurs or Ambassadors? The Political Determinants of Professional Diplomats. SSRN Electronic Journal, O, , .	0.4	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
211	The When and Why of Nominations: Determinants of Presidential Appointments. SSRN Electronic Journal, $0, \dots$	0.4	0
212	The Who, When, and Where of Executive Nominations: Integrating Agency Independence and Appointee Ideology. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
213	From Genteel Pluralism to Hyper-Pluralism: Interest Groups and Supreme Court Nominations, 1930-2017. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
214	Congress and Congressional Power. , 2021, , 109-179.		0
215	Congressional Elections and Policy Alignments. , 0, , 59-92.		0
217	Going Nuclear: Federalist Society Affiliated Judicial Nominees' Prospects and a New Era of Confirmation Politics ¹ . American Politics Research, 2023, 51, 37-56.	1.4	1
218	Determinantes de las designaciones de ministros de la Suprema Corte de Justicia de México, 1995-2021. Cuestiones Constitucionales, 0, , 151-182.	0.0	0
219	Quality in Measurement Matters: Adjusted American Bar Association Ratings and Circuit Court Confirmation Hearing Word Choice. Journal of Law and Courts, 0, , 1-22.	0.6	0