CITATION REPORT List of articles citing



DOI: 10.1177/016555158801400208 Journal of Information Science, 1988, 14, 123-127.

Source: https://exaly.com/paper-pdf/19911670/citation-report.pdf

Version: 2024-04-28

This report has been generated based on the citations recorded by exaly.com for the above article. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

#	Paper	IF	Citations
80	Abstracts. Science Technology and Human Values, 1988, 13, 83-206	2.5	
79	Scientometric datafiles. A comprehensive set of indicators on 2649 journals and 96 countries in all major science fields and subfields 1981 1985. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1989 , 16, 3-478	3	196
78	Quantitative studies of science a current bibliography. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1990 , 18, 445-463	3	2
77	Analytical viewpoint. Evaluation of citedness in Analytical Chemistry: how much is much?. <i>Analytical Proceedings</i> , 1990 , 27, 38-41		5
76	What are highly cited publications? A method applied to German scientific papers, 1980-1989. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 1992 , 2, 135-141	1.7	13
75	Reference standards for citation based assessments. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1993 , 26, 21-35	3	33
74	Do citations matter?. Journal of Information Science, 1994 , 20, 2-15	2	112
73	Differences in the construction of sci based bibliometric indicators among various producers: A first over view. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1996 , 35, 177-191	3	36
72	How should citations to articles in high- and low-impact journals be evaluated, or what is a citation worth?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1996 , 37, 495-498	3	12
71	A new methodological approach to bibliographic coupling and its application to the national, regional and institutional level. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1996 , 37, 195-221	3	109
70	Cross-field normalization of scientometric indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1996 , 36, 311-324	3	99
69	A revealed preference study of management journals direct influences. <i>Strategic Management Journal</i> , 1999 , 20, 279-296	5.2	293
68	Journal impact measures in bibliometric research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2002 , 53, 171-193	3	306
67	Characteristics of journal impact factors: The effects of uncitedness and citation distribution on the understanding of journal impact factors. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2005 , 63, 357-371	3	47
66	Characteristic scores and scales. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2007 , 1, 92-102	3.1	61
65	Subfield-specific normalized relative indicators and a new generation of relational charts: Methodological foundations illustrated on the assessment of institutional research performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009 , 78, 165-188	3	64
64	The multi-dimensionality of journal impact. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009 , 78, 355-374	3	24

63	Characteristic scores and scales based on h-type indices. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010 , 4, 14-22	3.1	12
62	Characteristic scores and scales in a Lotkaian framework. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 83, 455-462	3	5
61	Letter to the editor: On Randi H-sequence. Scientometrics, 2010, 84, 795-797	3	2
60	The role of the h-index and the characteristic scores and scales in testing the tail properties of scientometric distributions. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 83, 697-709	3	20
59	Mathematical results on Randiß H-index and H-sequence. Research Evaluation, 2010,	1.7	
58	Positioning research and innovation performance using shape centroids of h-core and h-tail. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 515-528	3.1	15
57	The application of characteristic scores and scales to the evaluation and ranking of scientific journals. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2011 , 37, 40-48	2	43
56	A priori vs. a posteriori normalisation of citation indicators. The case of journal ranking. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 415-424	3	32
55	References made and citations received by scientific articles. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011 , 62, 40-49		65
54	The measurement of low- and high-impact in citation distributions: Technical results. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 48-63	3.1	30
53	Field-normalized impact factors (IFs): A comparison of rescaling and fractionally counted IFs. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2013 , 64, 2299-2309		22
52	Capturing and Tracking Performance of Patent Portfolio Using \$h\$ -Complement Area Centroid. <i>IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management</i> , 2013 , 60, 496-505	2.6	5
51	High-end performance or outlier? Evaluating the tail of scientometric distributions. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 97, 13-23	3	17
50	The Application of Citation-Based Performance Classes to the Disciplinary and Multidisciplinary Assessment in National Comparison and Institutional Research Assessment. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2014,	1	
49	The application of citation-based performance classes to the disciplinary and multidisciplinary assessment in national comparison and institutional research assessment. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 101, 939-952	3	31
48	Assessing national strengths and weaknesses in research fields. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 766-77	753.1	13
47	Are the authors of highly cited articles also the most productive ones?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 89-97	3.1	35
46	The challenges to expand bibliometric studies from periodical literature to monographic literature with a new data source: the book citation index. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 2165-2179	3	29

45 BIBLIOGRAPHY. **2016**, 407-484

44	Introducing CitedReferencesExplorer (CRExplorer): A program for reference publication year spectroscopy with cited references standardization. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 503-515	3.1	61
43	Commonly Used Indexes for Assessment of Research Production. <i>Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of Scientific and Scholarly Communication</i> , 2016 , 55-99		
42	A review of the literature on citation impact indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 365-391	3.1	476
41	A triangular model for publication and citation statistics of individual authors. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 107, 857-872	3	7
40	From Matthew to Hirsch: A Success-Breeds-Success Story. 2016 , 165-179		2
39	An investigation on the skewness patterns and fractal nature of research productivity distributions at field and discipline level. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 324-335	3.1	9
38	Improved author profiling through the use of citation classes. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 111, 829-839	3	5
37	Applying the CSS method to bibliometric indicators used in (university) rankings. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 110, 1077-1079	3	12
36	An empirical investigation of the associations among usage, scientific collaboration and citation impact. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 112, 403-412	3	25
35	On the quest for currencies of science. Aslib Journal of Information Management, 2017, 69, 557-575	1.5	5
34	Sequence analysis of annually normalized citation counts: an empirical analysis based on the characteristic scores and scales (CSS) method. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 113, 1665-1680	3	5
33	Disaggregated research evaluation through median-based characteristic scores and scales: a comparison with the mean-based approach. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 748-765	3.1	4
32	Explaining the transatlantic gap in research excellence. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 110, 217-241	3	20
31	Identifying potential B reakthrough publications using refined citation analyses: Three related explorative approaches. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017 , 68, 709	9- 7 73	14
30	How long do top scientists maintain their stardom? An analysis by region, gender and discipline: evidence from Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 110, 867-877	3	10
29	Vicious circles of gender bias, lower positions, and lower performance: Gender differences in scholarly productivity and impact. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017 , 12, e0183301	3.7	52
28	Which differences can be expected when two universities in the Leiden Ranking are compared? Some benchmarks for institutional research evaluations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 115, 1101-1105	3	3

(2020-2018)

27	Identifying landmark publications in the long run using field-normalized citation data. <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , 2018 , 74, 278-288	1.3	7
26	Scientometric research assessment in the developing world: A tribute to Michael J. Moravcsik from the perspective of the twenty-first century. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 115, 1517-1532	3	10
25	The role of baseline granularity for benchmarking citation impact. The case of CSS profiles. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 116, 521-536	3	3
24	The lognormal distribution explains the remarkable pattern documented by characteristic scores and scales in scientometrics. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 401-415	3.1	7
23	Research assessment by percentile-based double rank analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 315-32	23.1	12
22	Bibliography. 2018 , 341-375		
21	Individual and field citation distributions in 29 broad scientific fields. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 868-892	3.1	7
20	Creativity in science and the link to cited references: Is the creative potential of papers reflected in their cited references?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 906-930	3.1	21
19	Does bibliometric research confer legitimacy to research assessment practice? A sociological study of reputational control, 1972-2016. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018 , 13, e0199031	3.7	29
18	Critical rationalism and the search for standard (field-normalized) indicators in bibliometrics. Journal of Informetrics, 2018 , 12, 598-604	3.1	21
17	How well does I3 perform for impact measurement compared to other bibliometric indicators? The convergent validity of several (field-normalized) indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 119, 1187-1205	3	4
16	Normalisation of citation impact in economics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 120, 841-884	3	24
15	Testing for universality of Mendeley readership distributions. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 726-737	3.1	8
14	Probability and expected frequency of breakthroughs: basis and use of a robust method of research assessment. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 119, 213-235	3	7
13	Evaluierung von Rankingverfahren fil bibliothekarische Informationssysteme. Information-Wissenschaft Und Praxis, 2019 , 70, 14-23	0.1	
12	Spatial bibliometrics on the city level. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2019 , 45, 416-425	2	3
11	Can we predict ESI highly cited publications?. Scientometrics, 2019, 118, 109-125	3	7
10	A novel methodology to assess the scientific standing of nations at field level. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 100986	3.1	5

9	Large-scale identification and characterization of scholars on Twitter. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020 , 1-21	3.8	5
8	The big challenge of Scientometrics 2.0: exploring the broader impact of scientific research in public health. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 125, 1011-1031	3	6
7	Field Normalization of Scientometric Indicators. Springer Handbooks, 2019, 281-300	1.3	13
6	Citation Classes: A Distribution-based Approach for Evaluative Purposes. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019 , 33	5- <u>2</u> .60	2
5	Quantity and/or Quality? The Importance of Publishing Many Papers. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0166149	3.7	58
4	Apriori vs. A Posteriori Normalisation of Citation Indicators: The Case of Journal Ranking. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> ,	1	
3	Mapping of Research Output in the Indian Veterinary Journal through Google Scholar. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> ,	1	
2	Related Work and Our Approach. <i>Cognitive Science and Technology</i> , 2022 , 7-39	0.2	
1	Research articles promoted in embargo e-mails receive higher citations and altmetrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022 , 127, 75-97	3	O