

Interactions Between Jurors as a Function of Majority v

Journal of Applied Social Psychology

7, 38-56

DOI: [10.1111/j.1559-1816.1977.tb02416.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1977.tb02416.x)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Jurors and Juries. , 2004, , 225-284.		2
2	From the '50s to the '70s: Women in Jury Deliberations. Sociometry, 1976, 39, 293.	0.9	56
4	The American Trial Jury on Trial: Empirical Evidence and Procedural Modifications. Journal of Social Issues, 1978, 34, 137-164.	3.3	6
5	The Psychology of Group Processes. Annual Review of Psychology, 1979, 30, 417-451.	17.7	119
6	THE SLIPPERY SLOPE: Jury Size and Jury Verdict Requirementsâ€”Legal and Social Science Approaches. Law and Policy, 1980, 2, 285-304.	0.7	6
7	JURY DECISION MAKING MODELS AND THE SUPREME COURT: THE JURY CASES FROM WILLIAMS v. FLORIDA TO BALLEW v. GEORGIA*. Policy Studies Journal, 1980, 8, 749-772.	5.1	2
8	Democracy vs. Efficiency: Some Arguments from the Small Group. Political Psychology, 1980, 2, 15.	3.6	9
9	Group decision making and social influence: A social interaction sequence model.. Psychological Review, 1981, 88, 523-551.	3.8	113
10	Structural effects in simulated jury decision making.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1981, 40, 1055-1062.	2.8	15
11	Social transition schemes: Charting the group's road to agreement.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1981, 41, 684-702.	2.8	73
12	Jury Trials: Psychology And Law. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 1981, 14, 309-367.	3.3	13
14	Decision development in small groups, III: A multiple sequence model of group decision development¹. Communication Monographs, 1983, 50, 321-341.	2.7	162
15	The Court, the Jury, and the Facts: Hastie et al.'s Inside the Jury. American Bar Foundation Research Journal, 1984, 9, 705-721.	0.0	1
16	Hidden impact of minorities: Fifteen years of minority influence research.. Psychological Bulletin, 1984, 95, 428-450.	6.1	220
17	Group Decision Making Under Majority and Unanimity Decision Rules. Social Psychology Quarterly, 1985, 48, 51.	2.1	33
18	Differential contributions of majority and minority influence.. Psychological Review, 1986, 93, 23-32.	3.8	1,066
19	Some social psychological effects of group decision rules.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1987, 52, 325-332.	2.8	56
20	Group decision making and normative versus informational influence: Effects of type of issue and assigned decision rule.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1987, 53, 306-313.	2.8	311

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
21	Minority Influence, Divergent Thinking and Detection of Correct Solutions. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 1987, 17, 788-799.	2.0	199
22	Asymmetric influence in mock jury deliberation: Jurors' bias for leniency.. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 1988, 54, 21-33.	2.8	189
24	Testing persuasive argument theory's predictor model: Alternative interactional accounts of group argument and influence. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 1989, 56, 112-132.	2.7	34
25	Psychology and Law: The Last 15 Years. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 1989, 19, 199-230.	2.0	25
26	Negotiation in small groups.. <i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i> , 1989, 74, 508-517.	5.3	94
27	Procedural influence in small-group decision making: Deliberation style and assigned decision rule.. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 1991, 61, 245-256.	2.8	52
28	Argument in initial group decision-making discussions: Refinement of a coding scheme and a descriptive quantitative analysis. <i>Western Journal of Speech Communication: WJSC</i> , 1991, 55, 47-68.	0.9	49
29	Psychological entrapment in group decision making: An assigned decision rule and a groupthink phenomenon.. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 1993, 65, 282-292.	2.8	79
30	Análisis de la deliberación de jurados que varían en tamaño y regla de decisión. <i>Revista De Psicología Social</i> , 1994, 9, 19-29.	0.7	2
31	Dissent as Driving Cognition, Attitudes, and Judgments. <i>Social Cognition</i> , 1995, 13, 273-291.	0.9	192
32	Motivational Orientation and the Nature of Consensual Decision Processes: A Triangulated Approach. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1996, 49, 27-49.	1.7	4
33	The Transmission of Norms Regarding Group Decision Rules. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 1997, 23, 516-525.	3.0	14
34	In Search of Causes of Hung Juries. <i>Expert Evidence</i> , 1998, 6, 243-260.	0.0	1
35	Impact of juror attitudes about the death penalty on juror evaluations of guilt and punishment: A meta-analysis.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 1998, 22, 715-731.	0.7	34
36	Can You have too Much of a Good Thing? The Limits of Voice for Improving Satisfaction with Leaders. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 1999, 25, 313-324.	3.0	65
37	The ecological validity of jury simulations: Is the jury still out?. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 1999, 23, 75-91.	0.7	592
38	Asymmetrical Social Influence in Freely Interacting Groups Discussing the Death Penalty: A Shared Representations Interpretation. <i>Group Processes and Intergroup Relations</i> , 2000, 3, 387-401.	3.9	18
40	Jury decision making: 45 years of empirical research on deliberating groups.. <i>Psychology, Public Policy, and Law</i> , 2001, 7, 622-727.	1.2	316

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
42	PUBLIC DELIBERATION, DISCURSIVE PARTICIPATION, AND CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT: A Review of the Empirical Literature. <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> , 2004, 7, 315-344.	6.5	1,037
43	Effects of group decision rules on decisions involving continuous alternatives: The unanimity rule and extreme decisions in mock civil juries. <i>Journal of Experimental Social Psychology</i> , 2004, 40, 320-331.	2.2	7
44	The Origins and Consequences of Consensus Decision Making: A Test of the Social Consensus Model. <i>Southern Communication Journal</i> , The, 2006, 71, 1-24.	0.5	23
46	A guaranteed right to trial by jury at state level?. <i>Australian Journal of Human Rights</i> , 2009, 15, 97-125.	0.6	0
47	Factors Affecting the Length of Time a Jury Deliberates: Case Characteristics and Jury Composition. <i>Review of Law and Economics</i> , 2009, 5, .	0.3	5
48	Creative consensus on interpretations of qualitative data: The Ward method. <i>Psychotherapy Research</i> , 2009, 19, 558-565.	1.8	68
49	The promise of a cognitive perspective on jury deliberation. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2010, 17, 174-179.	2.8	49
52	Cognitive adaptation to the experience of social and cultural diversity.. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i> , 2011, 137, 242-266.	6.1	316
53	The Roles of Shared Stereotypes and Shared Processing Goals on Mock Jury Decision Making. <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2012, 34, 88-97.	2.1	8
54	Gender Inequality in Deliberative Participation. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2012, 106, 533-547.	3.7	264
58	Finding the "Sense of the Meeting": Decision Making Through Silence Among Quakers. <i>Western Journal of Communication</i> , 2014, 78, 155-174.	1.2	20
59	Deviance in organizational decision making: using unanimous decision rules to promote the positive effects and alleviate the negative effects of deviance. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2014, 44, 455-463.	2.0	3
60	Groups, Decision Rules, and Negotiation Outcomes: Simulating the Negotiator's Dilemma. <i>Negotiation Journal</i> , 2014, 30, 5-22.	0.5	4
61	Building bridges across political divides: experiments on deliberative democracy in deeply divided Belgium. <i>European Political Science Review</i> , 2014, 6, 427-450.	1.9	28
62	Gender Inequality in Deliberation: Unpacking the Black Box of Interaction. <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> , 2014, 12, 18-44.	0.3	75
64	Why women's numbers elevate women's influence, and when they do not: rules, norms, and authority in political discussion. <i>Politics, Groups & Identities</i> , 2015, 3, 149-177.	1.8	26
65	Deliberation and disagreement. <i>Politics, Philosophy & Economics</i> , 2015, 14, 229-254.	1.0	53
66	Group decision rules and group rationality under risk. <i>Journal of Risk and Uncertainty</i> , 2016, 52, 99-116.	1.5	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
67	Women's authority in political decision-making groups. <i>Leadership Quarterly</i> , 2016, 27, 487-503.	5.8	22
68	Majority and Minority Influence. , 0, , .		5
69	Differences in the way we decide: The effect of decision style diversity on process conflict in design teams. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 2017, 104, 339-344.	2.9	20
70	Resolvable vs. Irresolvable Disagreement. <i>Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction</i> , 2018, 2, 1-19.	3.3	32
71	Closing the Justice Gap for Adult and Child Sexual Assault. , 2020, , .		14
72	Examining the effect of case and trial factors on defense attorneys' plea decision-making. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2021, 27, 357-382.	1.0	7
73	The Trial: A Research Reviews. <i>Perspectives in Law & Psychology</i> , 1981, , 1-68.	0.4	11
74	Mathematical Models of Juror and Jury Decision-Making. <i>Perspectives in Law & Psychology</i> , 1981, , 305-351.	0.4	9
75	Constructive Controversy: Teaching Students How to Think Creatively. , 2015, , 59-87.		1
77	Digital Juries: A Civics-Oriented Approach to Platform Governance. , 2020, , .		35
79	Should We Aim for Consensus?. <i>Epistēmē</i> , 2010, 7, 198-214.	0.9	53
80	Minority Influence Theory. , 0, , 362-378.		21
81	Jury decision making: the state of the science. <i>Choice Reviews</i> , 2013, 50, 50-4115-50-4115.	0.2	15
83	Six versus Twelve, All versus Some. , 1986, , 165-176.		0
84	The Influence of the Size and Decision Rule in Jury Decision-Making. , 1996, , 344-348.		0
85	Sozialer Einfluss. , 2020, , 87-155.		1
86	Evolutionary Game Model of Group Choice Dilemmas on Hypergraphs. <i>Physical Review Letters</i> , 2021, 127, 268301.	7.8	27
87	Interne Einflussfaktoren auf Entscheidungen des Bundesverfassungsgerichts. , 2023, , 1-13.		0