

Radiographic evaluation of marginal bone levels around
non-platform-switched implants used in an immediate

International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants
24, 920-6

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Platform Switching for Marginal Bone Preservation Around Dental Implants: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Periodontology, 2010, 81, 1350-1366.	3.4	296
2	Current challenges in successful rehabilitation with oral implants. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2011, 38, 286-294.	3.0	92
3	Soft tissue development around abutments with a circular macro-groove in healed sites of partially edentulous posterior maxillae and mandibles: a clinical pilot study. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2011, 22, 743-752.	4.5	21
4	Effect of platform switching on peri-implant bone levels: a randomized clinical trial. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2011, 22, 1185-1192.	4.5	65
5	Influence of various implant platform configurations on peri-implant tissue dimensions: an experimental study in dog. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2011, 22, 438-444.	4.5	27
6	Radiographic evaluation of marginal bone maintenance around tissue level implant and bone level implant: a randomised controlled trial. A 1-year follow-up. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2012, 39, 830-837.	3.0	38
7	Cemented and screw-retained implant reconstructions: a systematic review of the survival and complication rates. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2012, 23, 163-201.	4.5	311
8	Biomechanical evaluation of internal and external hexagon platform switched implant-abutment connections: An in vitro laboratory and three-dimensional finite element analysis. Dental Materials, 2012, 28, e218-e228.	3.5	56
9	Esthetic considerations related to bone and soft tissue maintenance and development around dental implants: Report of the Committee on Research in Fixed Prosthodontics of the American Academy of Fixed Prosthodontics. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 2012, 108, 259-267.	2.8	35
10	Marginal bone loss in relation to platform switching implant insertion depth: An update. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry, 2012, 4, e173-e179.	1.2	16
11	Evaluation of peri-implant bone resorption around Straumann Bone Level implants placed in areas reconstructed with autogenous vertical onlay bone grafts. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2012, 23, 1012-1021.	4.5	34
12	A systematic review on survival and success rates of implants placed immediately into fresh extraction sockets after at least 1 year. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2012, 23, 39-66.	4.5	286
13	Impact of platform switching on interproximal bone levels around short implants in the posterior region; 1-year results from a randomized clinical trial. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2012, 39, 688-697.	4.9	39
14	Influence of Platform Switching on Bone-level Alterations. Journal of Dental Research, 2013, 92, 139S-145S.	5.2	34
15	The Influence of Platform Switching on Clinical, Laboratory, and Image-Based Measures: A Prospective Clinical Study. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2014, 16, 936-946.	3.7	10
16	Implants in Reconstructed Bone: A Comparative Study on the Outcome of Straumann® Tissue Level and Bone Level Implants Placed in Vertically Deficient Alveolar Ridges Treated by Means of Autogenous Onlay Bone Grafts. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2014, 16, 32-50.	3.7	21
17	Impact of Platform Switching on Peri-Implant Bone Remodeling around Short Implants in the Posterior Region, 1-Year Results from a Split-Mouth Clinical Trial. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2014, 16, 70-80.	3.7	23
18	Platform switch versus platform match in the posterior mandible – 1-year results of a multicentre randomized clinical trial. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2014, 41, 521-529.	4.9	41

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Effect of the implantâ€‘abutment interface on peri-implant tissues: A systematic review. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 2014, 72, 13-25.	1.6	34
20	Crestal Bone Level Changes Around Immediately Placed Implants: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses With at Least 12 Monthsâ€™ Follow-Up After Functional Loading. Journal of Periodontology, 2014, 85, 1537-1548.	3.4	48
21	Platform switching minimises crestal bone loss around dental implants: truth or myth?. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2014, 41, 700-708.	3.0	31
22	Performance of conical abutment (Morse Taper) connection implants: A systematic review. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research - Part A, 2014, 102, 552-574.	4.0	167
23	Early implant bone loss after connection of the abutment. The Journal of Clinical Dentistry, 2015, 35, 13-19.	0.0	0
24	Influence of Vertical Soft Tissue Thickness on Crestal Bone Changes Around Implants with Platform Switching: A Comparative Clinical Study. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2015, 17, 1228-1236.	3.7	137
25	Platform switch and dental implants: A meta-analysis. Journal of Dentistry, 2015, 43, 629-646.	4.1	80
26	Impact of platform switching on marginal periâ€‘implant boneâ€‘level changes. A systematic review and metaâ€‘analysis. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2015, 26, 342-358.	4.5	108
27	Clinical and Radiographic Evaluation of Marginal Bone Changes around Platformâ€‘Switching Implants Placed in Crestal or Subcrestal Positions: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2015, 17, e364-75.	3.7	34
28	Immediate loading of postâ€‘extractive singleâ€‘tooth implants: a 1â€‘year prospective study. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2015, 26, 1070-1079.	4.5	24
29	Immediate Loading of Postextraction Implants in the Esthetic Area: Systematic Review of the Literature. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2015, 17, 52-70.	3.7	53
30	Prevalence of peri-implant disease on platform switching implants: a cross-sectional pilot study. Brazilian Oral Research, 2016, 30, .	1.4	7
31	Assessment of Marginal Bone Loss around Platform-Matched and Platform-Switched Implants - A Prospective Study. Brazilian Dental Journal, 2016, 27, 712-716.	1.1	7
32	Outcomes of placing short implants in the posterior mandible: a preliminary randomized controlled trial. Australian Dental Journal, 2016, 61, 208-218.	1.5	13
33	Influence of implant neck design on facial bone crest dimensions in the esthetic zone analyzed by cone beam CT: a comparative study with a 5â€‘year followâ€‘up. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2016, 27, 1055-1064.	4.5	36
34	Radiographic evaluation of conical tapered platformâ€‘switched implants in the posterior mandible: 1â€‘year results of a twoâ€‘center prospective study. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2016, 27, 686-693.	4.5	17
35	Evaluation of marginal bone loss of dental implants with internal or external connections and its association with other variables: A systematic review. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 2016, 116, 501-506.e5.	2.8	39
36	Platform-switching implants and bone preservation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2016, 45, 332-345.	1.5	53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Stress distribution in the transitional peri-implant bone in a single implant-supported prosthesis with platform-switching under different angulated loads. <i>Odontology / the Society of the Nippon Dental University</i> , 2017, 105, 68-75.	1.9	13
38	Comparison of clinical and radiographic status of platform-switched implants placed in patients with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 24-month follow-up longitudinal study. <i>Clinical Oral Implants Research</i> , 2017, 28, 226-230.	4.5	20
39	Combination of straight and tilted implants for supporting screw-retained dental prostheses in atrophic posterior maxillae: A 2-year prospective study. <i>Journal of Dentistry</i> , 2017, 63, 85-93.	4.1	10
40	Immediate Versus Delayed Loading of Postextraction Implants. <i>Implant Dentistry</i> , 2017, 26, 853-859.	1.3	9
41	Impact of platform switching on interproximal bone levels around 8.5-mm implants in the posterior region; 5-year results from a randomized clinical trial. <i>Journal of Clinical Periodontology</i> , 2017, 44, 326-336.	4.9	11
42	Comparison of external and internal implant-abutment connections for implant supported prostheses. A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Dentistry</i> , 2018, 70, 14-22.	4.1	61
43	Straight and tilted implants for supporting screw-retained full-arch dental prostheses in atrophic maxillae: A 2-year prospective study. <i>Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral Y Cirugia Bucal</i> , 2018, 23, 0-0.	1.7	5
44	The influence of implant-abutment connection to peri-implant bone loss: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research</i> , 2018, 20, 653-664.	3.7	104
45	Evaluation of peri-implant marginal tissues around tissue-level and bone-level implants in patients with a history of chronic periodontitis. <i>Journal of Clinical Periodontology</i> , 2018, 45, 1255-1265.	4.9	17
46	Influence of implant-abutment connection structure on peri-implant bone level in a second molar: A 1-year randomized controlled trial. <i>Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics</i> , 2019, 11, 147.	2.6	10
47	Implant placement in fresh extraction sockets. <i>Periodontology 2000</i> , 2019, 79, 151-167.	13.4	59
48	Interventions for replacing missing teeth: partially absent dentition. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2019, 2019, CD003814.	2.8	1
49	Interventions for replacing missing teeth: different types of dental implants. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2019, 10, CD003815.	2.8	61
50	Emergence Profile of the Implant Abutment and Its Effects on the Peri-implant Tissues. , 2019, , 235-246.		0
51	Multivariate analysis of the influence of prosthodontic factors on peri-implant bleeding index and marginal bone level in a molar site: A cross-sectional study. <i>Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research</i> , 2020, 22, 713-722.	3.7	11
52	The hard and soft tissue interfaces with dental implants. , 2020, , 173-201.		2
53	Marginal Bone Loss in Implants with External Connection versus Internal Conical Connection Prior to Prosthetic Loading. A Randomized Clinical Study. <i>Coatings</i> , 2020, 10, 1044.	2.6	2
54	Bone level changes around platform switching and platform matching implants: a systematic review with meta-analysis. <i>ORAL and Implantology</i> , 2016, 9, 1-10.	0.3	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Does the implant-abutment interface interfere on marginal bone loss? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Brazilian Oral Research, 2019, 33, e068.	1.4	7
56	Platform switching: A panacea for bone loss??. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology, 2013, 17, 681.	0.7	4
57	Platform switching on wide-diameter external hex implants: a finite element analysis.. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry, 2013, 5, e77-82.	1.2	4
58	Systemic Trans- and Postoperative Evaluations of Patients Undergoing Dental Implant Surgery. Clinics, 2016, 71, 156-162.	1.5	5
59	Evaluation of Marginal Bone Level around Platform-Switched Implants. International Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry, 2014, 4, 6-10.	0.1	1
60	Dental implantation and the choice of the osteoplastic materials taking into consideration the type of the bone tissue of human jaws. Economy of Region, 2016, 9, 12.	0.4	3
61	The Impact of Platform-Switched Implants on the Marginal Bone Level and Soft Tissue Dimensions. Cumhuriyet Dental Journal, 0, , 198-210.	0.3	0
62	Crestal bone loss around tissue level implants with platform matching abutments versus bone level implants with conical/platform switched abutments in the posterior mandible: a comparative study. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 2020, 44, .	1.8	1
63	Current results and trends in platform switching. Dental Research Journal, 2011, 8, S30-6.	0.6	6
64	Postextractive implants in aesthetic areas: evaluation of perimplant bone remodeling over time. Annali Di Stomatologia, 2015, 6, 29-34.	0.6	1
65	Comparison of Bone Loss around Bone Platform Shift and Non-Bone Platform Shift Implants After 12 Months. Journal of Dentistry of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, 2015, 12, 183-7.	0.4	1
66	Analysis of Biomarkers and Marginal Bone Loss in Platform-Switched and Nonplatform-Switched Implants: A Randomized Clinical Trial. BioMed Research International, 2022, 2022, 1-10.	1.9	2
67	Marginal bone loss between internal- and external- abutment connection type implants placed in the first molar area. Journal of Dental Rehabilitation and Applied Science, 2023, 39, 32-44.	0.3	0