

When to experiment on animals

New Scientist

109, 30-2

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The inoculation of tissue specimens into guinea-pigs in suspected cases of mycobacterial infection—does it aid diagnosis and treatment?. <i>Tubercle</i> , 1987, 68, 51-57.	0.7	5
2	Measuring merit in animal research. <i>Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics</i> , 1989, 10, 21-34.	0.4	5
3	Ontogeny of muscimol effects on locomotor activity, habituation, and pain reactivity in mice. <i>Psychopharmacology</i> , 1990, 102, 41-48.	1.5	32
4	Psychology students' beliefs about animals and animal experimentation. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 1993, 15, 1-10.	1.6	38
5	Ethical regulation and animal science: why animal behaviour is not so special. <i>Animal Behaviour</i> , 2007, 74, 15-22.	0.8	16
6	Bioethics Between Pain and Welfare. <i>Veterinary Research Communications</i> , 2007, 31, 65-71.	0.6	0
7	The application of Russell and Burch 3R principle in rodent models of neurodegenerative disease: The case of Parkinson—s disease. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> , 2009, 33, 18-32.	2.9	42
8	Animal welfare and decision making in wildlife research. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2012, 153, 254-256.	1.9	39
9	Does the Goal Justify the Methods? Harm and Benefit in Neuroscience Research Using Animals. <i>Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences</i> , 2014, 19, 47-78.	0.8	12
10	Evaluating the ethical acceptability of animal research. <i>Lab Animal</i> , 2014, 43, 411-414.	0.2	18
12	How Long Must They Suffer? Success and Failure of our Efforts to End the Animal Tragedy in Laboratories. <i>ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals</i> , 2015, 43, 129-143.	0.7	6
13	Recommendations for Addressing Harm—Benefit Analysis and Implementation in Ethical Evaluation — Report from the AALAS—FELASA Working Group on Harm—Benefit Analysis — Part 2. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2016, 50, 21-42.	0.5	61
14	Current concepts of Harm—Benefit Analysis of Animal Experiments — Report from the AALAS—FELASA Working Group on Harm—Benefit Analysis — Part 1. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2016, 50, 1-20.	0.5	89
15	Opening up animal research and science—society relations? A thematic analysis of transparency discourses in the United Kingdom. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2016, 25, 791-806.	1.6	39
16	On balance: weighing harms and benefits in fundamental neurological research using nonhuman primates. <i>Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy</i> , 2016, 19, 229-237.	0.9	15
17	More than 3Rs: the importance of scientific validity for harm-benefit analysis of animal research. <i>Lab Animal</i> , 2017, 46, 164-166.	0.2	88
19	<sup />Ethical Issues in the Use of Animal Models for Tissue Engineering: Reflections on Legal Aspects, Moral Theory, Three Rs Strategies, and Harm—Benefit Analysis. <i>Tissue Engineering - Part C: Methods</i> , 2017, 23, 850-862.	1.1	22
20	Nature and governance of veterinary clinical research conducted in the UK. <i>Veterinary Record</i> , 2017, 180, 69-69.	0.2	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
21	Necessary, but Not Sufficient. The Benefit Concept in the Project Evaluation of Animal Research in the Context of Directive 2010/63/EU. <i>Animals</i> , 2018, 8, 34.	1.0	15
22	Harmâ€“benefit analysis â€“ what is the added value? A review of alternative strategies for weighing harms and benefits as part of the assessment of animal research. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2019, 53, 17-27.	0.5	38
23	Best practice recommendations for the use of external telemetry devices on pinnipeds. <i>Animal Biotelemetry</i> , 2019, 7, .	0.8	22
24	The Role of the IACUC in the Absence of Regulatory Guidance. <i>ILAR Journal</i> , 2019, 60, 95-104.	1.8	7
25	Examining compliance with ethical standards for animal research: is there a need for refinement? A qualitative study from northern Europe. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2020, 54, 183-191.	0.5	2
26	Reevaluating Benefits in the Moral Justification of Animal Research: A Comment on â€œNecessary Conditions for Morally Responsible Animal Researchâ€• <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , 2020, 29, 131-143.	0.5	4
27	Harm-Benefit Analyses Can Be Harmful. <i>ILAR Journal</i> , 2019, 60, 341-346.	1.8	8
28	Evaluating cetacean body condition; a review of traditional approaches and new developments. <i>Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2020, 10, 6144-6162.	0.8	33
29	Harm-Benefit Analysis May Not Be the Best Approach to Ensure Minimal Harms and Maximal Benefits of Animal Researchâ€“Alternatives Should Be Explored. <i>Animals</i> , 2020, 10, 291.	1.0	6
30	The Emergence and Development of Animal Research Ethics: A Review with a Focus on Nonhuman Primates. <i>Science and Engineering Ethics</i> , 2020, 26, 2277-2293.	1.7	13
31	Internal consistency and compatibility of the 3Rs and 3Vs principles for project evaluation of animal research. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2021, 55, 233-243.	0.5	19
32	Reviewing the Review: A Pilot Study of the Ethical Review Process of Animal Research in Sweden. <i>Animals</i> , 2021, 11, 708.	1.0	10
33	The Symbiotic Relationship Between Scientific Quality and Animal Research Ethics. <i>ILAR Journal</i> , 2019, 60, 334-340.	1.8	4
34	Statistics, statistical thinking, and the IACUC. <i>Lab Animal</i> , 2021, 50, 266-268.	0.2	1
35	Ethical Considerations in Mouse Experiments. <i>Current Protocols in Mouse Biology</i> , 2011, 1, 155-167.	1.2	11
36	Evidence for Pain and Suffering in Other Animals. , 1989, , 42-71.		12
37	Advances in neuroscience imply that harmful experiments in dogs are unethical. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 47-52.	1.0	4
38	Satellite Tagging and Biopsy Sampling of Killer Whales at Subantarctic Marion Island: Effectiveness, Immediate Reactions and Long-Term Responses. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e111835.	1.1	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
39	Retrospective harm benefit analysis of pre-clinical animal research for six treatment interventions. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0193758.	1.1	39
40	Animal Pain Scales in Public Policy. ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals, 1990, 18, 41-50.	0.7	6
41	Benefits, Necessity and Justification in Animal Research. ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals, 1995, 23, 828-836.	0.7	5
42	Evaluating the Scientific Uses of Animals: A Virtue-Consequentialist Approach for Harm/Benefit Analyses. Journal of Applied Animal Ethics Research, 2020, 2, 193-215.	0.2	2
44	Fit for Purpose Assessment: A New Direction for IACUCs. ILAR Journal, 2021, 62, 314-331.	1.8	3
45	The role of systematic reviews in identifying the limitations of preclinical animal research, 2000–2022: part 2. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2022, 115, 231-235.	1.1	2