

When to experiment on animals

New Scientist

109, 30-2

Citation Report

| # | ARTICLE | IF | CITATIONS |
|----|---|-----|-----------|
| 1 | The inoculation of tissue specimens into guinea-pigs in suspected cases of mycobacterial infection—does it aid diagnosis and treatment?. <i>Tubercle</i> , 1987, 68, 51-57. | 0.6 | 5 |
| 2 | Measuring merit in animal research. <i>Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics</i> , 1989, 10, 21-34. | 0.5 | 5 |
| 3 | Ontogeny of muscimol effects on locomotor activity, habituation, and pain reactivity in mice. <i>Psychopharmacology</i> , 1990, 102, 41-48. | 3.1 | 32 |
| 4 | Psychology students' beliefs about animals and animal experimentation. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 1993, 15, 1-10. | 2.9 | 38 |
| 5 | Ethical regulation and animal science: why animal behaviour is not so special. <i>Animal Behaviour</i> , 2007, 74, 15-22. | 1.9 | 16 |
| 6 | Bioethics Between Pain and Welfare. <i>Veterinary Research Communications</i> , 2007, 31, 65-71. | 1.6 | 0 |
| 7 | The application of Russell and Burch 3R principle in rodent models of neurodegenerative disease: The case of Parkinson's disease. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> , 2009, 33, 18-32. | 6.1 | 42 |
| 8 | Animal welfare and decision making in wildlife research. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2012, 153, 254-256. | 4.1 | 39 |
| 9 | Does the Goal Justify the Methods? Harm and Benefit in Neuroscience Research Using Animals. <i>Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences</i> , 2014, 19, 47-78. | 1.7 | 12 |
| 10 | Evaluating the ethical acceptability of animal research. <i>Lab Animal</i> , 2014, 43, 411-414. | 0.4 | 18 |
| 11 | Animal Experimentation: In Defence of the Proper use of Terminology. <i>ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals</i> , 2015, 43, P65-P66. | 1.0 | 0 |
| 12 | How Long Must They Suffer? Success and Failure of our Efforts to End the Animal Tragedy in Laboratories. <i>ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals</i> , 2015, 43, 129-143. | 1.0 | 6 |
| 13 | Recommendations for Addressing Harm—Benefit Analysis and Implementation in Ethical Evaluation — Report from the AALAS—FELASA Working Group on Harm—Benefit Analysis — Part 2. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2016, 50, 21-42. | 1.0 | 61 |
| 14 | Current concepts of Harm—Benefit Analysis of Animal Experiments — Report from the AALAS—FELASA Working Group on Harm—Benefit Analysis — Part 1. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2016, 50, 1-20. | 1.0 | 89 |
| 15 | Opening up animal research and science—society relations? A thematic analysis of transparency discourses in the United Kingdom. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2016, 25, 791-806. | 2.8 | 39 |
| 16 | On balance: weighing harms and benefits in fundamental neurological research using nonhuman primates. <i>Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy</i> , 2016, 19, 229-237. | 1.8 | 15 |
| 17 | More than 3Rs: the importance of scientific validity for harm-benefit analysis of animal research. <i>Lab Animal</i> , 2017, 46, 164-166. | 0.4 | 88 |
| 19 | Ethical Issues in the Use of Animal Models for Tissue Engineering: Reflections on Legal Aspects, Moral Theory, Three Rs Strategies, and Harm—Benefit Analysis. <i>Tissue Engineering - Part C: Methods</i> , 2017, 23, 850-862. | 2.1 | 22 |

| # | ARTICLE | IF | CITATIONS |
|----|--|-----|-----------|
| 20 | Nature and governance of veterinary clinical research conducted in the UK. <i>Veterinary Record</i> , 2017, 180, 69-69. | 0.3 | 6 |
| 21 | Necessary, but Not Sufficient. The Benefit Concept in the Project Evaluation of Animal Research in the Context of Directive 2010/63/EU. <i>Animals</i> , 2018, 8, 34. | 2.3 | 15 |
| 22 | Harmâ€“benefit analysis â€“ what is the added value? A review of alternative strategies for weighing harms and benefits as part of the assessment of animal research. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2019, 53, 17-27. | 1.0 | 38 |
| 23 | Best practice recommendations for the use of external telemetry devices on pinnipeds. <i>Animal Biotelemetry</i> , 2019, 7, . | 1.9 | 22 |
| 24 | The Role of the IACUC in the Absence of Regulatory Guidance. <i>ILAR Journal</i> , 2019, 60, 95-104. | 1.8 | 7 |
| 25 | Examining compliance with ethical standards for animal research: is there a need for refinement? A qualitative study from northern Europe. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2020, 54, 183-191. | 1.0 | 2 |
| 26 | Reevaluating Benefits in the Moral Justification of Animal Research: A Comment on â€œNecessary Conditions for Morally Responsible Animal Researchâ€•. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , 2020, 29, 131-143. | 0.8 | 4 |
| 27 | Harm-Benefit Analyses Can Be Harmful. <i>ILAR Journal</i> , 2019, 60, 341-346. | 1.8 | 8 |
| 28 | Evaluating cetacean body condition; a review of traditional approaches and new developments. <i>Ecology and Evolution</i> , 2020, 10, 6144-6162. | 1.9 | 33 |
| 29 | Harm-Benefit Analysis May Not Be the Best Approach to Ensure Minimal Harms and Maximal Benefits of Animal Researchâ€“Alternatives Should Be Explored. <i>Animals</i> , 2020, 10, 291. | 2.3 | 6 |
| 30 | The Emergence and Development of Animal Research Ethics: A Review with a Focus on Nonhuman Primates. <i>Science and Engineering Ethics</i> , 2020, 26, 2277-2293. | 2.9 | 13 |
| 31 | Internal consistency and compatibility of the 3Rs and 3Vs principles for project evaluation of animal research. <i>Laboratory Animals</i> , 2021, 55, 233-243. | 1.0 | 19 |
| 32 | Reviewing the Review: A Pilot Study of the Ethical Review Process of Animal Research in Sweden. <i>Animals</i> , 2021, 11, 708. | 2.3 | 10 |
| 33 | The Symbiotic Relationship Between Scientific Quality and Animal Research Ethics. <i>ILAR Journal</i> , 2019, 60, 334-340. | 1.8 | 4 |
| 34 | Statistics, statistical thinking, and the IACUC. <i>Lab Animal</i> , 2021, 50, 266-268. | 0.4 | 1 |
| 35 | Ethical Considerations in Mouse Experiments. <i>Current Protocols in Mouse Biology</i> , 2011, 1, 155-167. | 1.2 | 11 |
| 36 | Evidence for Pain and Suffering in Other Animals. , 1989, , 42-71. | | 12 |
| 37 | Advances in neuroscience imply that harmful experiments in dogs are unethical. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 47-52. | 1.8 | 4 |

| # | ARTICLE | IF | CITATIONS |
|----|--|-----|-----------|
| 38 | Satellite Tagging and Biopsy Sampling of Killer Whales at Subantarctic Marion Island: Effectiveness, Immediate Reactions and Long-Term Responses. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e111835. | 2.5 | 18 |
| 39 | Retrospective harm benefit analysis of pre-clinical animal research for six treatment interventions. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0193758. | 2.5 | 39 |
| 40 | Animal Pain Scales in Public Policy. ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals, 1990, 18, 41-50. | 1.0 | 6 |
| 41 | Benefits, Necessity and Justification in Animal Research. ATLA Alternatives To Laboratory Animals, 1995, 23, 828-836. | 1.0 | 5 |
| 42 | Evaluating the Scientific Uses of Animals: A Virtue-Consequentialist Approach for Harm/Benefit Analyses. Journal of Applied Animal Ethics Research, 2020, 2, 193-215. | 0.3 | 2 |
| 44 | Fit for Purpose Assessment: A New Direction for IACUCs. ILAR Journal, 2021, 62, 314-331. | 1.8 | 3 |
| 45 | The role of systematic reviews in identifying the limitations of preclinical animal research, 2000â€“2022: part 2. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2022, 115, 231-235. | 2.0 | 2 |