

The Supreme Court and National Policy Making

American Political Science Review

70, 50

DOI: 10.2307/1960323

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Politics of the Burger Court Toward Women. <i>Policy Studies Journal</i> , 1978, 7, 213-218.	5.1	2
2	SELF-CONSCIOUS INTEREST AND THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS: The Case of Citizens, Regulatory Agencies, and Federal Courts. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 1979, 1, 411-438.	0.7	3
3	LITIGATION, JUDICIAL DEFERENCE, AND POLICY CHANGE. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 1981, 3, 141-165.	0.7	17
4	SUPPORT FOR THE SUPREME COURT AS A NATIONAL POLICYMAKER. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 1983, 5, 405-437.	0.7	63
5	The Supreme Court and Public Opinion: Judicial Decision Making in the Post- New Deal Period. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1985, 47, 652-666.	2.2	75
6	A Court Quietly Rewrote the Federal Pesticide Statute: How Prevalent is Judicial Statutory Revision?. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 1985, 7, 249-279.	0.7	3
7	On the Treatment of Political Parties in the U.S. Supreme Court, 1900-1986. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1990, 52, 413-432.	2.2	2
8	The Supreme Court as a Cheerleader in Politico-Moral Disputes. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1992, 54, 637-653.	2.2	12
9	The political economy of supreme court constitutional decisions: The case of Roosevelt's court-packing plan. <i>International Review of Law and Economics</i> , 1992, 12, 45-67.	0.8	103
10	A contractual model of the voting behavior of the supreme court: The role of the chief justice. <i>International Review of Law and Economics</i> , 1996, 16, 433-447.	0.8	29
11	Public Opinion, the Attitudinal Model, and Supreme Court Decision Making: A Micro-Analytic Perspective. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1996, 58, 169-200.	2.2	142
12	From Fundamental Law to Constitutional Politics— And Back. <i>Law and Social Inquiry</i> , 1998, 23, 185-202.	0.6	0
13	A Question of Justice: Equity in Environmental Litigation, 1974-1991. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1998, 60, 1148-1165.	2.2	44
14	Constitutional Politics and Constitutional Theory: A Misunderstood and Neglected Relationship. <i>Law and Social Inquiry</i> , 2002, 27, 309-338.	0.6	18
15	Executive-Judicial Interaction as a Factor in Explaining Presidential Policy Making. <i>Presidential Studies Quarterly</i> , 2002, 32, 509-529.	0.6	6
16	THE SUPREME COURT IN AMERICAN POLITICS. <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> , 2003, 6, 161-180.	6.5	23
17	Constitutionalism and Presidential Prerogative: Jeffersonian and Hamiltonian Perspectives. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2004, 48, 429-444.	4.5	39
18	The Diffusion of Rights: From Law on the Books to Organizational Rights Practices. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2006, 40, 493-524.	1.0	57

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Reconsidering Judicial Supremacy: From the Counter-Majoritarian Difficulty to Constitutional Transformations. <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> , 2007, 5, 447.	0.3	3
20	Bringing the Courts Back In: Interbranch Perspectives on the Role of Courts in American Politics and Policy Making. <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> , 2007, 10, 25-43.	6.5	65
21	“An Informal and Limited Alliance” The President and the Supreme Court. <i>Presidential Studies Quarterly</i> , 2008, 38, 223-247.	0.6	10
22	Said and Unsaid: State Legislative Signaling to State Courts over Same Sex Marriage 1990–2004. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2008, 30, 254-275.	0.7	2
23	The Effects of National and Local Funding on Judicial Performance: Perceptions of Russia's Lawyers. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2010, 44, 331-364.	1.0	4
24	Public Opinion and American Democracy. <i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i> , 2011, 75, 982-1017.	1.6	168
25	Ideological Congruity between Appointing Presidents and Supreme Court Justices, 1937-2008. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2011, , .	0.4	0
26	The Impact of Divided Government on the Supreme Court Appointment Process: An Assessment of Judicial Extremism, 1946–2009. <i>Politics and Policy</i> , 2011, 39, 1077-1105.	1.2	1
27	The impact of the Australian High Court on elite opinion. <i>Commonwealth and Comparative Politics</i> , 2012, 50, 171-189.	0.6	0
28	A Case for Supreme Court Term Limits? The Changing Ideological Relationship between Appointing Presidents and Supreme Court Justices. <i>Politics and Policy</i> , 2013, 41, 267-297.	1.2	5
29	Political Failure, Judicial Opportunity: The Supreme Court of Canada and Aboriginal and Treaty Rights. <i>American Review of Canadian Studies</i> , 2014, 44, 334-346.	0.1	0
30	Judicial Contributions to US National Policy Change since 1945. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2015, 3, 1-35.	0.6	10
31	Work Rules: How International NGOs Build Law in War-Torn Societies. <i>Law and Society Review</i> , 2015, 49, 333-364.	1.0	37
32	Empirically Evaluating the Counter-majoritarian Difficulty. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2016, 4, 1-42.	0.6	6
33	How Expected Political and Legal Impact Drive Media Coverage of Supreme Court Cases. <i>Political Communication</i> , 2017, 34, 571-589.	3.9	35
34	Judicial Federalism and Representation. <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> , 2018, 6, 51-92.	0.6	2
35	Same-sex marriage and the public policy process. , 2019, 2, 43-58.		2
36	The Illusory Promise of the Rule of Law. , 2004, , 279-313.		2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	CONSTITUTIONAL ORGANIZATION AND THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN BRITAIN AND THE UNITED STATES. , 1987, , 183-199.		1
38	Courts and the Politics of Partisan Coalitions. , 2008, , .		3
39	Change is a Sometimes Thing: Constitutional Jurisprudence in the United States. , 2000, , 237-254.		0
40	Continuity and Change: The Evolution of the Law. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
41	Federalism and Judicial Independence: Supreme Court Review of State Statutes, 1987-2000. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
42	Ideology, Partisanship, and Judicial Review of Acts of Congress, 1789-2006. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
43	Majoritarian Judicial Review: The Case of Taiwan. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
46	Minority Rights, Governing Regimes, or Secular Elites: Who Benefits from the Protection of Religious Speech by the U.S. Supreme Court and European Court of Human Rights?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
47	Minority Rights, Governing Regimes, or Secular Elites: Who Benefits from the Protection of Religious and Anti-Religious Speech by the U.S. Supreme Court and European Court of Human Rights?. Journal of Law and Courts, 2023, 11, 221-255.	0.6	0