

# The Jury Selection in the Mitchell-Stans Conspiracy Trial

American Bar Foundation Research Journal

1, 151-174

DOI: [10.1111/j.1747-4469.1976.tb00954.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-4469.1976.tb00954.x)

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Jurors and Juries. , 2004, , 225-284.		2
2	Optimal Peremptory Challenges in Trials by Juries: A Bilateral Sequential Process. Operations Research, 1977, 25, 901-919.	1.9	27
3	The American Trial Jury on Trial: Empirical Evidence and Procedural Modifications. Journal of Social Issues, 1978, 34, 137-164.	3.3	6
4	A management science application in the courtroom: A jury selection model. Omega, 1978, 6, 531-539.	5.9	1
5	Optimal Jury Selection: A Game-Theoretic Model for the Exercise of Peremptory Challenges. Operations Research, 1978, 26, 966-991.	1.9	26
6	The other issues in jury simulation research: A commentary with particular reference to defendant character studies.. Law and Human Behavior, 1979, 3, 95-106.	0.7	36
7	The Partial Juror: Correlates and Causes of Prejudgment. Law and Society Review, 1980, 15, 9.	1.0	37
8	Scientific juror selection: Sex as a moderator of demographic and personality predictors of impaneled felony juror behavior.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1982, 43, 1052-1063.	2.8	62
9	Jury selection in two countries: a psychological perspective. Current Psychological Reviews, 1982, 2, 283-299.	0.2	3
10	Neither "tentative" nor "fragmentary": Verdict preference of impaneled felony jurors as a function of attitude toward capital punishment.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1986, 71, 146-155.	5.3	78
11	An investigation of persuasion and sentencing severity with mock juries. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 1986, 4, 339-349.	0.8	6
12	A study of juror and jury judgments in civil cases: Deciding liability for punitive damages.. Law and Human Behavior, 1998, 22, 287-314.	0.7	56
13	Are Juries More Likely to Second-Guess Auditor Judgment Under Imprecise Accounting Standards?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
14	Speak Up or Shut Up? The Moderating Role of Credibility on Auditor Remedial Defense Tactics. Auditing, 2012, 31, 65-83.	1.9	15
15	Can Reporting Norms Create a Safe Harbor? Jury Verdicts against Auditors under Precise and Imprecise Accounting Standards. Accounting Review, 2012, 87, 565-587.	3.2	83
16	Are Juries More Likely to Second-Guess Auditor Judgment under Imprecise Accounting Standards?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2012, , .	0.4	0
17	Speak Up or Shut Up? The Moderating Role of Credibility on Auditor Remedial Defense Tactics. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
18	The Impact of Audit Evidence Documentation on Jurors' Negligence Verdicts and Damage Awards. Accounting Review, 2015, 90, 2177-2204.	3.2	60

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Voir Dire Examination, Juror Challenges, and Adversary Advocacy. <i>Perspectives in Law &amp; Psychology</i> , 1981, , 69-114.	0.4	5
21	Jury Verdicts Against Auditors Under Precise and Imprecise Accounting Standards. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	4
22	Researching Juror Judgment and Decision Making in Cases of Alleged Auditor Negligence: A Toolkit for New Scholars. <i>Behavioral Research in Accounting</i> , 2018, 30, 99-110.	0.8	36
23	The New "Science" of Jury Selection. , 1986, , 79-94.		0
24	Do Auditors Accurately Predict Litigation and Reputation Consequences of Inaccurate Accounting Estimates?. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1