The Role of the Supreme Court in American Governmen

Stanford Law Review 28, 1330

DOI: 10.2307/1228077

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Impact of Judicial Activism on Public Opinion. American Journal of Political Science, 1978, 22, 896.	4.5	29
2	Federal Courts and the State Budgetary Process. Administration and Society, 1979, 11, 357-368.	2.1	10
3	Human Rights. Edited by Eugene Kamenka and Alice Erh-Soon Tay [Edward Arnold, London, 1978] Israel Law Review, 1980, 15, 457-460.	0.2	0
4	Retrospectivity Reconsidered. Cambridge Law Journal, 1980, 39, 287-303.	0.1	2
5	Law, the State, and the Spatial Integration of the United States. Environment and Planning A, $1981$ , $13$ , $1197-1232$ .	3 <b>.</b> 6	28
6	STATE AND LEGAL AUTHORITY. Law and Policy, 1982, 4, 5-36.	0.7	3
7	Legal Mobilization: The Neglected Role of the Law in the Political System. American Political Science Review, 1983, 77, 690-703.	3.7	258
8	The Obligations of American Citizenship: A Historical Perspective. Journal of Teacher Education, 1983, 34, 6-10.	3 <b>.</b> 5	1
9	Public law litigation and marine affairs: The boldt decision. Coastal Zone Management Journal, 1986, 13, 99-130.	0.2	2
10	The Imperial Judiciary Meets the Impotent Congress?. Law and Policy, 1987, 9, 97-117.		
10	The Imperial Judiciary Weets the Impotent Congress: Law and Policy, 1967, 9, 97-117.	0.7	1
11	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State Expenditures for Corrections. Law and Society Review, 1989, 23, 241.	1.0	43
	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State		
11	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State Expenditures for Corrections. Law and Society Review, 1989, 23, 241.	1.0	43
11	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State Expenditures for Corrections. Law and Society Review, 1989, 23, 241.  Attorney Argumentation and Supreme Court Opinions. Argumentation and Advocacy, 1989, 26, 22-38.  Interventions and Power in Judicial Hierarchies: Appellate Courts in England and the United States.	0.2	43 19
11 12 13	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State Expenditures for Corrections. Law and Society Review, 1989, 23, 241.  Attorney Argumentation and Supreme Court Opinions. Argumentation and Advocacy, 1989, 26, 22-38.  Interventions and Power in Judicial Hierarchies: Appellate Courts in England and the United States. Law and Society Review, 1990, 24, 71.	1.0	<ul><li>43</li><li>19</li><li>11</li></ul>
11 12 13	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State Expenditures for Corrections. Law and Society Review, 1989, 23, 241.  Attorney Argumentation and Supreme Court Opinions. Argumentation and Advocacy, 1989, 26, 22-38.  Interventions and Power in Judicial Hierarchies: Appellate Courts in England and the United States. Law and Society Review, 1990, 24, 71.  Judicial Review in Italy: A Reflection of the United States? Policy Studies Journal, 1990, 19, 127-139.	1.0 0.2 1.0 5.1	<ul><li>43</li><li>19</li><li>11</li><li>0</li></ul>
11 12 13 14 15	Redefining the Power of the Federal Judiciary: The Impact of Court-Ordered Prison Reform on State Expenditures for Corrections. Law and Society Review, 1989, 23, 241.  Attorney Argumentation and Supreme Court Opinions. Argumentation and Advocacy, 1989, 26, 22-38.  Interventions and Power in Judicial Hierarchies: Appellate Courts in England and the United States. Law and Society Review, 1990, 24, 71.  Judicial Review in Italy: A Reflection of the United States? Policy Studies Journal, 1990, 19, 127-139.  Comment on MacIntyre. Review of Politics, 1990, 52, 362-368.	1.0 0.2 1.0 5.1	<ul> <li>43</li> <li>19</li> <li>11</li> <li>0</li> <li>1</li> </ul>

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Courts and Health Policy: Strengths and Limitations. Health Affairs, 1992, 11, 95-110.	5.2	16
20	"The case of the midnight judges―and multiple audience discourse: Chief Justice Marshall andMarbury V. Madison. Southern Communication Journal, The, 1994, 59, 89-96.	0.5	17
21	Law, Policymaking, and the Policy Process: Closing the Gaps. Policy Studies Journal, 1998, 26, 227-243.	5.1	11
22	The Politics of Dissents and Concurrences on the U.S. Supreme Court. American Politics Research, 1999, 27, 488-514.	0.7	77
23	Informative Precedent and Intrajudicial Communication. American Political Science Review, 2002, 96, 755-766.	3.7	67
24	The limits of judicial review. , 2003, , 98-128.		O
27	The Sovereignty of Parliament. , 2003, , 15-34.		0
28	Judicial review of legislation. , 2003, , 35-62.		O
29	The growth of judicial power., 2003,, 63-97.		0
30	The legality of administrative action. , 2003, , 129-161.		O
31	Courts and governments., 2003,, 162-191.		0
32	Courts and individual rights. , 2003, , 192-222.		1
33	Techniques of judicial protection. , 2003, , 223-251.		0
35	A glance at the future. , 2003, , 252-284.		O
37	Baqir al-Sadr and the Islamic State: A Theory for 'Islamic Democracy'. Journal of Shi'a Islamic Studies, 2012, 5, 249-275.	0.1	2
38	Supreme Court Nominations at the Bar of Political Conflict: The Strange and Uncertain Career of the Liberal Consensus in Law. Law and Social Inquiry, 2021, 46, 540-571.	0.6	0
40	Deciding to Agree: Explaining Consensual Behavior on the United States Supreme Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
41	Medieval Origins. , 2010, , 17-49.		0

# ARTICLE IF CITATIONS

The Prerogatives of Government., 2010,, 376-406.