Policy Goals, Strategic, Choice and Majority Opinion Ast Court

Midwest Journal of Political Science 16, 652 DOI: 10.2307/2110381

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Single-peakedness and guttman scales: Concept and measurement. Public Choice, 1974, 20, 33-45.	1.7	6
2	A comment on "single-peakedness and guttman scales: Concept and measurement― Public Choice, 1976, 28, 107-111.	1.7	2
3	Strategic Choice and Opinion Assignment On the U. S. Supreme Court: a Reexamination. The Western Political Quarterly, 1982, 35, 204-211.	0.3	6
4	A dynamic model of protocoalition formation in ideologicaln-space. Systems Research and Behavioral Science, 1982, 27, 77-90.	0.2	109
5	Social backgrounds, social motives and participation on the U.S. Supreme Court. Political Behavior, 1988, 10, 267-284.	2.7	30
6	Organized Interests and Agenda Setting in the U.S. Supreme Court. American Political Science Review, 1988, 82, 1109-1127.	3.7	340
7	IDEOLOGICAL POSITION AS A VARIABLE IN THE AUTHORING OF DISSENTING OPINIONS ON THE WARREN AND BURGER COURTS. American Politics Research, 1988, 16, 317-328.	0.7	24
8	Majority Opinion Assignments and the Maintenance of the Original Coalition on the Warren Court. American Journal of Political Science, 1988, 32, 72.	4.5	33
9	Simulating Supreme Court Decision Making. Political Science Teacher, 1989, 2, 8-10.	0.1	12
10	Electoral Politics and Strategic Voting in State Supreme Courts. Journal of Politics, 1992, 54, 427-446.	2.2	204
11	"Freshman Effects" for Supreme Court Justices. American Journal of Political Science, 1993, 37, 1142.	4.5	95
12	Justices as Representatives. American Politics Research, 1995, 23, 485-503.	0.7	116
13	Opinion writing and acclimation effect. Political Behavior, 1996, 18, 235-261.	2.7	57
14	A contractual model of the voting behavior of the supreme court: The role of the chief justice. International Review of Law and Economics, 1996, 16, 433-447.	0.8	29
15	Strategic Policy Considerations and Voting Fluidity on the Burger Court. American Political Science Review, 1996, 90, 581-592.	3.7	84
16	May It Please the Chief? Opinion Assignments in the Rehnquist Court. American Journal of Political Science, 1996, 40, 421.	4.5	92
17	In the Shadow of the Chief: The Role of the Senior Associate Justice "There have been great leaders on the bench who were not Chief Justices.―Charles Evans Hughes1. Journal of Supreme Court History, 1997, 22, 25-35.	0.0	1
18	Bargaining on the U.S. Supreme Court: Justices' Responses to Majority Opinion Drafts. Journal of Politics, 1999, 61, 485-506.	2.2	36

TATION REDO

2

ARTICLE IF CITATIONS # The Politics of Dissents and Concurrences on the U.S. Supreme Court. American Politics Research, 19 0.7 77 1999, 27, 488-514. Measuring Issue Salience. American Journal of Political Science, 2000, 44, 66. 4.5 364 21 Title is missing!. Public Choice, 2003, 116, 55-78. 1.7 32 Judicial Decisionmaking and the Use of Panels in the Canadian Supreme Court and the South African Appellate Division. Law and Society Review, 2003, 37, 635-657. Recruitment of Chief Justices on State Supreme Courts: A Choice between Institutional and Personal 23 2.2 22 Goals. Journal of Politics, 2003, 65, 655-675. THESUPREMECOURT INAMERICANPOLITICS. Annual Review of Political Science, 2003, 6, 161-180. 6.5 A Conditional Model of Opinion Assignment on the Supreme Court. Political Research Quarterly, 25 1.7 33 2004, 57, 551-563. A Conditional Model of Opinion Assignment on the Supreme Court. Political Research Quarterly, 1.7 9 26 2004, 57, 551. U.S. Supreme Court Decision Making, Case Salience, and the Attitudinal Model. Law and Policy, 2006, 27 0.7 73 28, 295-320. Panel Assignment in Appellate Courts: Strategic Behaviour in the South African Supreme Court of Appeal. Politikon, 2010, 37, 269-285. Justices and Legal Clarity: Analyzing the Complexity of U.S. Supreme Court Opinions. Law and Society 30 1.0 94 Review, 2011, 45, 1027-1061. Cognitive Dissonance on the U.S. Supreme Court. Political Research Quarterly, 2011, 64, 362-376. Acclimation Effects and the Chief Justice: The Influence of Tenure and Role on the Decisional Behavior 32 1.4 6 of the Court's Leader, 1888-2007. American Politics Research, 2011, 39, 682-723. Standing the Test of Time: The Breadth of Majority Coalitions and the fate of U.S. Supreme Court Precedents. Journal of Legal Analysis, 2012, 4, 445-469. 2.4 Strategic Citations to Precedent on the U.S. Supreme Court. Journal of Legal Studies, 2013, 42, 151-186. 34 0.4 24 The Impact of Supreme Court Signals and Circuit Effects on Responses to Precedent. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , . Does Deference Depend on Distinction? Issue Salience and Judicial Decision-Making in Administrative 36 0.4 15 Law Cases. Justice System Journal, 2014, 35, 122-138. Consensus and Cooperation on State Supreme Courts. State Politics and Policy Quarterly, 2014, 14, 3-28.

CITATION REPORT

CITATION REPORT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
38	The Voting Behavior of Minority Judges in the U.S. Courts of Appeals. American Politics Research, 2014, 42, 34-64.	1.4	12
39	The Supreme Court in a Separation of Powers System. , 0, , .		7
40	The Chief Justice, Experience, and Strategic Behavior on the Supreme Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2016, , .	0.4	0
41	A Bottom-Up Account of State Supreme Court Opinion Writing. Justice System Journal, 2016, 37, 94-114.	0.4	5
42	Understanding the Length of State Supreme Court Opinions. American Politics Research, 2016, 44, 710-733.	1.4	13
43	Experience counts: The chief justice, management tenure, and strategic behavior on the U.S. Supreme Court. Research and Politics, 2016, 3, 205316801664446.	1.1	2
44	The Politics of Citations at the ECJ—Policy Preferences of E.U. Member State Governments and the Citation Behavior of Judges at the European Court of Justice. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 2017, 14, 813-857.	0.8	23
46	The Conditional Effectiveness of Legislative Threats: How Court Curbing Alters the Behavior of (Some) Supreme Court Justices. Political Research Quarterly, 2019, 72, 570-583.	1.7	28
47	Status characteristics and their intersectionality: majority opinion assignment in state supreme courts. Politics, Groups & Identities, 2020, 8, 894-917.	1.8	4
48	State Court Influence on U.S. Supreme Court Opinions. Journal of Law and Courts, 0, , .	0.6	4
49	Authorial control of the Supreme Court: Chief Justice Roberts and the Obamacare surprise. International Review of Law and Economics, 2021, 67, 106001.	0.8	0
50	Beyond Consensus: Gender, Chief Justices, and Leadership on State Supreme Courts. Journal of Women, Politics and Policy, 0, , 1-18.	1.3	Ο
51	Extending a Dynamic Model of Protocoalition Formation. , 1996, , 265-280.		13
52	Strategic Citations to Precedent on the U.S. Supreme Court. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
53	Justices and Legal Clarity: Analyzing the Complexity of Supreme Court Opinions. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
54	Acclimation Effects and the Chief Justice: The Influence of Tenure on the Decisional Behavior of the Court's Leader, 1888-2007. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	Ο
55	Consensus or Ambivalence? Why Court Traditions Matter. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
56	International Judicial Legitimacy: Lessons from National Courts. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
57	The Politics of Citations at the ECJ: Policy Preferences of EU Member State Governments and the Citation Behavior of Members of the European Court of Justice. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
58	Abordagens teóricas na pesquisa empÃrica sobre o poder judiciário: diálogos, pontes, hÃbridos. , 2017, , 99-140.		2
59	â€~To Submit or Not to Submit – That Is the (Preliminary) Question': Explaining National Judges' Reluctance to Participate in the Preliminary Ruling Procedure. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
61	Media Attention for CJEU Case Law. , 2022, , 314-342.		0