

# Policy Goals and Opinion Coalitions in the Supreme Court

Midwest Journal of Political Science

16, 208

DOI: 10.2307/2110056

Citation Report

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Some Problems in Validation of Mathematical and Stochastic Models of Political Phenomena: the Case of the Supreme Court. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1977, 21, 381.	4.5	3
2	Equivalent Versus Minimum Winning Opinion Coalition Size: A Test of Two Hypotheses. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1977, 21, 405.	4.5	5
3	Some Clarifications Regarding a Theory of Supreme Court Coalition Formation. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1977, 21, 409.	4.5	2
4	Presidential strategy for the appointment of supreme court justices. <i>Public Choice</i> , 1977, 31, 1-22.	1.7	3
5	Judges' Role Orientations, Attitudes, and Decisions: An Interactive Model. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1978, 72, 911-924.	3.7	142
6	Who Speaks for the Court? Majority Opinion Assignment from Taft to Burger. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1979, 23, 60.	4.5	45
7	Minimum Winning Coalitions on the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1979, 7, 384-392.	0.7	6
8	INTRODUCTION: The Legal Process and Decision Theory. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 1980, 2, 261-266.	0.7	0
9	Supreme Court Justices as Human Decision Makers: An Individual-Level Analysis of the Search and Seizure Cases. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1986, 48, 938-955.	2.2	96
10	Political Jurisprudence, the "New Institutionalism," and the Future of Public Law. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 1988, 82, 89-108.	3.7	238
11	Order in the Courts: a Neo-Institutional Approach to Judicial Consensus. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1989, 42, 391-407.	0.3	25
12	Order in the Courts: A Neo-Institutional Approach to Judicial Consensus. <i>The Western Political Quarterly</i> , 1989, 42, 391.	0.3	78
13	Neo-Institutionalism and Dissent in State Supreme Courts. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1990, 52, 54-70.	2.2	142
14	Supreme Court Authority in the Judiciary. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1992, 20, 169-191.	0.7	33
15	Toward an Integrated Model of Judicial Voting Behavior. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1992, 20, 147-168.	0.7	111
16	"Freshman Effects" for Supreme Court Justices. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1993, 37, 1142.	4.5	95
17	Integrated Models of Judicial Dissent. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1993, 55, 914-935.	2.2	109
18	Studying Courts Comparatively: The View from the American States. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 1995, 48, 5-29.	1.7	111

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A contractual model of the voting behavior of the supreme court: The role of the chief justice. <i>International Review of Law and Economics</i> , 1996, 16, 433-447.	0.8	29
20	Justices' Responses To Case Facts. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1996, 24, 237-261.	0.7	62
21	Measuring Political Preferences. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 1996, 40, 261.	4.5	69
22	The Interplay of Preferences, Case Facts, Context, and Rules in the Politics of Judicial Choice. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1997, 59, 1206-1231.	2.2	210
23	Bargaining on the U.S. Supreme Court: Justices' Responses to Majority Opinion Drafts. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 1999, 61, 485-506.	2.2	36
24	The Politics of Dissents and Concurrences on the U.S. Supreme Court. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 1999, 27, 488-514.	0.7	77
25	Toward a Strategic Revolution in Judicial Politics: A Look Back, A Look Ahead. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2000, 53, 625.	1.7	66
26	Toward a Strategic Revolution in Judicial Politics: A Look Back, A Look Ahead. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2000, 53, 625-661.	1.7	136
27	Congressional Preferences, Perceptions of Threat, and Supreme Court Decision Making. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2000, 28, 490-510.	0.7	38
28	Overruled: An Event History Analysis of Lower Court Reaction to Supreme Court Alteration of Precedent. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2002, 64, 534-550.	2.2	93
30	Acclimation and Attitudes: "Newcomer" Justices and Precedent Conformance on the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2004, 57, 121-129.	1.7	26
31	Women in Executive Office: Variation Across American States. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2004, 57, 113-120.	1.7	33
32	Acclimation and Attitudes: "Newcomer" Justices and Precedent Conformance on the Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2004, 57, 121.	1.7	9
33	Recounting the Courts? Applying Automated Content Analysis to Enhance Empirical Legal Research. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2006, , .	0.4	4
34	Institutions and Equilibrium in the United States Supreme Court. <i>American Political Science Review</i> , 2007, 101, 811-825.	3.7	18
35	Linking Judicial Selection to Consensus. <i>American Politics Research</i> , 2007, 35, 643-670.	1.4	8
36	Recounting the Courts? Applying Automated Content Analysis to Enhance Empirical Legal Research. <i>Journal of Empirical Legal Studies</i> , 2007, 4, 1007-1039.	0.8	62
37	JUSTICE O'CONNOR: A DIFFERENT KIND OF COURT LEADER?. <i>Southeastern Political Review</i> , 1993, 21, 39-57.	0.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
40	Measuring Ideological Polarization on the United States Supreme Court. <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , 2009, 62, 146-157.	1.7	38
41	Government and Individual Liberty: The Rehnquist Court's Stop and Frisk Decisions. <i>Politics and Policy</i> , 2009, 37, 1235-1280.	1.2	2
42	Competing Models of Judicial Coalition Formation and Case Outcome Determination. <i>Journal of Legal Analysis</i> , 2009, 1, 411-458.	2.4	23
43	Courting the Public: The Influence of Decision Attributes on Individuals' Views of Court Opinions. <i>Journal of Politics</i> , 2009, 71, 909-925.	2.2	114
44	Does Seniority Matter? The Conditional Influence of State Methods of Judicial Retention. <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> , 2010, 91, 209-227.	1.6	12
45	To Prosecute or Not to Prosecute, That is the Question: Agency Litigation under the Influence of Appellate Courts. <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2012, 45, 185-205.	0.4	2
46	Standing the Test of Time: The Breadth of Majority Coalitions and the fate of U.S. Supreme Court Precedents. <i>Journal of Legal Analysis</i> , 2012, 4, 445-469.	2.4	9
48	Buscando al "juez mediano": estudio sobre la formación de coaliciones en la tercera sala de la corte suprema. <i>Revista De Ciencia Política</i> , 2018, 38, 485-505.	0.3	0
49	Judicial Behavior. , 1981, , 241-315.		6
50	Extending a Dynamic Model of Proto-coalition Formation. , 1996, , 265-280.		13
53	1 Motivation and Judicial Behavior: Expanding the Scope of Inquiry. , 2010, , 3-26.		16
54	2 Multiple Constraint Satisfaction in Judging. , 2010, , 27-40.		13
55	3 Top-Down and Bottom-Up Models of Judicial Reasoning. , 2010, , 41-56.		12
56	4 Persuasion in the Decision Making of U.S. Supreme Court Justices. , 2010, , 57-72.		1
57	5 Judges as Members of Small Groups. , 2010, , 73-84.		24
58	6 The Supreme Court, Social Psychology, and Group Formation. , 2010, , 85-100.		3
59	7 Is There a Psychology of Judging?. , 2010, , 103-120.		19
60	8 Features of Judicial Reasoning. , 2010, , 121-130.		3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
61	9 In Praise of Pedantic Eclecticism: Pitfalls and Opportunities in the Psychology of Judging. , 2010, , 131-148.		5
62	10 Judges, Expertise, and Analogy. , 2010, , 149-164.		9
63	11 Thresholds for Action in Judicial Decisions. , 2010, , 165-182.		3
64	12 Every Jury Trial Is a Bench Trial: Judicial Engineering of Jury Disputes. , 2010, , 183-202.		1
65	13 Searching for Constraint in Legal Decision Making. , 2010, , 203-218.		3
66	15 Defining Good Judging. , 2010, , 249-268.		3
68	Competing Models of Judicial Coalition Formation and Case Outcome Determination. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
69	Strategy on the United States Supreme Court. Choice Reviews, 2009, 47, 47-1118-47-1118.	0.2	2
70	18 Building a Better Judiciary. , 2010, , 285-296.		0
71	17 Cognitive Style and Judging. , 2010, , 279-284.		1
72	14 Evaluating Judges. , 2010, , 221-248.		0
73	Expertise of Court Judges. , 2010, , 269-278.		0
74	Abordagens teóricas na pesquisa empírica sobre o poder judiciário: diálogos, pontes, híbridos. , 2017, , 99-140.		2