

Anna Warzybok

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9791887/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

31
papers

896
citations

516710

16
h-index

501196

28
g-index

48
all docs

48
docs citations

48
times ranked

552
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The multilingual matrix test: Principles, applications, and comparison across languages: A review. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2015, 54, 3-16.	1.7	202
2	Polish sentence matrix test for speech intelligibility measurement in noise. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2010, 49, 444-454.	1.7	63
3	An Italian matrix sentence test for the evaluation of speech intelligibility in noise. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2015, 54, 44-50.	1.7	60
4	Speech-in-Noise Tests for Multilingual Hearing Screening and Diagnostics1. <i>American Journal of Audiology</i> , 2013, 22, 175-178.	1.2	57
5	Effects of spatial and temporal integration of a single early reflection on speech intelligibility. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2013, 133, 269-282.	1.1	48
6	Matrix sentence intelligibility prediction using an automatic speech recognition system. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2015, 54, 100-107.	1.7	44
7	Development of the Russian matrix sentence test. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2015, 54, 35-43.	1.7	36
8	Age-Related Differences in Lexical Access Relate to Speech Recognition in Noise. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2016, 7, 990.	2.1	35
9	A simulation framework for auditory discrimination experiments: Revealing the importance of across-frequency processing in speech perception. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2016, 139, 2708-2722.	1.1	30
10	How much does language proficiency by non-native listeners influence speech audiometric tests in noise?. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2015, 54, 88-99.	1.7	28
11	Sentence Recognition Prediction for Hearing-impaired Listeners in Stationary and Fluctuation Noise With FADE. <i>Trends in Hearing</i> , 2016, 20, 233121651665579.	1.3	26
12	Modeling the effects of a single reflection on binaural speech intelligibility. <i>Journal of the Acoustical Society of America</i> , 2014, 135, 1556-1567.	1.1	25
13	Comparing Binaural Pre-processing Strategies III. <i>Trends in Hearing</i> , 2015, 19, 233121651561860.	1.3	23
14	Objective Prediction of Hearing Aid Benefit Across Listener Groups Using Machine Learning: Speech Recognition Performance With Binaural Noise-Reduction Algorithms. <i>Trends in Hearing</i> , 2018, 22, 233121651876895.	1.3	23
15	Construction and evaluation of the Mandarin Chinese matrix (CMNmatrix) sentence test for the assessment of speech recognition in noise. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2018, 57, 838-850.	1.7	23
16	Subjective speech quality and speech intelligibility evaluation of single-channel dereverberation algorithms. , 2014, , .		22
17	Individual Aided Speech-Recognition Performance and Predictions of Benefit for Listeners With Impaired Hearing Employing FADE. <i>Trends in Hearing</i> , 2020, 24, 233121652093892.	1.3	18
18	Effect of reverberation and noise type on speech intelligibility in real complex acoustic scenarios. <i>Building and Environment</i> , 2021, 204, 108137.	6.9	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A study on speech quality and speech intelligibility measures for quality assessment of single-channel dereverberation algorithms. , 2014, , .		16
20	Evaluation of Italian Simplified Matrix Test for Speech-Recognition Measurements in Noise. <i>Audiology Research</i> , 2021, 11, 73-88.	1.8	11
21	Common Audiological Functional Parameters (CAFPAs): statistical and compact representation of rehabilitative audiological classification based on expert knowledge. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2019, 58, 231-245.	1.7	9
22	Simulations with FADE of the effect of impaired hearing on speech recognition performance cast doubt on the role of spectral resolution. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 2020, 395, 107995.	2.0	8
23	Common Audiological Functional Parameters (CAFPAs) for single patient cases: deriving statistical models from an expert-labelled data set. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2020, 59, 534-547.	1.7	7
24	Microscopic Multilingual Matrix Test Predictions Using an ASR-Based Speech Recognition Model. , 0, , .		6
25	Clinical validation of the Russian Matrix test " effect of hearing loss, age, and noise level. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2020, 59, 930-940.	1.7	5
26	Sensitivity and specificity of automatic audiological classification using expert-labelled audiological data and Common Audiological Functional Parameters. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2021, 60, 16-26.	1.7	5
27	Development and Evaluation of the Russian Digit Triplet Test. <i>Acta Acustica United With Acustica</i> , 2016, 102, 714-724.	0.8	5
28	Measurement and Prediction of Binaural-Temporal Integration of Speech Reflections. <i>Trends in Hearing</i> , 2019, 23, 233121651985426.	1.3	4
29	Inference of the distortion component of hearing impairment from speech recognition by predicting the effect of the attenuation component. <i>International Journal of Audiology</i> , 2022, 61, 205-219.	1.7	4
30	Contribution of Low-Level Acoustic and Higher-Level Lexical-Semantic Cues to Speech Recognition in Noise and Reverberation. <i>Frontiers in Built Environment</i> , 2021, 7, .	2.3	3
31	Prediction of hearing aid benefit with the use of the matrix sentence test. <i>AIP Conference Proceedings</i> , 2019, , .	0.4	0