

Evgenia Chaideftou

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9747012/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

60
papers

285
citations

1163117

8
h-index

1058476

14
g-index

60
all docs

60
docs citations

60
times ranked

331
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Review of Fire ecology and management: past, present, and future of US forested ecosystems by Cathryn H. Greenberg and Beverly Collins (editors) and 75 contributing authors. <i>Fire Ecology</i> , 2022, 18, .	3.0	0
2	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance phosmet. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06237.	1.8	8
3	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kurstaki</i> strain PB 54. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06496.	1.8	1
4	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kurstaki</i> strain EG2348. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06495.	1.8	1
5	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance calcium carbonate. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06500.	1.8	3
6	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance potassium hydrogen carbonate. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06593.	1.8	2
7	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain ITâ€45. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06594.	1.8	6
8	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance Straight Chain Lepidopteran Pheromones (SCLPs). <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06656.	1.8	1
9	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance carbon dioxide. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06605.	1.8	1
10	Topsoil Seed Bank as Feeding Ground for Farmland Birds: A Comparative Assessment in Agricultural Habitats. <i>Land</i> , 2021, 10, 967.	2.9	0
11	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substances Pepino Mosaic Virus,EU strain, mild isolate Abp1Âand Pepino Mosaic Virus,CH2 strain, mild isolate Abp2. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06388.	1.8	1
12	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain QST 713 (formerly <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain QST 713). <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2021, 19, e06381.	1.8	9
13	Updated peer review of the pesticide risk assessment for the active substance dithianon in light of confirmatory data submitted. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2020, 18, e06189.	1.8	0
14	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance captan. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2020, 18, e06230.	1.8	4
15	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Metarhizium brunneum</i> ÂBIPESCO 5/F52. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2020, 18, e06274.	1.8	5
16	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kurstaki</i> strain SAâ€12. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2020, 18, e06262.	1.8	2
17	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kurstaki</i> strain SAâ€11. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2020, 18, e06261.	1.8	4
18	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> ssp. <i>aizawai</i> strain GCâ€91. <i>EFSA Journal</i> , 2020, 18, e06293.	1.8	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance 24â€¢epibrassinolide. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06132.	1.8	4
20	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance clodinafop (variant evaluated) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT/Overlock 10 Tf 50	1.8	12
21	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> strain AH2. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06156.	1.8	7
22	Updated peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance cyazofamid. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06232.	1.8	1
23	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i> strain 251. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06238.	1.8	0
24	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> ssp. <i>aizawai</i> strain ABTSâ€¢1857. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06294.	1.8	3
25	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance abamectin. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06227.	1.8	8
26	Updated peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance flumioxazin. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06246.	1.8	0
27	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> strain 203. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06295.	1.8	1
28	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Pythium oligandrum</i> strain M1. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06296.	1.8	1
29	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance prosulfuron. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06181.	1.8	1
30	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment for the active substance triâ€¢allate in light of confirmatory data submitted. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06244.	1.8	0
31	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment for the active substance sulfoxaflor in light of confirmatory data submitted. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06056.	1.8	4
32	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance benalaxyl. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e05985.	1.8	0
33	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance blood meal. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06006.	1.8	1
34	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance ferric pyrophosphate. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e05986.	1.8	0
35	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance garlic extract. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06116.	1.8	0
36	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance <i>Akanthomyces muscarius</i> strain Ve6, formerly <i>Lecanicillium muscarium</i> strain Ve6. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06121.	1.8	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance kieselgur (diatomaceous earth). EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06054.	1.8	5
38	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance chloropicrin. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06028.	1.8	4
39	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance mancozeb. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e05755.	1.8	12
40	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance aqueous extract from the germinated seeds of sweet Lupinus albus. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06190.	1.8	0
41	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance Streptomyces strain K61. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06182.	1.8	0
42	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance Bacillus thuringiensis ssp. israelensis (serotype H α 14) strain AM65 α 52. EFSA Journal, 2020, 18, e06317.	1.8	2
43	Outcome of the Pesticides Peer Review Meeting on general recurring issues in ecotoxicology. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2019, 16, 1673E.	0.7	14
44	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance pyriproxyfen. EFSA Journal, 2019, 17, e05732.	1.8	9
45	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance Phlebiopsis gigantea strains VRA 1835, VRA 1984 and FOC PG 410.3. EFSA Journal, 2019, 17, e05820.	1.8	0
46	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance pydiflumetofen. EFSA Journal, 2019, 17, e05821.	1.8	4
47	Updated peer review of the pesticide risk assessment for the active substance terbuthylazine in light of confirmatory data submitted. EFSA Journal, 2019, 17, e05817.	1.8	8
48	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance dimethyl disulfide. EFSA Journal, 2019, 17, e05905.	1.8	3
49	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance benfluralin. EFSA Journal, 2019, 17, e05842.	1.8	6
50	Outcome of the pesticides peer review meeting on general recurring issues in mammalian toxicology. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2018, 15, 1485E.	0.7	3
51	Ecological assessment of Juniperus turbinata Guss. forest on the Strofades Islands, Ionian Sea, Greece. Journal of Forest Science, 2018, 64, 345-352.	1.1	2
52	Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance florpyrauxifen (variant assessed) Tj ETQq0 0 0 ggBT /Overlock 10 Tf	1.8	9
53	Spatial analysis of orchids diversity unveils hot-spots: The case of Zante Island, Greece. Journal of Agricultural Informatics, 2018, 9, .	0.3	1
54	Perceptions of pesticides exposure risks by operators, workers, residents and bystanders in Greece, Italy and the UK. Science of the Total Environment, 2015, 505, 1082-1092.	8.0	46

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Temporal shifts in floristic and avian diversity in Mediterranean pine forest ecosystems under different fire pressure: The island of Zakynthos as a case study. <i>Annals of Forest Research</i> , 2014, 61, .	1.1	4
56	How does plant species composition change from year to year? A case study from the herbaceous layer of a submediterranean oak woodland. <i>Community Ecology</i> , 2012, 13, 88-96.	0.9	8
57	The use of wind energy for passive cooling applications in western Greece. <i>Intelligent Buildings International</i> , 2009, 1, 209-221.	2.3	1
58	Seed bank composition and above-ground vegetation in response to grazing in sub-Mediterranean oak forests (NW Greece). <i>Plant Ecology</i> , 2009, 201, 255-265.	1.6	42
59	Seed bank composition and above-ground vegetation in response to grazing in sub-Mediterranean oak forests (NW Greece). , 2008, , 255-265.		3
60	THE MINING INDUSTRY OF GREECE AND THE PRESS. <i>Bulletin of the Geological Society of Greece</i> , 2004, 36, 360.	0.5	0