Jose Mondelli

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9721580/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Fracture strength of human teeth with cavity preparations. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1980, 43, 419-422.	2.8	209
2	Fracture resistance of weakened teeth restored with condensable resin with and without cusp coverage. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2009, 17, 161-165.	1.8	64
3	Compromised Bond Strength after Root Dentin Deproteinization Reversed with Ascorbic Acid. Journal of Endodontics, 2010, 36, 130-134.	3.1	58
4	Influence of some factors on the fit of cemented crowns. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1981, 45, 400-404.	2.8	47
5	Network structures of Bis-GMA/TEGDMA resins differ in DC, shrinkage-strain, hardness and optical properties as a function of reducing agent. Dental Materials, 2011, 27, 497-506.	3.5	42
6	Bond strength of resin-resin interfaces contaminated with saliva and submitted to different surface treatments. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2007, 15, 501-505.	1.8	37
7	Tooth structure and fracture strength of cavities. Brazilian Dental Journal, 2007, 18, 134-138.	1.1	34
8	Marginal microleakage in cemented complete crowns. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1978, 40, 632-636.	2.8	32
9	Marginal Leakage of Two Composite Restorative Systems. Journal of Dental Research, 1976, 55, 74-76.	5.2	30
10	Influence of pressure and vibration during cementation. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1979, 41, 173-177.	2.8	22
11	An acrylic resin pattern for a cast dowel and core. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1971, 25, 413-417.	2.8	19
12	The strength of Class II amalgam restorations with and without pins. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1972, 28, 179-188.	2.8	17
13	Enamel Wetness Effects on Bond Strength Using Different Adhesive Systems. Operative Dentistry, 2011, 36, 274-280.	1.2	16
14	56-month clinical performance of Class I and II resin composite restorations. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2012, 20, 323-328.	1.8	15
15	Effect of conventional and experimental gingival retraction solutions on the tensile strength and inhibition of polymerization of four types of impression materials. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2008, 16, 280-285.	1.8	13
16	Effect of calcium hydroxide in powder or in paste form on pulp-capping procedures: Histopathologic and radiographic analysis in dog's pulp. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Oral Pathology, 1980, 50, 176-186.	0.6	12
17	A Conservative Approach for Restoring Anterior Guidance: A Case Report. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry, 2012, 24, 171-182.	3.8	12
18	Role of Additional Retention on Marginal Adaptation and Sealing of Large Resin Composite Class II Restorations. Operative Dentistry, 2007, 32, 564-570.	1.2	11

Jose Mondelli

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Fracture strength of amalgam restorations in modern Class II preparations with proximal retentive grooves. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1974, 32, 564-571.	2.8	10
20	Evaluation of weight loss and surface roughness of compomers after simulated toothbrushing abrasion test. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2005, 13, 131-135.	1.8	10
21	Assessment of a conservative approach for restoration of extensively destroyed posterior teeth. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2019, 27, e20180631.	1.8	9
22	Cross-splinting a weakened tooth with a horizontal pin: A new method. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1987, 57, 442-445.	2.8	8
23	Two-year clinical evaluation of resin composite in posterior teeth: A randomized controlled study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry, 2016, 19, 306.	0.9	8
24	Endocrown with Leucite-Reinforced Ceramic: Case of Restoration of Endodontically Treated Teeth. Case Reports in Dentistry, 2015, 2015, 1-4.	0.5	7
25	Temporary cementation of acrylic resin and cast complete crowns. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1984, 51, 637-641.	2.8	6
26	Influence of axiopulpal line angle and proximal retention on fracture strength of amalgam restorations. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1978, 40, 169-173.	2.8	5
27	Effect of simulated brushing on surface roughness and wear of bis-acryl-based materials submitted to different polishing protocols. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry, 2022, 14, e168-e176.	1.2	5
28	Fracture strength of Class II amalgam restorations condensed over protective bases. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1973, 30, 166-172.	2.8	4
29	Surface roughness average and scanning electron microscopic observations of resin luting agents. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2003, 11, 327-331.	1.8	4
30	Influence of cavity design, heat treatment, and cementation on MOD gold inlays. II. Resistance to removal under tensile stress and its relationship to the cavity surface area. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1974, 31, 61-65.	2.8	3
31	Integrated Orthodontic and Restorative Procedures for Replacement of Lost Central Incisors. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry, 1999, 11, 124-134.	3.8	3
32	Influence of proximal retention on the fracture strength of Class II amalgam restorations. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1981, 46, 420-424.	2.8	1
33	Effect of using the New Glass Fiber Pin in Resin Composite Restorations. Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice, 2018, 19, 541-545.	0.5	1
34	Tissue response to base metal alloys. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1969, 22, 230-233.	2.8	0
35	Fracture strength of Class II composite resin restorations. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1974, 32, 277-283.	2.8	0
36	Physical properties of dental amalgam containing metal pins. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 1976, 35, 416-423.	2.8	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Conservative approach to restore the first molar with extensive destruction: A 30-month follow-up. Quintessence International, 2013, 44, 385-91.	0.4	0