## Jelle P Ruurda

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9589623/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

258 papers

7,867 citations

44069 48 h-index

71

85541

266 all docs 266 docs citations

266 times ranked 5663 citing authors

g-index

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Prognosis of Interval Distant Metastases After Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2022, 113, 482-490.	1.3	3
2	Feasibility of sentinel node navigated surgery in high-risk T1b esophageal adenocarcinoma patients using a hybrid tracer of technetium-99Âm and indocyanine green. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 2671-2679.	2.4	11
3	Minimally invasive oesophagectomy in the prone versus lateral decubitus position: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	2
4	Impact of curative treatment on the physical fitness of patients with esophageal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 391-402.	1.0	8
5	Impact of nationwide centralization of oesophageal, gastric, and pancreatic surgery on travel distance and experienced burden in the Netherlands. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 348-355.	1.0	8
6	Lasting Symptoms After Esophageal Resection (LASER). Annals of Surgery, 2022, 275, e392-e400.	4.2	36
7	Worldwide Techniques and Outcomes in Robot-assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE). Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, e386-e392.	4.2	38
8	ASO Visual Abstract: Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Shortand Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2828.	1.5	0
9	The ISCON-trial protocol: laparoscopic ischemic conditioning prior to esophagectomy in patients with esophageal cancer and arterial calcifications. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, 144.	2.6	5
10	Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2812-2825.	1.5	14
11	A population-based study in synchronous <i>versus</i> metachronous metastatic esophagogastric adenocarcinoma. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology, 2022, 14, 175883592210855.	3.2	2
12	A population-based study on treatment and outcomes in patients with gastric adenocarcinoma diagnosed with distant interval metastases. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1964-1971.	1.0	3
13	ASO Visual Abstract: Metastasectomy or Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy With or Without Systemic Therapy for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , 1.	1.5	0
14	Metastasectomy or Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy With or Without Systemic Therapy for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4848-4857.	1.5	7
15	Definition of oligometastatic esophagogastric cancer and impact of local oligometastasis-directed treatment: AÂsystematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 166, 254-269.	2.8	40
16	The impact of performing gastric cancer surgery during holiday periods. A population-based study using Dutch upper gastrointestinal cancer audit (DUCA) data. Current Problems in Cancer, 2022, 46, 100850.	2.0	2
17	An Editorial on Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4676-4678.	1.5	2
18	Body Composition Is a Predictor for Postoperative Complications After Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer: a Prospective Side Study of the LOGICA Trial. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2022, 26, 1373-1387.	1.7	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive surgical interventions for esophageal and gastric cancer in the acute setting: a nationwide cohort study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 1219-1229.	2.4	3
20	Refraining from resection in patients with potentially curable gastric carcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1062-1068.	1.0	1
21	Evaluation of the Implementation of FDG-PET/CT and Staging Laparoscopy for Gastric Cancer in The Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2384-2393.	1.5	10
22	Technique of open and minimally invasive intrathoracic reconstruction following esophagectomyâ€"an expert consensus based on a modified Delphi process. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	8
23	Usability and Preliminary Effectiveness of a Preoperative mHealth App for People Undergoing Major Surgery: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2021, 9, e23402.	3.7	19
24	Surgical management of a perforated â€~black oesophagus'. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, 91, E539-E541.	0.7	0
25	Largely varying patterns and trends of primary cancer-directed resection for gastric carcinoma with synchronous distant metastasis in Europe and the US: a population-based study calling for further standardization of care. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology, 2021, 13, 175883592110278.	3.2	7
26	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Combined with Atezolizumab for Resectable Esophageal Adenocarcinoma: A Single-arm Phase II Feasibility Trial (PERFECT). Clinical Cancer Research, 2021, 27, 3351-3359.	7.0	143
27	A Phase II Study Demonstrates No Feasibility of Adjuvant Treatment with Six Cycles of S-1 and Oxaliplatin in Resectable Esophageal Adenocarcinoma, with ERCC1 as Biomarker for Response to SOX. Cancers, 2021, 13, 839.	3.7	2
28	Decrease of physical fitness during neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy predicts the risk of pneumonia after esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	3
29	Expectations of Continuous Vital Signs Monitoring for Recognizing Complications After Esophagectomy: Interview Study Among Nurses and Surgeons. JMIR Perioperative Medicine, 2021, 4, e22387.	1.0	8
30	Laparoscopic Versus Open Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer (LOGICA): A Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 978-989.	1.6	107
31	The presence of metastatic thoracic duct lymph nodes in Western esophageal cancer patients. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2021, , .	1.3	8
32	Supervised exercise after oesophageal cancer surgery: the PERFECT multicentre randomized clinical trial. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 786-796.	0.3	12
33	Minimally Invasive Oncologic Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery can be Performed Safely on all Weekdays: A Nationwide Cohort Study. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 2816-2829.	1.6	4
34	Overall Volume Trends in Esophageal Cancer Surgery Results From the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, 449-458.	4.2	21
35	Prognostic value of patient-reported quality of life for survival in oesophagogastric cancer: analysis from the population-based POCOP study. Gastric Cancer, 2021, 24, 1203-1212.	5.3	9
36	Postoperative intensive care unit stay after minimally invasive esophagectomy shows large hospital variation. Results from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1961-1968.	1.0	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Robot-assisted laparoscopic debulking surgery for recurrent adult granulosa cell tumors. Gynecologic Oncology Reports, 2021, 37, 100783.	0.6	2
38	CTV-to-PTV margin assessment for esophageal cancer radiotherapy based on an accumulated dose analysis. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 161, 16-22.	0.6	5
39	771 IMPACT OF NATIONWIDE CENTRALIZATION OF ESOPHAGEAL, GASTRIC, AND PANCREATIC SURGERY ON TRAVEL DISTANCE AND EXPERIENCED BURDEN IN THE NETHERLANDS. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	O
40	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus minimally invasive esophagectomy for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT-2) Tj ETQq0 C	0 <b>29</b> BT/C	ovenløck 10 Tf
41	An in-silico assessment of the dosimetric benefits of MR-guided radiotherapy for esophageal cancer patients. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 162, 76-84.	0.6	2
42	Severe lymphopenia acquired during chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer: Incidence and external validation of a prediction model. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 163, 192-198.	0.6	6
43	Worldwide Practice in Gastric Cancer Surgery: A 6-Year Update. Digestive Surgery, 2021, 38, 266-274.	1.2	12
44	Salvage Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE) for T4b Esophageal Cancer After Definitive Chemoradiotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2730-2738.	1.5	11
45	<sup>18</sup> F-Fludeoxyglucose–Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography and Laparoscopy for Staging of Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer. JAMA Surgery, 2021, 156, e215340.	4.3	31
46	ASO Visual Abstract: The Value of Paratracheal Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus or Gastroesophageal Junction: a Systematic Review of the Literature. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
47	State of the art in esophagectomy: robotic assistance in the abdominal phase. Updates in Surgery, 2021, 73, 823-830.	2.0	7
48	ASO Author Reflections: Preoperative Selection of cT4b Esophageal Cancer Patients Who Benefit From a Salvage Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE). Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2739-2740.	1.5	0
49	The Value of Paratracheal Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus or Gastroesophageal Junction: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	1
50	ASO Author Reflections: Modern-Day Implementation of Robotic Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
51	P-OGC87â€fRobotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-term Clinical Outcomes. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, .	0.3	O
52	Feeding protocol deviation after esophagectomy: A retrospective multicenter study. Clinical Nutrition, 2020, 39, 1258-1263.	5.0	9
53	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) compared to conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE) for esophageal cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	79
54	Formal assessment of the learning curve for minimally invasive methods is vital in retrospective cohort studies. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 222, 95-96.	1.3	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Identification of the clinically most relevant postoperative complications after gastrectomy: a population-based cohort study. Gastric Cancer, 2020, 23, 339-348.	5.3	25
56	Feasibility of extended chemoradiotherapy plus surgery for patients with cT4b esophageal carcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 626-631.	1.0	9
57	Do esophageal cancer survivors work after esophagectomy and do health problems impact their work? A cross-sectional study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2020, 14, 253-260.	2.9	5
58	Optimal timing for prediction of pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy with diffusion-weighted MRI in patients with esophageal cancer. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 1896-1907.	4.5	26
59	Vital Signs Monitoring with Wearable Sensors in High-risk Surgical Patients. Anesthesiology, 2020, 132, 424-439.	2.5	91
60	Are current wireless monitoring systems capable of detecting adverse events in high-risk surgical patients? A descriptive study. Injury, 2020, 51, S97-S105.	1.7	35
61	Minimally Invasive Resection of Large Gastric Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. Digestive Surgery, 2020, 37, 441-446.	1.2	6
62	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE): tips and tricks from the bedside assistant viewâ€"expert experiences. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	2
63	A structured training pathway to implement robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: the learning curve results from a high-volume center. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	24
64	Technical details of the hand-sewn and circular-stapled anastomosis in robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	16
65	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy versus open esophagectomy: long-term follow-up of a randomized clinical trial. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33,	0.4	27
66	A standardized approach for the thoracic dissection in robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE). Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	6
67	Impact on postoperative complications of changes in skeletal muscle mass during neoadjuvant chemotherapy for gastro-oesophageal cancer. BJS Open, 2020, 4, 847-854.	1.7	18
68	The CARDIA-trial protocol: a multinational, prospective, randomized, clinical trial comparing transthoracic esophagectomy with transhiatal extended gastrectomy in adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) type II. BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 781.	2.6	37
69	Non-curative gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer does not result in additional risk of postoperative morbidity compared to curative gastrectomy. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 35, 126-131.	1.6	2
70	Robot-assisted cervical esophagectomy: first clinical experiences and review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	5
71	Decreasing resection rates for nonmetastatic gastric cancer in Europe and the United States. Clinical and Translational Medicine, 2020, 10, e203.	4.0	13
72	Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy: AÂConsensus Statement. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 110, 1417-1426.	1.3	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Tumor volume regression during neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer: a prospective study with weekly MRI. Acta Oncol $\tilde{A}^3$ gica, 2020, 59, 753-759.	1.8	15
74	Metastatic incidence of (PET)CT positive lung hilar and retroperitoneal lymph nodes in esophageal cancer patients. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 33, 170-176.	1.6	4
75	Robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: past, present and future. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2020, 12, 54-62.	1.4	28
76	The additive value of restaging-CT during neoadjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 1247-1253.	1.0	19
77	Paravertebral catheter versus EPidural analgesia in Minimally invasive Esophageal resectioN: a randomized controlled multicenter trial (PEPMEN trial). BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 142.	2.6	15
78	The Predictive Value of Low Muscle Mass as Measured on CT Scans for Postoperative Complications and Mortality in Gastric Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 199.	2.4	28
79	Preoperative Prediction of Pathologic Response to Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Patients With Esophageal Cancer Using 18F-FDG PET/CT and DW-MRI: A Prospective MulticenterAStudy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2020, 106, 998-1009.	0.8	46
80	The potential and challenges of patient-derived organoids in guiding the multimodality treatment of upper gastrointestinal malignancies. Open Biology, 2020, 10, 190274.	3.6	9
81	3-Dimensional target coverage assessment for MRI guided esophageal cancer radiotherapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2020, 147, 1-7.	0.6	11
82	Wireless Remote Home Monitoring of Vital Signs in Patients Discharged Early After Esophagectomy: Observational Feasibility Study. JMIR Perioperative Medicine, 2020, 3, e21705.	1.0	22
83	Randomized clinical trial on the effect of a supervised exercise program on quality of life, fatigue, and fitness following esophageal cancer treatment (PERFECT study) Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 12055-12055.	1.6	1
84	Intestinal and tumor microbiome analysis combined with metabolomics of the anti-PD-L1 phase II PERFECT trial for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 4556-4556.	1.6	1
85	Prognostic Value of Lymph Node Yield on Overall Survival in Esophageal Cancer Patients. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 261-268.	4.2	98
86	Epidural analgesia after minimally invasive esophagectomy: efficacy and complication profile. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	13
87	Low-Fat Tube Feeding After Esophagectomy Is Associated With a Lower Incidence of Chylothorax. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2019, 108, 184-189.	1.3	7
88	A pilot study of a novel molecular host response assay to diagnose infection in patients after high-risk gastro-intestinal surgery. Journal of Critical Care, 2019, 54, 83-87.	2.2	3
89	Robotic-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a European perspective. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 909-919.	5.3	55
90	Prophylactic Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) for Gastric Cancerâ€"A Systematic Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 1685.	2.4	29

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Radiation dose and pathological response in oesophageal cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery: a multi-institutional analysis. Acta Oncol $\tilde{A}^3$ gica, 2019, 58, 1358-1365.	1.8	11
92	New-onset atrial fibrillation after esophagectomy for cancer. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S831-S834.	1.4	17
93	Robotic-assisted Esophagectomy vs Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Esophagectomy (REVATE): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2019, 20, 346.	1.6	47
94	Routine chest X-rays after the removal of chest tubes are not necessary following esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S799-S804.	1.4	3
95	Extended thoracic lymph node dissection in robotic-assisted minimal invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) for patients with superior mediastinal lymph node metastasis. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 2019, 8, 218-225.	1.7	22
96	Reducing pulmonary complications after esophagectomy for cancer. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S794-S798.	1.4	13
97	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) improves perioperative outcomes: a review. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S735-S742.	1.4	30
98	Resection of hepatic and pulmonary metastasis from metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer: a nationwide study. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	13
99	Epidural Analgesia for Severe Chest Trauma: An Analysis of Current Practice on the Efficacy and Safety. Critical Care Research and Practice, 2019, 2019, 1-7.	1.1	11
100	Comment on: "Early Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Versus Thoracoscopic-Assisted Ivor Lewis Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer: A Propensity Score-Matched Study― Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 1178-1181.	1.5	3
101	Frequency of surgical resection after starting neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with esophageal cancer: AÂpopulation-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1919-1925.	1.0	4
102	Imaging strategies in the management of gastric cancer: current role and future potential of MRI. British Journal of Radiology, 2019, 92, 20181044.	2.2	61
103	P103 A HAND-SEWN INTRATHORACIC ANASTOMOSIS IN ROBOT-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY (RAMIE): A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TECHNIQUE AND OUTCOMES. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
104	O100 WORLDWIDE TECHNIQUES AND OUTCOMES OF ROBOT-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY (RAMIE): RESULTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL UGIRA REGISTRY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	1
105	P101 THE IMPACT OF PARATRACHEAL LYMPHADENECTOMY ON LYMPH NODE YIELD AND SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES IN ESOPHAGECTOMY: A NATIONAL PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED ANALYSIS. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
106	O122 INTERVAL DISTANT METASTASES DURING OR AFTER NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR ESOPHAGEAL OR GASTROESOPHAGEAL JUNCTION CANCER: A NATION-WIDE POPULATION-BASED COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
107	Restaging after chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced esophageal cancer. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S288-S288.	1.7	3
108	O114 TUMOR VOLUME REGRESSION DURING NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY WITH WEEKLY MRI. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Robot-assisted Minimally Invasive Thoracolaparoscopic Esophagectomy Versus Open Transthoracic Esophagectomy for Resectable Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 621-630.	4.2	436
110	Introduction of minimally invasive surgery for distal and total gastrectomy: a population-based study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 403-409.	1.0	23
111	Safety and efficacy of early oral feeding for enhanced recovery following gastrectomy for gastric cancer: A systematic review. Surgical Oncology, 2019, 28, 88-95.	1.6	33
112	European validation of the Yonsei Gastric Cancer Prognosis Prediction Model after gastrectomy: Validation with the Netherlands Cancer Registry. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 983-988.	1.0	5
113	Enabling single-site laparoscopy: the SPORT platform. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 3696-3703.	2.4	56
114	ypTON+ status in oesophageal cancer patients: Location of residualÂmetastatic lymph nodes with regard to the neoadjuvant radiation field. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 454-459.	1.0	5
115	Pulmonary diffusion capacity predicts major complications after esophagectomy for patients with esophageal cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	23
116	A phase II feasibility trial of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy combined with atezolizumab for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma: The PERFECT trial Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 4045-4045.	1.6	20
117	Recent advances in defining and benchmarking complications after esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, E243-E246.	1.4	1
118	Role of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in clinical T2NOMO esophageal cancer: A population-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 620-625.	1.0	22
119	The anatomy of the thoracic duct at the level of the diaphragm: A cadaver study. Annals of Anatomy, 2018, 217, 47-53.	1.9	15
120	Learning Curve for Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Thoracoscopic Esophagectomy: Results From 312 Cases. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 264-271.	1.3	109
121	Defining pneumonia after esophagectomy for cancer: validation of the Uniform Pneumonia Score in a high volume center in North America. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	18
122	Limited additional value of cervical ultrasonography over a negative 18F-FDG PET/CT for diagnosing cervical lymph node metastases in patients with esophageal cancer. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2018, 39, 645-651.	1.1	4
123	Prediction and diagnosis of interval metastasis after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer using 18F-FDG PET/CT. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2018, 45, 1742-1751.	6.4	20
124	Patient perspectives on repeated MRI and PET/CT examinations during neoadjuvant treatment of oesophageal cancer. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20170710.	2.2	8
125	Two-Field Lymphadenectomy During Esophagectomy: The Presence of Thoracic Duct Lymph Nodes. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 435-439.	1.3	19
126	Multicentre randomized clinical trial of inspiratory muscle training <i>versus</i> usual care before surgery for oesophageal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 502-511.	0.3	71

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	The predictive value of new-onset atrial fibrillation on postoperative morbidity after esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	24
128	Generalized cardiovascular disease on a preoperative CT scan is predictive for anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 587-593.	1.0	23
129	Intrathoracic <i>versus</i> cervical anastomosis and predictors of anastomotic leakage after oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 552-560.	0.3	111
130	Evaluation of PET and laparoscopy in STagIng advanced gastric cancer: a multicenter prospective study (PLASTIC-study). BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 450.	2.6	28
131	Validation of ergonomic instructions in robot-assisted surgery simulator training. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2533-2540.	2.4	12
132	Chyluria and chylothorax after posterior selective fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. European Spine Journal, 2018, 27, 2088-2092.	2.2	6
133	Nationwide comprehensive gastro-intestinal cancer cohorts: the 3P initiative. Acta $Oncol\tilde{A}^3$ gica, 2018, 57, 195-202.	1.8	55
134	Massive esophageal hemorrhage. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018, 87, 1152-1153.	1.0	0
135	Correlation between functional imaging markers derived from diffusion-weighted MRI and 18F-FDG PET/CT in esophageal cancer. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2018, 39, 60-67.	1.1	17
136	Minimally invasive esophagectomy: a propensity score-matched analysis of semiprone versus prone position. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2758-2765.	2.4	31
137	Cervical ultrasonography has no additional value over negative 18F-FDG PET/CT scans for diagnosing cervical lymph node metastases in patients with oesophageal cancer. European Radiology, 2018, 28, 2031-2037.	4.5	5
138	First experience with THE AUTOLAPâ,,¢ SYSTEM: an image-based robotic camera steering device. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2560-2566.	2.4	24
139	Factors influencing health-related quality of life after gastrectomy for cancer. Gastric Cancer, 2018, 21, 524-532.	5.3	45
140	PS01.202: MANAGEMENT OF RESECTABLE ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC (MIXED ADENO)NEUROENDOCRINE CARCINOMA: A NATIONWIDE COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 107-107.	0.4	1
141	FA08.01: MORTALITY AND REFRAINMENT FROM ESOPHAGECTOMY IN ESOPHAGEAL CANCER PATIENTS THAT STARTED NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY: A POPULATION-BASED COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 15-15.	0.4	O
142	PS01.123: EPIDURAL ANALGESIA AFTER MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY: EFFICACY AND COMPLICATION PROFILE. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 84-85.	0.4	0
143	PS02.082: OPTIMAL TIMING FOR ASSESSMENT OF TUMOR RESPONSE TO NCRT WITH MRI IN PATIENTS WITH ESOPHAGEAL CANCER. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 143-144.	0.4	O
144	PS01.192: ROUTINE CHEST X-RAY AFTER REMOVAL OF CHEST TUBES IS NOT NECESSARY DURING THE POSTOPERATIVE COURSE OF ESOPHAGECTOMY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 104-104.	0.4	O

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Validation of a Nomogram Predicting Survival After Trimodality Therapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 1541-1547.	1.3	13
146	FA04.06: RESECTION OF HEPATIC AND PULMONARY METASTASIS FROM ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC CANCER: A NATIONWIDE STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 9-9.	0.4	1
147	Impact of postoperative complications on outcomes after oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 106, 111-119.	0.3	66
148	Preoperative image-guided identification of response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer (PRIDE): a multicenter observational study. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 1006.	2.6	54
149	The evolution of surgical approach for esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 149-155.	3.8	30
150	Role of adjuvant chemoradiotherapy after endoscopic treatment of early-stage esophageal cancer: a systematic review. Minerva Surgery, 2018, 73, 428-436.	0.6	3
151	Surgical treatment of esophageal cancer in the era of multimodality management. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 192-209.	3.8	97
152	DW-MRI and DCE-MRI are of complementary value in predicting pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer. Acta Oncológica, 2018, 57, 1201-1208.	1.8	43
153	Detection of distant interval metastases after neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal cancer with 18F-FDG PET(/CT): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	31
154	Surgical robotics for esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 21-26.	3.8	13
155	Management of resectable esophageal and gastric (mixed adeno)neuroendocrine carcinoma: A nationwide cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1955-1962.	1.0	29
156	Timing of postoperative chemotherapy in patients undergoing perioperative chemotherapy and gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Surgical Oncology, 2018, 27, 421-427.	1.6	9
157	Intermittent pneumatic compression in combination with lowâ€molecular weight heparin in the prevention of venous thromboembolic events in esophageal cancer surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 115, 181-185.	1.7	9
158	Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive gastrectomy during the early introduction in the Netherlands: short-term oncological outcomes comparable to open gastrectomy. Gastric Cancer, 2017, 20, 853-860.	5.3	31
159	A High Lymph Node Yield is Associated with Prolonged Survival in Elderly Patients Undergoing Curative Gastrectomy for Cancer: A Dutch Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2213-2223.	1.5	20
160	Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy Versus Perioperative Chemotherapy for Patients With Resectable Esophageal or Gastroesophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2282-2290.	1.5	39
161	Impact of Weekday of Esophagectomy on Short-term and Long-term Oncological Outcomes. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 76-81.	4.2	19
162	Outcome of a Step-Up Treatment Strategy for Chyle Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 104, 477-484.	1.3	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Hiatal Hernia After Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 103, 1055-1062.	1.3	41
164	Association Between Waiting Time from Diagnosis to Treatment and Survival in Patients with Curable Gastric Cancer: A Population-Based Study in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 1761-1769.	1.5	35
165	Diagnostic performance of a CT-based scoring system for diagnosis of anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy: comparison with subjective CT assessment. European Radiology, 2017, 27, 4426-4434.	4.5	20
166	Hospital costs of complications after esophagectomy for cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 696-702.	1.0	89
167	Impact of diagnosis-to-treatment waiting time on survival in esophageal cancer patients – A population-based study in The Netherlands. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 461-470.	1.0	10
168	Nutritional aspects of enhanced recovery after esophagectomy with gastric conduit reconstruction. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 623-629.	1.7	19
169	Weekday of gastrectomy for cancer in relation to mortality and oncological outcomes – A Dutch population-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1862-1868.	1.0	13
170	Postoperative Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Gastrectomy Versus Open Gastrectomy During the Early Introduction of Minimally Invasive Gastrectomy in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 831-838.	4.2	55
171	Targeted next-generation sequencing of commonly mutated genes in esophageal adenocarcinoma patients with long-term survival. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2017, 30, 1-8.	0.4	1
172	A Propensity Score Matched Analysis of Open Versus Minimally Invasive Transthoracic Esophagectomy in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 839-846.	4.2	182
173	The effect of perioperative chemotherapy for patients with an adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction: A propensity score matched analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 226-233.	1.0	10
174	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Chirurg, 2017, 88, 7-11.	1.8	27
175	Surgical anatomy of the supracarinal esophagus based on a minimally invasive approach: vascular and nervous anatomy and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 1863-1870.	2.4	25
176	The periâ€esophageal connective tissue layers and related compartments: visualization by histology and magnetic resonance imaging. Journal of Anatomy, 2017, 230, 262-271.	1.5	34
177	Surgical anatomy of the omental bursa and the stomach based on a minimally invasive approach: different approaches and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S809-S816.	1.4	11
178	The feeding route after esophagectomy: a review of literature. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S785-S791.	1.4	37
179	Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: incidence, management, and impact on short- and long-term outcomes. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S868-S878.	1.4	52
180	Routine jejunostomy tube feeding following esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S851-S860.	1.4	36

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	Prognostic gene expression profiling in esophageal cancer: a systematic review. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 5566-5577.	1.8	36
182	Impact of Lymph Node Yield on Overall Survival in Patients Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Followed by Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 863-869.	4.2	70
183	New insights into the surgical anatomy of the esophagus. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S675-S680.	1.4	20
184	Postoperative complications and weight loss following jejunostomy tube feeding after total gastrectomy for advanced adenocarcinomas. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2017, 29, 333-340.	2.2	8
185	Worldwide practice in gastric cancer surgery. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016, 22, 4041.	3.3	52
186	Immediate Postoperative Oral Nutrition Following Esophagectomy: A Multicenter Clinical Trial. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 1141-1148.	1.3	81
187	Current status of laparoscopic transhiatal esophagectomy for esophageal cancer patients: a systematic review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	19
188	Worldwide trends in surgical techniques in the treatment of esophageal and gastroesophageal junction cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	111
189	Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Hiatal Hernia Repair: Promising Anatomical and Functional Results. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 465-469.	1.0	27
190	Management and outcome of cervical versus intrathoracic manifestation of cervical anastomotic leakage after transthoracic esophagectomy for cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	27
191	Esophageal and Gastric Cancer Pearl: a nationwide clinical biobanking project in the Netherlands. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 29, 435-441.	0.4	9
192	Aortic Calcification Increases the Risk of Anastomotic Leakage After Ivor-Lewis Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 247-252.	1.3	55
193	Waiting Time from Diagnosis to Treatment has no Impact on Survival in Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 2679-2689.	1.5	30
194	Preserving the pulmonary vagus nerve branches during thoracoscopic esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3816-3822.	2.4	24
195	Robotic Single-Port Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Is Safe but Faces Technical Challenges. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 857-861.	1.0	20
196	Stageâ€directed individualized therapy in esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2016, 1381, 50-65.	3.8	15
197	Intraoperative and postoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage and pneumonia after esophagectomy for cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, 1-10.	0.4	28
198	A Step-Wise Approach to Total Laparoscopic Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction: How and Why We Do It. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 1908-1915.	1.7	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Current treatment options for esophageal diseases. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2016, 1381, 139-151.	3.8	11
200	Activities of daily living and quality of life during treatment with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and after surgery in patients with esophageal cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 114, 684-690.	1.7	14
201	Sentinel node biopsy during thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy for advanced esophageal cancer. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 14, 117.	1.9	11
202	Internal and External Validation of a multivariable Model to Define Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia After Esophagectomy. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 680-687.	1.7	47
203	Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for treatment response assessment in patients with oesophageal cancer receiving neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2016, 120, 128-135.	0.6	52
204	Laparoscopic gastrectomy in Western European patients with advanced gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 110-115.	1.0	28
205	Staging of adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 400-406.	1.0	35
206	Ischemic Conditioning of the Stomach in the Prevention of Esophagogastric Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 101, 1614-1623.	1.3	43
207	The Oncological Value of Omentectomy in Gastrectomy for Cancer. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 885-890.	1.7	31
208	Perioperative Treatment, Not Surgical Approach, Influences Overall Survival in Patients with Gastroesophageal Junction Tumors: A Nationwide, Population-Based Study in The Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 1632-1638.	1.5	14
209	Endoscopic biopsy and EUS for the detection of pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2016, 83, 866-879.	1.0	64
210	A cervical swelling after esophagectomy. Surgery, 2016, 159, 1229-1230.	1.9	0
211	Radiation to the Gastric Fundus Increases theÂRisk of Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 1798-1804.	1.3	39
212	Prophylactic Laparoscopic Total Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction in Patients Carrying a CDH1 Germline Mutation. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2015, 19, 2120-2125.	1.7	20
213	Topography and extent of pulmonary vagus nerve supply with respect to transthoracic oesophagectomy. Journal of Anatomy, 2015, 227, 431-439.	1.5	34
214	Robotâ€assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: A systematic review. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 112, 257-265.	1.7	124
215	Electrical stimulation therapy of the lower oesophageal sphincter for refractory gastroâ€oesophageal reflux disease – interim results of an international multicentre trial. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2015, 42, 614-625.	3.7	39
216	Technical Feasibility of TachoSil Application on Esophageal Anastomoses. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2015, 2015, 1-6.	1.5	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Comment on: Hölscher AH, Bollschweiler E, Bogoevski D, Schmidt H, Semrau R, Izbicki JR. Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer – A propensity score matched analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2014;50(17):2950–7. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 2095-2096.	2.8	2
218	Calcification of Arteries Supplying the Gastric Tube: A New Risk Factor for Anastomotic Leakage after Esophageal Surgery. Radiology, 2015, 274, 124-132.	7.3	65
219	Leaving a Mobilized Thoracic Esophagus In Situ When Incurable Cancer Is Discovered Intraoperatively. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2015, 99, 490-494.	1.3	3
220	Safety, Efficacy, and Long-Term Follow-Up Evaluation of Perioperative Epirubicin, Cisplatin, and Capecitabine Chemotherapy in Esophageal Resection for Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1555-1563.	1.5	13
221	Long-term quality of life after oesophagectomy with gastric conduit interposition for cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 1538-1545.	2.8	22
222	Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for the prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 115, 163-170.	0.6	107
223	Oncologic Long-Term Results of Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Thoraco-Laparoscopic Esophagectomy with Two-Field Lymphadenectomy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1350-1356.	1.5	123
224	The role of biological markers of epithelial to mesenchymal transition in oesophageal adenocarcinoma, an immunohistochemical study. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2015, 68, 529-535.	2.0	6
225	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Stage I and II Esophageal Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 287-288.	1.6	4
226	Diagnostic Performance of <sup>18</sup> F-FDG PET and PET/CT for the Detection of Recurrent Esophageal Cancer After Treatment with Curative Intent: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2015, 56, 995-1002.	5.0	75
227	Laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for gastric cancer, a multicenter prospectively randomized controlled trial (LOGICA-trial). BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 556.	2.6	92
228	Haemodynamics in a patient with Fontan physiology undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Netherlands Heart Journal, 2015, 23, 383-385.	0.8	8
229	Prognosis and Treatment After Diagnosis of Recurrent Esophageal Carcinoma Following Esophagectomy with Curative Intent. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1292-1300.	1.5	73
230	A new concept of the anatomy of the thoracic oesophagus: the meso-oesophagus. Observational study during thoracoscopic esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2015, 29, 2576-2582.	2.4	56
231	Surgical Treatment of Adenocarcinomas of the Gastro-esophageal Junction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 597-603.	1.5	67
232	Routes for early enteral nutrition after esophagectomy. A systematic review. Clinical Nutrition, 2015, 34, 1-6.	5.0	118
233	Imaging of oesophageal cancer with FDG-PET/CT and MRI. Clinical Radiology, 2015, 70, 81-95.	1.1	57
234	Study protocol for the nutritional route in oesophageal resection trial: a single-arm feasibility trial (NUTRIENT trial). BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004557-e004557.	1.9	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
235	Systematic review of the surgical strategies of adenocarcinomas of the gastroesophageal junction. Surgical Oncology, 2014, 23, 222-228.	1.6	47
236	A New Clinical Scoring System to Define Pneumonia following Esophagectomy for Cancer. Digestive Surgery, 2014, 31, 108-116.	1.2	61
237	Surgical Techniques to Prevent Delayed Gastric Emptying After Esophagectomy With Gastric Interposition: A Systematic Review. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2014, 98, 1512-1519.	1.3	65
238	End-to-End Cervical Esophagogastric Anastomoses Are Associated with a Higher Number of Strictures Compared with End-to-Side Anastomoses. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2013, 17, 872-876.	1.7	31
239	Laparoscopic total gastrectomy versus open total gastrectomy for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 1509-1520.	2.4	159
240	Imaging strategies in the management of oesophageal cancer: what's the role of MRI?. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 1753-1765.	4.5	65
241	The significance of the HER-2 status in esophageal adenocarcinoma for survival: an immunohistochemical and an in situ hybridization study. Annals of Oncology, 2013, 24, 1290-1297.	1.2	26
242	Innovative techniques in evaluating the esophagus; imaging of esophageal morphology and function; and drugs for esophageal disease. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2013, 1300, 11-28.	3.8	6
243	Strategies to reduce pulmonary complications after esophagectomy. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2013, 19, 6509.	3.3	49
244	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT trial). Trials, 2012, 13, 230.	1.6	152
245	Five-year results of inguinal hernia treatment with the Prolene Hernia System in a regional training hospital. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2010, 14, 155-158.	2.0	12
246	Ergonomics, user comfort, and performance in standard and robot-assisted laparoscopic surgery. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2009, 23, 1365-1371.	2.4	152
247	Laparoscopic Fenestration of Liver Cysts in Polycystic Liver Disease Results in a Median Volume Reduction of 12.5%. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2008, 12, 477-482.	1.7	63
248	Inguinal hernia treatment with the Prolene Hernia System in a Dutch regional training hospital. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2007, 11, 303-306.	2.0	9
249	Robot-Assisted Endoscopic Surgery: A Four-Year Single-Center Experience. Digestive Surgery, 2005, 22, 313-320.	1.2	103
250	Robot-assisted versus Standard Videoscopic Aortic Replacement. A Comparative Study in Pigs. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, 2004, 27, 501-506.	1.5	47
251	Manual robot assisted endoscopic suturing: Time-action analysis in an experimental model. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2004, 18, 1249-1252.	2.4	50
252	Early experience in robot-assisted laparoscopic Heller myotomy. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2004, 39, 4-8.	1.5	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	Robot-assisted laparoscopic intestinal anastomosis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2003, 17, 236-241.	2.4	40
254	Robot-assisted laparoscopic choledochojejunostomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2003, 17, 1937-1942.	2.4	23
255	Analysis of Procedure Time in Robot-Assisted Surgery: Comparative Study in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Computer Aided Surgery, 2003, 8, 24-29.	1.8	46
256	Robot-assisted Thoracoscopic Resection of a Benign Mediastinal Neurogenic Tumor: Technical Note. Neurosurgery, 2003, 52, 462-464.	1.1	54
257	Robot-assisted surgical systems: a new era in laparoscopic surgery. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2002, 84, 223-226.	0.6	94
258	Continuing expansion of internal iliac artery aneurysms after surgical exclusion of the inflow. A report of two cases. Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery, 2001, 42, 389-92.	0.6	9