
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9589623/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



IFILE D DILLONA

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Robot-assisted Minimally Invasive Thoracolaparoscopic Esophagectomy Versus Open Transthoracic Esophagectomy for Resectable Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 621-630.	4.2	436
2	A Propensity Score Matched Analysis of Open Versus Minimally Invasive Transthoracic Esophagectomy in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 839-846.	4.2	182
3	Laparoscopic total gastrectomy versus open total gastrectomy for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 1509-1520.	2.4	159
4	Ergonomics, user comfort, and performance in standard and robot-assisted laparoscopic surgery. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2009, 23, 1365-1371.	2.4	152
5	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT trial). Trials, 2012, 13, 230.	1.6	152
6	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Combined with Atezolizumab for Resectable Esophageal Adenocarcinoma: A Single-arm Phase II Feasibility Trial (PERFECT). Clinical Cancer Research, 2021, 27, 3351-3359.	7.0	143
7	Robotâ€assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: A systematic review. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 112, 257-265.	1.7	124
8	Oncologic Long-Term Results of Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Thoraco-Laparoscopic Esophagectomy with Two-Field Lymphadenectomy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1350-1356.	1.5	123
9	Routes for early enteral nutrition after esophagectomy. A systematic review. Clinical Nutrition, 2015, 34, 1-6.	5.0	118
10	Worldwide trends in surgical techniques in the treatment of esophageal and gastroesophageal junction cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	111
11	Intrathoracic <i>versus</i> cervical anastomosis and predictors of anastomotic leakage after oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 552-560.	0.3	111
12	Learning Curve for Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Thoracoscopic Esophagectomy: Results From 312 Cases. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 264-271.	1.3	109
13	Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for the prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 115, 163-170.	0.6	107
14	Laparoscopic Versus Open Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer (LOGICA): A Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 978-989.	1.6	107
15	Robot-Assisted Endoscopic Surgery: A Four-Year Single-Center Experience. Digestive Surgery, 2005, 22, 313-320.	1.2	103
16	Prognostic Value of Lymph Node Yield on Overall Survival in Esophageal Cancer Patients. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 261-268.	4.2	98
17	Surgical treatment of esophageal cancer in the era of multimodality management. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 192-209.	3.8	97
18	Robot-assisted surgical systems: a new era in laparoscopic surgery. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2002, 84, 223-226.	0.6	94

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for gastric cancer, a multicenter prospectively randomized controlled trial (LOGICA-trial). BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 556.	2.6	92
20	Vital Signs Monitoring with Wearable Sensors in High-risk Surgical Patients. Anesthesiology, 2020, 132, 424-439.	2.5	91
21	Hospital costs of complications after esophagectomy for cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 696-702.	1.0	89
22	Immediate Postoperative Oral Nutrition Following Esophagectomy: A Multicenter Clinical Trial. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 1141-1148.	1.3	81
23	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) compared to conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE) for esophageal cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	79
24	Diagnostic Performance of <sup>18</sup> F-FDG PET and PET/CT for the Detection of Recurrent Esophageal Cancer After Treatment with Curative Intent: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2015, 56, 995-1002.	5.0	75
25	Prognosis and Treatment After Diagnosis of Recurrent Esophageal Carcinoma Following Esophagectomy with Curative Intent. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1292-1300.	1.5	73
26	Multicentre randomized clinical trial of inspiratory muscle training <i>versus</i> usual care before surgery for oesophageal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 502-511.	0.3	71
27	Impact of Lymph Node Yield on Overall Survival in Patients Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Followed by Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 863-869.	4.2	70
28	Surgical Treatment of Adenocarcinomas of the Gastro-esophageal Junction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 597-603.	1.5	67
29	Impact of postoperative complications on outcomes after oesophagectomy for cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 106, 111-119.	0.3	66
30	Imaging strategies in the management of oesophageal cancer: what's the role of MRI?. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 1753-1765.	4.5	65
31	Surgical Techniques to Prevent Delayed Gastric Emptying After Esophagectomy With Gastric Interposition: A Systematic Review. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2014, 98, 1512-1519.	1.3	65
32	Calcification of Arteries Supplying the Gastric Tube: A New Risk Factor for Anastomotic Leakage after Esophageal Surgery. Radiology, 2015, 274, 124-132.	7.3	65
33	Endoscopic biopsy and EUS for the detection of pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2016, 83, 866-879.	1.0	64
34	Laparoscopic Fenestration of Liver Cysts in Polycystic Liver Disease Results in a Median Volume Reduction of 12.5%. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2008, 12, 477-482.	1.7	63
35	A New Clinical Scoring System to Define Pneumonia following Esophagectomy for Cancer. Digestive Surgery, 2014, 31, 108-116.	1.2	61
36	Imaging strategies in the management of gastric cancer: current role and future potential of MRI. British Journal of Radiology, 2019, 92, 20181044.	2.2	61

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Imaging of oesophageal cancer with FDG-PET/CT and MRI. Clinical Radiology, 2015, 70, 81-95.	1.1	57
38	A new concept of the anatomy of the thoracic oesophagus: the meso-oesophagus. Observational study during thoracoscopic esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2015, 29, 2576-2582.	2.4	56
39	Enabling single-site laparoscopy: the SPORT platform. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 3696-3703.	2.4	56
40	Aortic Calcification Increases the Risk of Anastomotic Leakage After Ivor-Lewis Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 247-252.	1.3	55
41	Postoperative Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Gastrectomy Versus Open Gastrectomy During the Early Introduction of Minimally Invasive Gastrectomy in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 831-838.	4.2	55
42	Nationwide comprehensive gastro-intestinal cancer cohorts: the 3P initiative. Acta Oncológica, 2018, 57, 195-202.	1.8	55
43	Robotic-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a European perspective. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 909-919.	5.3	55
44	Robot-assisted Thoracoscopic Resection of a Benign Mediastinal Neurogenic Tumor: Technical Note. Neurosurgery, 2003, 52, 462-464.	1.1	54
45	Preoperative image-guided identification of response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer (PRIDE): a multicenter observational study. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 1006.	2.6	54
46	Worldwide practice in gastric cancer surgery. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016, 22, 4041.	3.3	52
47	Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for treatment response assessment in patients with oesophageal cancer receiving neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2016, 120, 128-135.	0.6	52
48	Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: incidence, management, and impact on short- and long-term outcomes. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S868-S878.	1.4	52
49	Manual robot assisted endoscopic suturing: Time-action analysis in an experimental model. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2004, 18, 1249-1252.	2.4	50
50	Strategies to reduce pulmonary complications after esophagectomy. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2013, 19, 6509.	3.3	49
51	Robot-assisted versus Standard Videoscopic Aortic Replacement. A Comparative Study in Pigs. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, 2004, 27, 501-506.	1.5	47
52	Systematic review of the surgical strategies of adenocarcinomas of the gastroesophageal junction. Surgical Oncology, 2014, 23, 222-228.	1.6	47
53	Internal and External Validation of a multivariable Model to Define Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia After Esophagectomy. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 680-687.	1.7	47
54	Robotic-assisted Esophagectomy vs Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Esophagectomy (REVATE): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2019, 20, 346.	1.6	47

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus minimally invasive esophagectomy for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT-2) Tj ETQq	1 1 0 <b>.2.8</b> 4314	r <b>gB</b> T /Over
56	Analysis of Procedure Time in Robot-Assisted Surgery: Comparative Study in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Computer Aided Surgery, 2003, 8, 24-29.	1.8	46
57	Preoperative Prediction of Pathologic Response to Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Patients With Esophageal Cancer Using 18F-FDC PET/CT and DW-MRI: A Prospective MulticenterAStudy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2020, 106, 998-1009.	0.8	46
58	Factors influencing health-related quality of life after gastrectomy for cancer. Gastric Cancer, 2018, 21, 524-532.	5.3	45
59	lschemic Conditioning of the Stomach in the Prevention of Esophagogastric Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 101, 1614-1623.	1.3	43
60	DW-MRI and DCE-MRI are of complementary value in predicting pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer. Acta Oncológica, 2018, 57, 1201-1208.	1.8	43
61	Hiatal Hernia After Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 103, 1055-1062.	1.3	41
62	Robot-assisted laparoscopic intestinal anastomosis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2003, 17, 236-241.	2.4	40
63	Definition of oligometastatic esophagogastric cancer and impact of local oligometastasis-directed treatment: AÂsystematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 166, 254-269.	2.8	40
64	Electrical stimulation therapy of the lower oesophageal sphincter for refractory gastroâ€oesophageal reflux disease – interim results of an international multicentre trial. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2015, 42, 614-625.	3.7	39
65	Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy Versus Perioperative Chemotherapy for Patients With Resectable Esophageal or Gastroesophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2282-2290.	1.5	39
66	Radiation to the Gastric Fundus Increases theÂRisk of Anastomotic Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 1798-1804.	1.3	39
67	Worldwide Techniques and Outcomes in Robot-assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE). Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, e386-e392.	4.2	38
68	The feeding route after esophagectomy: a review of literature. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S785-S791.	1.4	37
69	The CARDIA-trial protocol: a multinational, prospective, randomized, clinical trial comparing transthoracic esophagectomy with transhiatal extended gastrectomy in adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) type II. BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 781.	2.6	37
70	Routine jejunostomy tube feeding following esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S851-S860.	1.4	36
71	Prognostic gene expression profiling in esophageal cancer: a systematic review. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 5566-5577.	1.8	36
72	Lasting Symptoms After Esophageal Resection (LASER). Annals of Surgery, 2022, 275, e392-e400.	4.2	36

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Staging of adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 400-406.	1.0	35
74	Association Between Waiting Time from Diagnosis to Treatment and Survival in Patients with Curable Gastric Cancer: A Population-Based Study in the Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 1761-1769.	1.5	35
75	Are current wireless monitoring systems capable of detecting adverse events in high-risk surgical patients? A descriptive study. Injury, 2020, 51, S97-S105.	1.7	35
76	Topography and extent of pulmonary vagus nerve supply with respect to transthoracic oesophagectomy. Journal of Anatomy, 2015, 227, 431-439.	1.5	34
77	The periâ€esophageal connective tissue layers and related compartments: visualization by histology and magnetic resonance imaging. Journal of Anatomy, 2017, 230, 262-271.	1.5	34
78	Safety and efficacy of early oral feeding for enhanced recovery following gastrectomy for gastric cancer: A systematic review. Surgical Oncology, 2019, 28, 88-95.	1.6	33
79	End-to-End Cervical Esophagogastric Anastomoses Are Associated with a Higher Number of Strictures Compared with End-to-Side Anastomoses. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2013, 17, 872-876.	1.7	31
80	The Oncological Value of Omentectomy in Gastrectomy for Cancer. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 885-890.	1.7	31
81	Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive gastrectomy during the early introduction in the Netherlands: short-term oncological outcomes comparable to open gastrectomy. Gastric Cancer, 2017, 20, 853-860.	5.3	31
82	Minimally invasive esophagectomy: a propensity score-matched analysis of semiprone versus prone position. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2758-2765.	2.4	31
83	Detection of distant interval metastases after neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal cancer with 18F-FDG PET(/CT): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	31
84	<sup>18</sup> F-Fludeoxyglucose–Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography and Laparoscopy for Staging of Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer. JAMA Surgery, 2021, 156, e215340.	4.3	31
85	Waiting Time from Diagnosis to Treatment has no Impact on Survival in Patients with Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 2679-2689.	1.5	30
86	The evolution of surgical approach for esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 149-155.	3.8	30
87	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) improves perioperative outcomes: a review. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S735-S742.	1.4	30
88	Management of resectable esophageal and gastric (mixed adeno)neuroendocrine carcinoma: A nationwide cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1955-1962.	1.0	29
89	Prophylactic Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) for Gastric Cancer—A Systematic Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 1685.	2.4	29
90	Intraoperative and postoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage and pneumonia after esophagectomy for cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, 1-10.	0.4	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Laparoscopic gastrectomy in Western European patients with advanced gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 110-115.	1.0	28
92	Evaluation of PET and laparoscopy in STagIng advanced gastric cancer: a multicenter prospective study (PLASTIC-study). BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 450.	2.6	28
93	Robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: past, present and future. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2020, 12, 54-62.	1.4	28
94	The Predictive Value of Low Muscle Mass as Measured on CT Scans for Postoperative Complications and Mortality in Gastric Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 199.	2.4	28
95	Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Hiatal Hernia Repair: Promising Anatomical and Functional Results. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 465-469.	1.0	27
96	Management and outcome of cervical versus intrathoracic manifestation of cervical anastomotic leakage after transthoracic esophagectomy for cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	27
97	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Chirurg, 2017, 88, 7-11.	1.8	27
98	Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy versus open esophagectomy: long-term follow-up of a randomized clinical trial. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33,	0.4	27
99	The significance of the HER-2 status in esophageal adenocarcinoma for survival: an immunohistochemical and an in situ hybridization study. Annals of Oncology, 2013, 24, 1290-1297.	1.2	26
100	Optimal timing for prediction of pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy with diffusion-weighted MRI in patients with esophageal cancer. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 1896-1907.	4.5	26
101	Surgical anatomy of the supracarinal esophagus based on a minimally invasive approach: vascular and nervous anatomy and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 1863-1870.	2.4	25
102	Identification of the clinically most relevant postoperative complications after gastrectomy: a population-based cohort study. Gastric Cancer, 2020, 23, 339-348.	5.3	25
103	Preserving the pulmonary vagus nerve branches during thoracoscopic esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3816-3822.	2.4	24
104	The predictive value of new-onset atrial fibrillation on postoperative morbidity after esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	24
105	First experience with THE AUTOLAPâ,,¢ SYSTEM: an image-based robotic camera steering device. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2560-2566.	2.4	24
106	A structured training pathway to implement robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: the learning curve results from a high-volume center. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	24
107	Robot-assisted laparoscopic choledochojejunostomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2003, 17, 1937-1942.	2.4	23
108	Generalized cardiovascular disease on a preoperative CT scan is predictive for anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 587-593.	1.0	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Introduction of minimally invasive surgery for distal and total gastrectomy: a population-based study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 403-409.	1.0	23
110	Pulmonary diffusion capacity predicts major complications after esophagectomy for patients with esophageal cancer. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	23
111	Long-term quality of life after oesophagectomy with gastric conduit interposition for cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 1538-1545.	2.8	22
112	Role of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in clinical T2NOMO esophageal cancer: A population-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 620-625.	1.0	22
113	Extended thoracic lymph node dissection in robotic-assisted minimal invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) for patients with superior mediastinal lymph node metastasis. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 2019, 8, 218-225.	1.7	22
114	Wireless Remote Home Monitoring of Vital Signs in Patients Discharged Early After Esophagectomy: Observational Feasibility Study. JMIR Perioperative Medicine, 2020, 3, e21705.	1.0	22
115	Overall Volume Trends in Esophageal Cancer Surgery Results From the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, 449-458.	4.2	21
116	Prophylactic Laparoscopic Total Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction in Patients Carrying a CDH1 Germline Mutation. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2015, 19, 2120-2125.	1.7	20
117	Robotic Single-Port Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Is Safe but Faces Technical Challenges. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2016, 26, 857-861.	1.0	20
118	A High Lymph Node Yield is Associated with Prolonged Survival in Elderly Patients Undergoing Curative Gastrectomy for Cancer: A Dutch Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2213-2223.	1.5	20
119	Diagnostic performance of a CT-based scoring system for diagnosis of anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy: comparison with subjective CT assessment. European Radiology, 2017, 27, 4426-4434.	4.5	20
120	Prediction and diagnosis of interval metastasis after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer using 18F-FDG PET/CT. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2018, 45, 1742-1751.	6.4	20
121	A phase II feasibility trial of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy combined with atezolizumab for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma: The PERFECT trial Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 4045-4045.	1.6	20
122	New insights into the surgical anatomy of the esophagus. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S675-S680.	1.4	20
123	Early experience in robot-assisted laparoscopic Heller myotomy. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2004, 39, 4-8.	1.5	19
124	Current status of laparoscopic transhiatal esophagectomy for esophageal cancer patients: a systematic review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 30, n/a-n/a.	0.4	19
125	Impact of Weekday of Esophagectomy on Short-term and Long-term Oncological Outcomes. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 266, 76-81.	4.2	19
126	Nutritional aspects of enhanced recovery after esophagectomy with gastric conduit reconstruction. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 623-629.	1.7	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Two-Field Lymphadenectomy During Esophagectomy: The Presence of Thoracic Duct Lymph Nodes. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 435-439.	1.3	19
128	The additive value of restaging-CT during neoadjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 1247-1253.	1.0	19
129	Usability and Preliminary Effectiveness of a Preoperative mHealth App for People Undergoing Major Surgery: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2021, 9, e23402.	3.7	19
130	Outcome of a Step-Up Treatment Strategy for Chyle Leakage After Esophagectomy. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2017, 104, 477-484.	1.3	18
131	Defining pneumonia after esophagectomy for cancer: validation of the Uniform Pneumonia Score in a high volume center in North America. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, .	0.4	18
132	Impact on postoperative complications of changes in skeletal muscle mass during neoadjuvant chemotherapy for gastro-oesophageal cancer. BJS Open, 2020, 4, 847-854.	1.7	18
133	Correlation between functional imaging markers derived from diffusion-weighted MRI and 18F-FDG PET/CT in esophageal cancer. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2018, 39, 60-67.	1.1	17
134	New-onset atrial fibrillation after esophagectomy for cancer. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S831-S834.	1.4	17
135	Technical details of the hand-sewn and circular-stapled anastomosis in robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	16
136	Stageâ€directed individualized therapy in esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2016, 1381, 50-65.	3.8	15
137	The anatomy of the thoracic duct at the level of the diaphragm: A cadaver study. Annals of Anatomy, 2018, 217, 47-53.	1.9	15
138	Tumor volume regression during neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer: a prospective study with weekly MRI. Acta Oncológica, 2020, 59, 753-759.	1.8	15
139	Paravertebral catheter versus EPidural analgesia in Minimally invasive Esophageal resectioN: a randomized controlled multicenter trial (PEPMEN trial). BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 142.	2.6	15
140	Study protocol for the nutritional route in oesophageal resection trial: a single-arm feasibility trial (NUTRIENT trial). BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004557-e004557.	1.9	14
141	Activities of daily living and quality of life during treatment with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and after surgery in patients with esophageal cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 114, 684-690.	1.7	14
142	Perioperative Treatment, Not Surgical Approach, Influences Overall Survival in Patients with Gastroesophageal Junction Tumors: A Nationwide, Population-Based Study in The Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 1632-1638.	1.5	14
143	Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2812-2825.	1.5	14
144	Safety, Efficacy, and Long-Term Follow-Up Evaluation of Perioperative Epirubicin, Cisplatin, and Capecitabine Chemotherapy in Esophageal Resection for Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1555-1563.	1.5	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	A Step-Wise Approach to Total Laparoscopic Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction: How and Why We Do It. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 1908-1915.	1.7	13
146	Weekday of gastrectomy for cancer in relation to mortality and oncological outcomes – A Dutch population-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1862-1868.	1.0	13
147	Validation of a Nomogram Predicting Survival After Trimodality Therapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2018, 106, 1541-1547.	1.3	13
148	Surgical robotics for esophageal cancer. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 21-26.	3.8	13
149	Epidural analgesia after minimally invasive esophagectomy: efficacy and complication profile. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	13
150	Reducing pulmonary complications after esophagectomy for cancer. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S794-S798.	1.4	13
151	Resection of hepatic and pulmonary metastasis from metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer: a nationwide study. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	13
152	Decreasing resection rates for nonmetastatic gastric cancer in Europe and the United States. Clinical and Translational Medicine, 2020, 10, e203.	4.0	13
153	Five-year results of inguinal hernia treatment with the Prolene Hernia System in a regional training hospital. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2010, 14, 155-158.	2.0	12
154	Validation of ergonomic instructions in robot-assisted surgery simulator training. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2533-2540.	2.4	12
155	Supervised exercise after oesophageal cancer surgery: the PERFECT multicentre randomized clinical trial. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 786-796.	0.3	12
156	Worldwide Practice in Gastric Cancer Surgery: A 6-Year Update. Digestive Surgery, 2021, 38, 266-274.	1.2	12
157	Current treatment options for esophageal diseases. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2016, 1381, 139-151.	3.8	11
158	Sentinel node biopsy during thoracolaparoscopic esophagectomy for advanced esophageal cancer. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 14, 117.	1.9	11
159	Surgical anatomy of the omental bursa and the stomach based on a minimally invasive approach: different approaches and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S809-S816.	1.4	11
160	Radiation dose and pathological response in oesophageal cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery: a multi-institutional analysis. Acta Oncológica, 2019, 58, 1358-1365.	1.8	11
161	Epidural Analgesia for Severe Chest Trauma: An Analysis of Current Practice on the Efficacy and Safety. Critical Care Research and Practice, 2019, 2019, 1-7.	1.1	11
162	3-Dimensional target coverage assessment for MRI guided esophageal cancer radiotherapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2020, 147, 1-7.	0.6	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Feasibility of sentinel node navigated surgery in high-risk T1b esophageal adenocarcinoma patients using a hybrid tracer of technetium-99Âm and indocyanine green. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 2671-2679.	2.4	11
164	Salvage Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE) for T4b Esophageal Cancer After Definitive Chemoradiotherapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2730-2738.	1.5	11
165	Technical Feasibility of TachoSil Application on Esophageal Anastomoses. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2015, 2015, 1-6.	1.5	10
166	Impact of diagnosis-to-treatment waiting time on survival in esophageal cancer patients – A population-based study in The Netherlands. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 461-470.	1.0	10
167	The effect of perioperative chemotherapy for patients with an adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction: A propensity score matched analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 226-233.	1.0	10
168	Evaluation of the Implementation of FDG-PET/CT and Staging Laparoscopy for Gastric Cancer in The Netherlands. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2384-2393.	1.5	10
169	Inguinal hernia treatment with the Prolene Hernia System in a Dutch regional training hospital. Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery, 2007, 11, 303-306.	2.0	9
170	Esophageal and Gastric Cancer Pearl: a nationwide clinical biobanking project in the Netherlands. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2016, 29, 435-441.	0.4	9
171	Intermittent pneumatic compression in combination with lowâ€molecular weight heparin in the prevention of venous thromboembolic events in esophageal cancer surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 115, 181-185.	1.7	9
172	Timing of postoperative chemotherapy in patients undergoing perioperative chemotherapy and gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Surgical Oncology, 2018, 27, 421-427.	1.6	9
173	Feeding protocol deviation after esophagectomy: A retrospective multicenter study. Clinical Nutrition, 2020, 39, 1258-1263.	5.0	9
174	Feasibility of extended chemoradiotherapy plus surgery for patients with cT4b esophageal carcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 626-631.	1.0	9
175	The potential and challenges of patient-derived organoids in guiding the multimodality treatment of upper gastrointestinal malignancies. Open Biology, 2020, 10, 190274.	3.6	9
176	Prognostic value of patient-reported quality of life for survival in oesophagogastric cancer: analysis from the population-based POCOP study. Gastric Cancer, 2021, 24, 1203-1212.	5.3	9
177	Postoperative intensive care unit stay after minimally invasive esophagectomy shows large hospital variation. Results from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1961-1968.	1.0	9
178	Continuing expansion of internal iliac artery aneurysms after surgical exclusion of the inflow. A report of two cases. Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery, 2001, 42, 389-92.	0.6	9
179	Haemodynamics in a patient with Fontan physiology undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Netherlands Heart Journal, 2015, 23, 383-385.	0.8	8
180	Patient perspectives on repeated MRI and PET/CT examinations during neoadjuvant treatment of oesophageal cancer. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20170710.	2.2	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Technique of open and minimally invasive intrathoracic reconstruction following esophagectomy—an expert consensus based on a modified Delphi process. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	8
182	Expectations of Continuous Vital Signs Monitoring for Recognizing Complications After Esophagectomy: Interview Study Among Nurses and Surgeons. JMIR Perioperative Medicine, 2021, 4, e22387.	1.0	8
183	The presence of metastatic thoracic duct lymph nodes in Western esophageal cancer patients. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2021, , .	1.3	8
184	Impact of curative treatment on the physical fitness of patients with esophageal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 391-402.	1.0	8
185	Impact of nationwide centralization of oesophageal, gastric, and pancreatic surgery on travel distance and experienced burden in the Netherlands. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 348-355.	1.0	8
186	Postoperative complications and weight loss following jejunostomy tube feeding after total gastrectomy for advanced adenocarcinomas. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2017, 29, 333-340.	2.2	8
187	Low-Fat Tube Feeding After Esophagectomy Is Associated With a Lower Incidence of Chylothorax. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2019, 108, 184-189.	1.3	7
188	Largely varying patterns and trends of primary cancer-directed resection for gastric carcinoma with synchronous distant metastasis in Europe and the US: a population-based study calling for further standardization of care. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology, 2021, 13, 175883592110278.	3.2	7
189	State of the art in esophagectomy: robotic assistance in the abdominal phase. Updates in Surgery, 2021, 73, 823-830.	2.0	7
190	Metastasectomy or Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy With or Without Systemic Therapy for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4848-4857.	1.5	7
191	Body Composition Is a Predictor for Postoperative Complications After Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer: a Prospective Side Study of the LOGICA Trial. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2022, 26, 1373-1387.	1.7	7
192	Innovative techniques in evaluating the esophagus; imaging of esophageal morphology and function; and drugs for esophageal disease. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2013, 1300, 11-28.	3.8	6
193	The role of biological markers of epithelial to mesenchymal transition in oesophageal adenocarcinoma, an immunohistochemical study. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2015, 68, 529-535.	2.0	6
194	Chyluria and chylothorax after posterior selective fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. European Spine Journal, 2018, 27, 2088-2092.	2.2	6
195	Minimally Invasive Resection of Large Gastric Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. Digestive Surgery, 2020, 37, 441-446.	1.2	6
196	A standardized approach for the thoracic dissection in robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE). Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	6
197	Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy: AÂConsensus Statement. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 110, 1417-1426.	1.3	6
198	Severe lymphopenia acquired during chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer: Incidence and external validation of a prediction model. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 163, 192-198.	0.6	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Cervical ultrasonography has no additional value over negative 18F-FDG PET/CT scans for diagnosing cervical lymph node metastases in patients with oesophageal cancer. European Radiology, 2018, 28, 2031-2037.	4.5	5
200	European validation of the Yonsei Gastric Cancer Prognosis Prediction Model after gastrectomy: Validation with the Netherlands Cancer Registry. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 983-988.	1.0	5
201	ypT0N+ status in oesophageal cancer patients: Location of residualÂmetastatic lymph nodes with regard to the neoadjuvant radiation field. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 454-459.	1.0	5
202	Do esophageal cancer survivors work after esophagectomy and do health problems impact their work? A cross-sectional study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2020, 14, 253-260.	2.9	5
203	Robot-assisted cervical esophagectomy: first clinical experiences and review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	5
204	CTV-to-PTV margin assessment for esophageal cancer radiotherapy based on an accumulated dose analysis. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 161, 16-22.	0.6	5
205	The ISCON-trial protocol: laparoscopic ischemic conditioning prior to esophagectomy in patients with esophageal cancer and arterial calcifications. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, 144.	2.6	5
206	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Stage I and II Esophageal Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 287-288.	1.6	4
207	Limited additional value of cervical ultrasonography over a negative 18F-FDG PET/CT for diagnosing cervical lymph node metastases in patients with esophageal cancer. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2018, 39, 645-651.	1.1	4
208	Frequency of surgical resection after starting neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with esophageal cancer: AÂpopulation-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1919-1925.	1.0	4
209	Metastatic incidence of (PET)CT positive lung hilar and retroperitoneal lymph nodes in esophageal cancer patients. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 33, 170-176.	1.6	4
210	Minimally Invasive Oncologic Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery can be Performed Safely on all Weekdays: A Nationwide Cohort Study. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 2816-2829.	1.6	4
211	Leaving a Mobilized Thoracic Esophagus In Situ When Incurable Cancer Is Discovered Intraoperatively. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2015, 99, 490-494.	1.3	3
212	Role of adjuvant chemoradiotherapy after endoscopic treatment of early-stage esophageal cancer: a systematic review. Minerva Surgery, 2018, 73, 428-436.	0.6	3
213	A pilot study of a novel molecular host response assay to diagnose infection in patients after high-risk gastro-intestinal surgery. Journal of Critical Care, 2019, 54, 83-87.	2.2	3
214	Routine chest X-rays after the removal of chest tubes are not necessary following esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, S799-S804.	1.4	3
215	Comment on: "Early Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Versus Thoracoscopic-Assisted Ivor Lewis Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer: A Propensity Score-Matched Study― Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 1178-1181.	1.5	3
216	Restaging after chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced esophageal cancer. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S288-S288.	1.7	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
217	Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive surgical interventions for esophageal and gastric cancer in the acute setting: a nationwide cohort study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 1219-1229.	2.4	3
218	Decrease of physical fitness during neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy predicts the risk of pneumonia after esophagectomy. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	3
219	Prognosis of Interval Distant Metastases After Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2022, 113, 482-490.	1.3	3
220	A population-based study on treatment and outcomes in patients with gastric adenocarcinoma diagnosed with distant interval metastases. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1964-1971.	1.0	3
221	Comment on: Hölscher AH, Bollschweiler E, Bogoevski D, Schmidt H, Semrau R, Izbicki JR. Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer – A propensity score matched analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2014;50(17):2950–7. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 2095-2096.	2.8	2
222	Formal assessment of the learning curve for minimally invasive methods is vital in retrospective cohort studies. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 222, 95-96.	1.3	2
223	Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE): tips and tricks from the bedside assistant view—expert experiences. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2020, 33, .	0.4	2
224	Non-curative gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer does not result in additional risk of postoperative morbidity compared to curative gastrectomy. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 35, 126-131.	1.6	2
225	A Phase II Study Demonstrates No Feasibility of Adjuvant Treatment with Six Cycles of S-1 and Oxaliplatin in Resectable Esophageal Adenocarcinoma, with ERCC1 as Biomarker for Response to SOX. Cancers, 2021, 13, 839.	3.7	2
226	Minimally invasive oesophagectomy in the prone versus lateral decubitus position: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.4	2
227	Robot-assisted laparoscopic debulking surgery for recurrent adult granulosa cell tumors. Gynecologic Oncology Reports, 2021, 37, 100783.	0.6	2
228	An in-silico assessment of the dosimetric benefits of MR-guided radiotherapy for esophageal cancer patients. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 162, 76-84.	0.6	2
229	A population-based study in synchronous <i>versus</i> metachronous metastatic esophagogastric adenocarcinoma. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology, 2022, 14, 175883592210855.	3.2	2
230	The impact of performing gastric cancer surgery during holiday periods. A population-based study using Dutch upper gastrointestinal cancer audit (DUCA) data. Current Problems in Cancer, 2022, 46, 100850.	2.0	2
231	An Editorial on Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 4676-4678.	1.5	2
232	Targeted next-generation sequencing of commonly mutated genes in esophageal adenocarcinoma patients with long-term survival. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2017, 30, 1-8.	0.4	1
233	PS01.202: MANAGEMENT OF RESECTABLE ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC (MIXED ADENO)NEUROENDOCRINE CARCINOMA: A NATIONWIDE COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 107-107.	0.4	1
234	FA04.06: RESECTION OF HEPATIC AND PULMONARY METASTASIS FROM ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC CANCER: A NATIONWIDE STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 9-9.	0.4	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
235	O100 WORLDWIDE TECHNIQUES AND OUTCOMES OF ROBOT-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY (RAMIE): RESULTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL UGIRA REGISTRY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	1
236	Refraining from resection in patients with potentially curable gastric carcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 1062-1068.	1.0	1
237	Recent advances in defining and benchmarking complications after esophagectomy. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, E243-E246.	1.4	1
238	Randomized clinical trial on the effect of a supervised exercise program on quality of life, fatigue, and fitness following esophageal cancer treatment (PERFECT study) Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 12055-12055.	1.6	1
239	Intestinal and tumor microbiome analysis combined with metabolomics of the anti-PD-L1 phase II PERFECT trial for resectable esophageal adenocarcinoma Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 4556-4556.	1.6	1
240	The Value of Paratracheal Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus or Gastroesophageal Junction: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	1
241	A cervical swelling after esophagectomy. Surgery, 2016, 159, 1229-1230.	1.9	0
242	Massive esophageal hemorrhage. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018, 87, 1152-1153.	1.0	0
243	FA08.01: MORTALITY AND REFRAINMENT FROM ESOPHAGECTOMY IN ESOPHAGEAL CANCER PATIENTS THAT STARTED NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY: A POPULATION-BASED COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 15-15.	0.4	0
244	PS01.123: EPIDURAL ANALGESIA AFTER MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY: EFFICACY AND COMPLICATION PROFILE. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 84-85.	0.4	0
245	PS02.082: OPTIMAL TIMING FOR ASSESSMENT OF TUMOR RESPONSE TO NCRT WITH MRI IN PATIENTS WITH ESOPHAGEAL CANCER. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 143-144.	0.4	0
246	PS01.192: ROUTINE CHEST X-RAY AFTER REMOVAL OF CHEST TUBES IS NOT NECESSARY DURING THE POSTOPERATIVE COURSE OF ESOPHAGECTOMY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 104-104.	0.4	0
247	P103 A HAND-SEWN INTRATHORACIC ANASTOMOSIS IN ROBOT-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY (RAMIE): A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TECHNIQUE AND OUTCOMES. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
248	P101 THE IMPACT OF PARATRACHEAL LYMPHADENECTOMY ON LYMPH NODE YIELD AND SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES IN ESOPHAGECTOMY: A NATIONAL PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED ANALYSIS. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
249	O122 INTERVAL DISTANT METASTASES DURING OR AFTER NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR ESOPHAGEAL OR GASTROESOPHAGEAL JUNCTION CANCER: A NATION-WIDE POPULATION-BASED COHORT STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
250	O114 TUMOR VOLUME REGRESSION DURING NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY WITH WEEKLY MRI. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
251	Surgical management of a perforated â€~black oesophagus'. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, 91, E539-E541.	0.7	0
252	771 IMPACT OF NATIONWIDE CENTRALIZATION OF ESOPHAGEAL, GASTRIC, AND PANCREATIC SURGERY ON TRAVEL DISTANCE AND EXPERIENCED BURDEN IN THE NETHERLANDS. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	ASO Visual Abstract: The Value of Paratracheal Lymphadenectomy in Esophagectomy for Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus or Gastroesophageal Junction: a Systematic Review of the Literature. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
254	ASO Author Reflections: Preoperative Selection of cT4b Esophageal Cancer Patients Who Benefit From a Salvage Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy (RAMIE). Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2739-2740.	1.5	0
255	ASO Author Reflections: Modern-Day Implementation of Robotic Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
256	ASO Visual Abstract: Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 2828.	1.5	0
257	ASO Visual Abstract: Metastasectomy or Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy With or Without Systemic Therapy for Oligometastatic Esophagogastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , 1.	1.5	0
258	P-OGC87 Robotic Techniques in Esophagogastric Cancer Surgery: An Assessment of Short- and Long-term Clinical Outcomes. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, .	0.3	0