

Alicia

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9572053/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

18
papers

311
citations

932766

10
h-index

887659

17
g-index

18
all docs

18
docs citations

18
times ranked

268
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Estimating willingness-to-pay for neonicotinoid-free plants: Incorporating pro-environmental behavior in hypothetical and non-hypothetical experiments. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0251798.	1.1	1
2	Perceived subjective versus objective knowledge: Consumer valuation of genetically modified certification on food producing plants. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0255406.	1.1	8
3	Effects of pollinator related information on consumer preference for neonicotinoid labeling. <i>International Food and Agribusiness Management Review</i> , 2021, 24, 971-991.	0.8	2
4	Effects of Inattention and Repeat Purchases: A Choice-Based Conjoint Study of Consumer Preferences for Farmstead Milk Attributes. <i>Journal of Food Products Marketing</i> , 2021, 27, 399-416.	1.4	2
5	Landscape Aesthetics and Maintenance Perceptions: Assessing the Relationship between Homeowners' Visual Attention and Landscape Care Knowledge. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2020, 95, 104645.	2.5	28
6	Can the updated nutrition facts label decrease sugar-sweetened beverage consumption?. <i>Economics and Human Biology</i> , 2020, 37, 100867.	0.7	11
7	Investigating Consumer Preferences for Production Process Labeling Using Visual Attention Data. <i>Behavioral Sciences (Basel, Switzerland)</i> , 2019, 9, 71.	1.0	4
8	Text vs. logo: Does eco-label format influence consumers' visual attention and willingness-to-pay for fruit plants? An experimental auction approach. <i>Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics</i> , 2019, 82, 101452.	0.5	42
9	Defining U.S. consumers' (mis)perceptions of pollinator friendly labels: an exploratory study. <i>International Food and Agribusiness Management Review</i> , 2018, 21, 365-378.	0.8	5
10	Visual attention, buying impulsiveness, and consumer behavior. <i>Marketing Letters</i> , 2018, 29, 23-35.	1.9	44
11	How do consumer perceptions of 'local' production benefits influence their visual attention to state marketing programs?. <i>Agribusiness</i> , 2018, 34, 390-406.	1.9	13
12	Assessing Purchase Patterns of Price Conscious Consumers. <i>Horticulturae</i> , 2018, 4, 13.	1.2	14
13	Pollinator-friendly Plants: Reasons for and Barriers to Purchase. <i>HortTechnology</i> , 2017, 27, 831-839.	0.5	24
14	Influence of product type and individuals' perceptions on the geographic boundary for local products. <i>International Food and Agribusiness Management Review</i> , 2017, 20, 401-414.	0.8	2
15	Relating Knowledge and Perceptions of Sustainable Water Management to Preferences for Smart Irrigation Technology. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2017, 9, 607.	1.6	10
16	Visual Attention to Eco-Labels Predicts Consumer Preferences for Pollinator Friendly Plants. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2017, 9, 1743.	1.6	30
17	Consumer preferences for organic production methods and origin promotions on ornamental plants: evidence from eye-tracking experiments. <i>Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2016, 47, 599-608.	2.0	49
18	Does Consumer Awareness of Neonicotinoid Insecticides Influence Their Preferences for Plants?. <i>Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Horticultural Science</i> , 2016, 51, 388-393.	0.5	22