Alicia

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

18	311	932766	887659
papers	citations	10	17
		h-index	g-index

18	18	18	268
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Consumer preferences for organic production methods and origin promotions on ornamental plants: evidence from eye-tracking experiments. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 2016, 47, 599-608.	2.0	49
2	Visual attention, buying impulsiveness, and consumer behavior. Marketing Letters, 2018, 29, 23-35.	1.9	44
3	Text vs. logo: Does eco-label format influence consumersâ€™ visual attention and willingness-to-pay for fruit plants? An experimental auction approach. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2019, 82, 101452.	0.5	42
4	Visual Attention to Eco-Labels Predicts Consumer Preferences for Pollinator Friendly Plants. Sustainability, 2017, 9, 1743.	1.6	30
5	Landscape Aesthetics and Maintenance Perceptions: Assessing the Relationship between Homeownersâ€™ Visual Attention and Landscape Care Knowledge. Land Use Policy, 2020, 95, 104645.	2.5	28
6	Pollinator-friendly Plants: Reasons for and Barriers to Purchase. HortTechnology, 2017, 27, 831-839.	0.5	24
7	Does Consumer Awareness of Neonicotinoid Insecticides Influence Their Preferences for Plants?. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2016, 51, 388-393.	0.5	22
8	Assessing Purchase Patterns of Price Conscious Consumers. Horticulturae, 2018, 4, 13.	1.2	14
9	How do consumer perceptions of â€œlocalâ€•production benefits influence their visual attention to state marketing programs?. Agribusiness, 2018, 34, 390-406.	1.9	13
10	Can the updated nutrition facts label decrease sugar-sweetened beverage consumption?. Economics and Human Biology, 2020, 37, 100867.	0.7	11
11	Relating Knowledge and Perceptions of Sustainable Water Management to Preferences for Smart Irrigation Technology. Sustainability, 2017, 9, 607.	1.6	10
12	Perceived subjective versus objective knowledge: Consumer valuation of genetically modified certification on food producing plants. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0255406.	1.1	8
13	Defining U.S. consumersâ€™ (mis)perceptions of pollinator friendly labels: an exploratory study. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2018, 21, 365-378.	0.8	5
14	Investigating Consumer Preferences for Production Process Labeling Using Visual Attention Data. Behavioral Sciences (Basel, Switzerland), 2019, 9, 71.	1.0	4
15	Influence of product type and individualsâ€™ perceptions on the geographic boundary for local products. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2017, 20, 401-414.	0.8	2
16	Effects of pollinator related information on consumer preference for neonicotinoid labeling. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2021, 24, 971-991.	0.8	2
17	Effects of Inattention and Repeat Purchases: A Choice-Based Conjoint Study of Consumer Preferences for Farmstead Milk Attributes. Journal of Food Products Marketing, 2021, 27, 399-416.	1.4	2
18	Estimating willingness-to-pay for neonicotinoid-free plants: Incorporating pro-environmental behavior in hypothetical and non-hypothetical experiments. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0251798.	1.1	1