

FÃ©lix Almendra-Arao

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9555497/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

19
papers

32
citations

2258059

3
h-index

2053705

5
g-index

21
all docs

21
docs citations

21
times ranked

6
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Barnard Convex Sets. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 2011, 40, 2574-2582.	1.0	8
2	Some Properties of Non Inferiority Tests for Two Independent Probabilities. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 2012, 41, 1636-1646.	1.0	3
3	Efficient calculation of test sizes for non-inferiority. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 2012, 56, 4138-4145.	1.2	3
4	On the Importance in Clinical Trials That Critical Regions for Comparing 2 Independent Proportions Must Be Barnard Convex Sets. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 2014, 48, 208-212.	1.6	3
5	Extending the Barnard's test to non-inferiority. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 2017, 46, 6293-6302.	1.0	3
6	A New Noninferiority Test for Independent Dichotomous Variables Based on a Shrinkage Proportion Estimator. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2015, 25, 157-169.	0.8	1
7	A COMPARISON OF CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR A PROPORTION AND CRITERIA FOR THEIR APPLICATION. Advances and Applications in Statistics, 2019, 58, 35-43.	0.1	1
8	Continuity Correction for the Laster-Johnson-Kotler Noninferiority Asymptotic Statistical Test for 2 Independent Proportions. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 2013, 47, 65-69.	1.6	0
9	A New Method for the Comparison of Powers of Noninferiority Exact Tests for the Difference of Proportions. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 2014, 48, 592-600.	1.6	0
10	Constructing tests to compare two proportions whose critical regions guarantee to be Barnard convex sets. Statistical Methodology, 2016, 33, 160-171.	0.5	0
11	Comment on: Ripamonti E, Lloyd CJ. Tests for noninferiority trials with binomial endpoints: A guide to modern and quasi-exact methods for biomedical researchers. Pharm Stat 2019;18:377-387. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pst.1929 . Pharmaceutical Statistics, 2020, 19, 350-352.	1.3	0
12	JP Journal of Biostatistics. JP Journal of Biostatistics, 2021, 18, 305-314.	0.0	0
13	ADJUSTING NOMINAL SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS AND TEST SIZES WHEN USING AN ASYMPTOTIC NON-INFERIORITY TEST. Advances and Applications in Statistics, 2016, 49, 67-86.	0.1	0
14	CONSTRUCTING CONVENIENT BOUNDARY FUNCTIONS FOR ANTI-INFECTIVE TRIALS. JP Journal of Biostatistics, 2016, 13, 135-154.	0.0	0
15	ASSESSING TEST SIZES FOR THE FARRINGTON-MANNING TEST. Advances and Applications in Statistics, 2017, 51, 351-366.	0.1	0
16	NON-INFERIORITY TESTS FOR COMPARING TWO INDEPENDENT PROPORTIONS: ANALYZING A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE. JP Journal of Biostatistics, 2019, 16, 101-108.	0.0	0
17	BEHAVIOR OF THE WALD'S TEST FOR A PROPORTION BASED ON A SHRINKAGE ESTIMATOR. Advances and Applications in Statistics, 2020, 63, 207-218.	0.1	0
18	Test size calculation by comparing three binomial proportions. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 0, , 1-12.	1.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	USING ADJUSTED WALD CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR A BINOMIAL PROPORTION. JP Journal of Biostatistics, 2020, 17, 415-422.	0.0	0