
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9553093/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The current state of animal models in research: A review. International Journal of Surgery, 2019, 72, 9-13.	1.1	180
2	Association of Radial Artery Graft vs Saphenous Vein Graft With Long-term Cardiovascular Outcomes Among Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2020, 324, 179.	3.8	118
3	Long-Term Results of the RAPCO Trials. Circulation, 2020, 142, 1330-1338.	1.6	79
4	Overall and Cause-Specific Mortality in Randomized Clinical Trials Comparing Percutaneous Interventions With Coronary Bypass Surgery. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2020, 180, 1638.	2.6	72
5	Response of Cardiac Surgery Units to COVID-19. Circulation, 2020, 142, 300-302.	1.6	72
6	Sex differences in outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. European Heart Journal, 2021, 43, 18-28.	1.0	59
7	AngioVac for extraction of venous thromboses and endocardial vegetations: A metaâ€analysis. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2019, 34, 170-180.	0.3	54
8	Posterior left pericardiotomy for the prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: an adaptive, single-centre, single-blind, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2021, 398, 2075-2083.	6.3	51
9	Characteristics of Randomized Clinical Trials in Surgery From 2008 to 2020. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2114494.	2.8	42
10	Cerebral protection strategies in aortic arch surgery: A network meta-analysis. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2020, 159, 18-31.	0.4	41
11	Spinal cord injury after open and endovascular repair of descending thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms: A meta-analysis. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2022, 163, 552-564.	0.4	38
12	Differences in Long-term Outcomes After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting Using Single vs Multiple Arterial Grafts and the Association With Sex. JAMA Cardiology, 2021, 6, 401.	3.0	35
13	Characteristics of Contemporary Randomized Clinical Trials and Their Association With the Trial Funding Source in Invasive Cardiovascular Interventions. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2020, 180, 993.	2.6	34
14	Angiographic Patency of Coronary Artery Bypass Conduits: A Network Metaâ€Analysis of Randomized Trials. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2021, 10, e019206.	1.6	33
15	Systematic Evaluation of the Robustness of the Evidence Supporting Current Guidelines on Myocardial Revascularization Using the Fragility Index. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2019, 12, e006017.	0.9	24
16	Committee Recommendations for Resuming Cardiac Surgery Activity in the SARS-CoV-2 Era: Guidance From an International Cardiac Surgery Consortium. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 110, 725-732.	0.7	21
17	Open Repair of Descending Thoracic and Thoracoabdominal Aortic Aneurysms: AÂMeta-Analysis. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 110, 1941-1949.	0.7	21
18	Treatment strategies in ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction: a network meta-analysis. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery, 2021, 59, 293-301.	0.6	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Sex differences in outcomes following coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis. Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, 2021, 33, 841-847.	0.5	19
20	Systematic preoperative CT scan is associated with reduced risk of stroke in minimally invasive mitral valve surgery: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 278, 300-306.	0.8	17
21	Impact of left ventricular ejection fraction on the outcomes of open repair of descending thoracic and thoracoabdominal aneurysms. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2021, 161, 534-541.e5.	0.4	14
22	Intraoperative graft flow profiles in coronary artery bypass surgery: A metaâ€analysis. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2020, 35, 279-285.	0.3	13
23	An assessment of the quality of current clinical meta-analyses. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2020, 20, 105.	1.4	13
24	Effects of Experimental Interventions to Improve the Biomedical Peerâ€Review Process: A Systematic Review and Metaâ€Analysis. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2021, 10, e019903.	1.6	12
25	Disagreement Between Randomized and Observational Evidence on the Use of Bilateral Internal Thoracic Artery Grafting: A Metaâ€Analytic Approach. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2019, 8, e014638.	1.6	10
26	Analysis of Physician Use of Social Media. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2118213.	2.8	10
27	Alternate accesses for transcatheter aortic valve replacement: A network metaâ€analysis. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2021, 36, 4308-4319.	0.3	9
28	Cardiac Surgery Outcomes in an Epicenter of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2022, 34, 182-188.	0.4	9
29	Gender differences in the authorship of contemporary anaesthesia literature: a cross-sectional study. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 2021, 126, e162-e164.	1.5	8
30	Diagnostic dilemma of perioperative myocardial infarction after coronary artery bypass grafting: A review. International Journal of Surgery, 2020, 79, 76-83.	1.1	8
31	The RADial artery International ALliance (RADIAL) extended follow-up study: rationale and study protocol. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery, 2019, 56, 1025-1030.	0.6	7
32	Characteristics and anatomic distribution of early vs late stroke after cardiac surgery. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2019, 34, 684-689.	0.3	6
33	Operator Volume to Outcome Relationship in Mitral and Aortic Valve Replacement. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2019, 74, 2821-2822.	1.2	6
34	Comparison of SYNTAX score strata effects of percutaneous and surgical revascularization trials: A meta-analysis. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2023, 165, 1405-1413.e13.	0.4	6
35	Commentary: Do not kill (especially for nothing). Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2019, 158, 1557-1558.	0.4	5
36	Quality metrics in coronary artery bypass grafting. International Journal of Surgery, 2019, 65, 7-12.	1.1	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Sexâ€related differences in outcomes after coronary artery bypass surgery—A patientâ€level pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials: rationale and study protocol. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2020, 35, 2754-2758.	0.3	4
38	Comparison of the effects of hemodialysis and hemodiafiltration on left ventricular hypertrophy in endâ€stage renal disease patients: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Seminars in Dialysis, 2020, 33, 120-126.	0.7	3
39	Systematic Assessment of Online Health Information for Coronary Revascularization. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1003-1006.	2.6	3
40	Variable definitions and treatment approaches for atrial functional mitral regurgitation: A scoping review of the literature. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2022, 37, 1182-1191.	0.3	3
41	Mitral valve restenosis after closed mitral commissurotomy: case discussion. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2019, 11, 3659-3671.	0.6	2
42	The Fragility Index and Trial Significance—Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2020, 180, 1554.	2.6	2
43	Robustness of the Comparative Observational Evidence Supporting Class I and II Cardiac Surgery Procedures. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2020, 9, e016964.	1.6	2
44	Tricuspid Stenosis in Pregnancy. JACC: Case Reports, 2020, 2, 2141-2145.	0.3	2
45	Effect of Concomitant Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting on Outcomes of Ascending Aorta Replacement. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 110, 2041-2046.	0.7	2
46	The impact of trainees' working hour regulations on outcome in CABG and valve surgery in the State of New York. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2021, 36, 4582-4590.	0.3	2
47	Blood Management in High-risk Surgery. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2022, 327, 578.	3.8	2
48	Commentary on: Endoscopic†vein†harvesting for coronary artery bypass grafting in the UK: what we believe and what we do. A Commentary on the article "Use of endoscopic vein harvesting (EVH) during coronary artery bypass grafting in United Kingdom: The EVH surveyâ€, Int J Surg 2019;69:146-151. International Journal of Surgery, 2019, 70, 103.	1.1	1
49	An Invited Commentary on "Does saphenous vein graft failure even matter? Commentary on: Mid-term and long-term outcomes of endoscopic versus open vein harvesting for coronary artery bypass: A systematic review and meta-analysis―(Int J Surg 2019;72:167–173). International Journal of Surgery, 2020. 74, 25-26.	1.1	1
50	Publication of cardiac surgery research papers in top cardiovascular journals. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2020, 35, 2734-2736.	0.3	1
51	Characteristics, results, and reporting of contemporary surgical trials: A systematic review and analysis. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2020, 21, 1-4.	0.5	1
52	Reply: Fact or fiction: The benefit of aortic root enlargement during aortic valve replacement. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2021, 161, e159.	0.4	1
53	Financial Associations Between Authors of Commentaries on Randomized Clinical Trials of Invasive Cardiovascular Interventions and Trial Sponsors. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1662.	2.6	1
54	Peripheral access size evaluation in transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2022, 37, 801-807.	0.3	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reply: Perfusion: Is higher better?. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2019, 158, e166-e167.	0.4	Ο
56	Commentary: Lesson one of medical school: Observe the patient before deciding the treatment. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2020, 160, 920-921.	0.4	0
57	Alternative access: jack of all trades or master of one?. Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 2020, 9, 496-498.	0.6	ο
58	Reply from authors: Are we really reducing, refining, and replacing?. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2020, 160, e36-e37.	0.4	0
59	Commentary: The evolution of coronary artery bypass surgery: Toward a better operation. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2020, 162, 1122-1124.	0.4	Ο
60	Decision analysis and personalized clinical tool for cerebrospinal fluid drains in thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms repair. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2021, 36, 171-175.	0.3	0
61	Drug-Eluting vs Bare-Metal Stents for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention—Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2021, 181, 1013.	2.6	Ο
62	Commentary: Type A aortic dissection with malperfusion syndrome—Staying true to true lumen perfusion. JTCVS Techniques, 2021, 10, 6-7.	0.2	0
63	Left Internal Mammary Artery Dissection and Bleeding: A Matter of Trial Design, Not Technique. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2021, 112, 801-802.	0.7	Ο
64	Commentary: Antegrade intravascular ultrasound in acute type A aortic dissection—a new frontier or old news?. JTCVS Techniques, 2021, 10, 188-189.	0.2	0
65	Commentary: Management of acute type A aortic dissection with patent false lumen: A rivalry between surgical data and philosophy. JTCVS Techniques, 2021, 9, 13-14.	0.2	Ο
66	Commentary: Building a successful robotic mitral surgery program—one size does not fit all. Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2022, 164, 1089-1090.	0.4	0
67	Emergent Repair of Acute Type A Aortic Dissection From Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2022, 38, 404-406.	0.8	0