## Martin S Banks

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9533642/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

89 papers 12,952 citations

51 h-index 86 g-index

90 all docs 90 docs citations

90 times ranked 6442 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion. Nature, 2002, 415, 429-433.	27.8	3,906
2	Vergence–accommodation conflicts hinder visual performance and cause visual fatigue. Journal of Vision, 2008, 8, 33.	0.3	1,201
3	The zone of comfort: Predicting visual discomfort with stereo displays. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 11-11.	0.3	472
4	Infant pattern vision: A new approach based on the contrast sensitivity function. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 1981, 31, 1-45.	1.4	349
5	The perception of heading during eye movements. Nature, 1992, 360, 583-585.	27.8	315
6	A stereo display prototype with multiple focal distances. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 2004, 23, 804-813.	7.2	257
7	Focus cues affect perceived depth. Journal of Vision, 2005, 5, 7.	0.3	250
8	Estimating heading during eye movements. Vision Research, 1994, 34, 3197-3214.	1.4	241
9	High-speed switchable lens enables the development of a volumetric stereoscopic display. Optics Express, 2009, 17, 15716.	3.4	233
10	A Bayesian model of the disambiguation of gravitoinertial force by visual cues. Experimental Brain Research, 2007, 179, 263-290.	1.5	214
11	Touch can change visual slant perception. Nature Neuroscience, 2000, 3, 69-73.	14.8	211
12	Depth of focus, eye size and visual acuity. Vision Research, 1980, 20, 827-835.	1.4	203
13	Auditory dominance over vision in the perception of interval duration. Experimental Brain Research, 2009, 198, 49-57.	1.5	202
14	Horizontal and vertical disparity, eye position, and stereoscopic slant perception. Vision Research, 1999, 39, 1143-1170.	1.4	200
15	The physical limits of grating visibility. Vision Research, 1987, 27, 1915-1924.	1.4	188
16	Perceiving heading with different retinal regions and types of optic flow. Perception & Psychophysics, 1993, 53, 325-337.	2.3	171
17	Optimal Compensation for Changes in Task-Relevant Movement Variability. Journal of Neuroscience, 2005, 25, 7169-7178.	3.6	156
18	Why Is Spatial Stereoresolution So Low?. Journal of Neuroscience, 2004, 24, 2077-2089.	3.6	147

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Estimating heading during real and simulated eye movements. Vision Research, 1996, 36, 431-443.	1.4	144
20	Why pictures look right when viewed from the wrong place. Nature Neuroscience, 2005, 8, 1401-1410.	14.8	142
21	Vestibular Heading Discrimination and Sensitivity to Linear Acceleration in Head and World Coordinates. Journal of Neuroscience, 2010, 30, 9084-9094.	3.6	140
22	Gravity as a Monocular Cue for Perception of Absolute Distance and/or Absolute Size. Perception, 1992, 21, 69-76.	1.2	139
23	Viewing Geometry Determines How Vision and Haptics Combine in Size Perception. Current Biology, 2003, 13, 483-488.	3.9	138
24	Why do animal eyes have pupils of different shapes?. Science Advances, 2015, 1, e1500391.	10.3	136
25	Gravitational acceleration as a cue for absolute size and distance?. Perception & Psychophysics, 1996, 58, 1066-1075.	2.3	132
26	Visual self-motion perception during head turns. Nature Neuroscience, 1998, 1, 732-737.	14.8	132
27	Blur and Disparity Are Complementary Cues to Depth. Current Biology, 2012, 22, 426-431.	3.9	128
28	Natural-Scene Statistics Predict How the Figure–Ground Cue of Convexity Affects Human Depth Perception. Journal of Neuroscience, 2010, 30, 7269-7280.	3.6	116
29	Using blur to affect perceived distance and size. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 2010, 29, 1-16.	7.2	113
30	Infant Refraction and Accommodation. International Ophthalmology Clinics, 1980, 20, 205-232.	0.7	106
31	Sensitivity loss in odd-symmetric mechanisms and phase anomalies in peripheral vision. Nature, 1987, 326, 873-876.	27.8	99
32	Perceived head-centric speed is affected by both extra-retinal and retinal errors. Vision Research, 1998, 38, 941-945.	1.4	93
33	Stereopsis is adaptive for the natural environment. Science Advances, 2015, 1, .	10.3	92
34	Optimal presentation of imagery with focus cues on multi-plane displays. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 2015, 34, 1-12.	7.2	87
35	Accommodation and comfort in head-mounted displays. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 2017, 36, 1-11.	7.2	85
36	Creating effective focus cues in multi-plane 3D displays. Optics Express, 2011, 19, 20940.	3.4	82

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Visual–Haptic Adaptation Is Determined by Relative Reliability. Journal of Neuroscience, 2010, 30, 7714-7721.	3.6	80
38	Adaptation to three-dimensional distortions in human vision. Nature Neuroscience, 2001, 4, 1063-1064.	14.8	78
39	Optical, receptoral, and retinal constraints on foveal and peripheral vision in the human neonate. Vision Research, 1998, 38, 3857-3870.	1.4	76
40	Are corresponding points fixed?. Vision Research, 2001, 41, 2457-2473.	1.4	73
41	Misperceptions in stereoscopic displays. , 2008, 2008, 23-32.		72
42	The effects of contrast, spatial scale, and orientation on foveal and peripheral phase discrimination. Vision Research, 1991, 31, 1759-1786.	1.4	70
43	Estimator Reliability and Distance Scaling in Stereoscopic Slant Perception. Perception, 1999, 28, 217-242.	1.2	70
44	Limits of stereopsis explained by local cross-correlation. Journal of Vision, 2009, 9, 8-8.	0.3	70
45	Extra-retinal and perspective cues cause the small range of the induced effect. Vision Research, 1998, 38, 187-194.	1.4	67
46	Contrast sensitivity function of the infant visual system. Vision Research, 1976, 16, 867-III.	1.4	66
47	Infant Visual Preferences: A Review and New Theoretical Treatment. Advances in Child Development and Behavior, 1985, 19, 207-246.	1.3	63
48	Does chromatic sensitivity develop more slowly than luminance sensitivity?. Vision Research, 1993, 33, 2553-2562.	1.4	61
49	Ideal observer for heading judgments. Vision Research, 1996, 36, 471-490.	1.4	60
50	The rate of change of vergence–accommodation conflict affects visual discomfort. Vision Research, 2014, 105, 159-165.	1.4	59
51	Dynamic lens and monovision 3D displays to improve viewer comfort. Optics Express, 2016, 24, 11808.	3.4	59
52	Depth information and perceived self-motion during simulated gaze rotations. Vision Research, 1998, 38, 3129-3145.	1.4	54
53	The Limits of Human Stereopsis in Space and Time. Journal of Neuroscience, 2014, 34, 1397-1408.	3.6	54
54	Temporal presentation protocols in stereoscopic displays: Flicker visibility, perceived motion, and perceived depth. Journal of the Society for Information Display, 2011, 19, 271-297.	2.1	53

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
55	Temporal mechanisms of multimodal binding. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2009, 276, 1761-1769.	2.6	47
56	The perceptual basis of common photographic practice. Journal of Vision, 2012, 12, 8-8.	0.3	47
57	3D Displays. Annual Review of Vision Science, 2016, 2, 397-435.	4.4	47
58	Temporal contrast sensitivity in human infants. Vision Research, 1992, 32, 1163-1168.	1.4	46
59	The surface of the empirical horopter. Journal of Vision, 2008, 8, 7.	0.3	46
60	Binocular Eye Movements Are Adapted to the Natural Environment. Journal of Neuroscience, 2019, 39, 2877-2888.	3.6	46
61	The development of basic mechanisms of pattern vision: Spatial frequency channels. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 1985, 40, 501-527.	1.4	43
62	The effect of head tilt on meridional differences in acuity: Implications for orientation constancy. Perception & Psychophysics, 1975, 17, 17-22.	2.3	39
63	Blur and the perception of depth at occlusions. Journal of Vision, 2016, 16, 17.	0.3	37
64	The vertical horopter is not adaptable, but it may be adaptive. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 20-20.	0.3	35
65	Relative image size, not eye position, determines eye dominance switches. Vision Research, 2004, 44, 229-234.	1.4	32
66	Extraretinal and retinal amplitude and phase errors during Filehne illusion and path perception. Perception & Psychophysics, 2000, 62, 900-909.	2.3	29
67	The natural statistics of blur. Journal of Vision, 2016, 16, 23.	0.3	29
68	An analysis of binocular slant contrast. Perception, 1999, 28, 1121-1145.	1.2	23
69	The effects of luminance on FPL and VEP acuity in human infants. Vision Research, 1992, 32, 2005-2012.	1.4	21
70	Scotopic visual efficiency: Constraints by optics, receptor properties, and rod pooling. Vision Research, 1992, 32, 645-656.	1.4	21
71	Camera Focal Length and the Perception of Pictures. Ecological Psychology, 2014, 26, 30-46.	1.1	19
72	Human Stereopsis Is Not Limited by the Optics of the Well-Focused Eye. Journal of Neuroscience, 2011, 31, 9814-9818.	3.6	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Stereoscopic depth constancy. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2016, 371, 20150253.	4.0	14
74	Crossed–uncrossed projections from primate retina are adapted to disparities of natural scenes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, 118, .	7.1	14
75	240 Hz OLED technology properties that can enable improved image quality. Journal of the Society for Information Display, 2014, 22, 346-356.	2.1	13
76	Visual discomfort with stereo 3D displays when the head is not upright. Proceedings of SPIE, 2012, 8288, 828814.	0.8	12
77	65.1: Effective Spatial Resolution of Temporally and Spatially Interlaced Stereo 3D Televisions. Digest of Technical Papers SID International Symposium, 2012, 43, 879-882.	0.3	9
78	Stereoscopic 3D display technique using spatiotemporal interlacing has improved spatial and temporal properties. Optics Express, 2015, 23, 9252.	3.4	9
79	Perception of 3-D Layout in Stereo Displays. Information Display, 2009, 25, 12-16.	0.2	9
80	Motion artifacts on 240-Hz OLED stereoscopic 3D displays. Journal of the Society for Information Display, 2014, 22, 393-403.	2.1	8
81	Stereoscopic 3D display with color interlacing improves perceived depth. Optics Express, 2014, 22, 31924.	3.4	7
82	Neuroscience: What You See and Hear Is What You Get. Current Biology, 2004, 14, R236-R238.	3.9	6
83	Solving Parallax Error for 3D Eye Tracking. , 2021, , .		5
84	The venetian-blind effect: a preference for zero disparity or zero slant?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2013, 4, 836.	2.1	4
85	Visible Artifacts and Limitations in Stereoscopic 3D Displays. Information Display, 2017, 33, 12-17.	0.2	4
86	The blur horopter: Retinal conjugate surface in binocular viewing. Journal of Vision, 2021, 21, 8.	0.3	4
87	Consequences of Incorrect Focus Cues in Stereo Displays. Journal of the Society for Information Display, 2008, 24, 7.	2.1	4
88	Optics and neural adaptation jointly limit human stereovision. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, $118$ , .	7.1	3
89	Integrating High Fidelity Eye, Head and World Tracking in a Wearable Device. , 2021, , .		0