

# P Andrew Leynes

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9531736/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

39  
papers

680  
citations

516710

16  
h-index

580821

25  
g-index

39  
all docs

39  
docs citations

39  
times ranked

461  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Cardiovascular fitness and executive control during task-switching: An ERP study. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2008, 69, 52-60.	1.0	60
2	Topographic differences in CNV amplitude reflect different preparatory processes. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 1998, 31, 33-44.	1.0	47
3	Event-related potential (ERP) evidence for fluency-based recognition memory. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2012, 50, 3240-3249.	1.6	44
4	Conflict and criterion setting in recognition memory.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition</i> , 2007, 33, 2-17.	0.9	41
5	Did I do that? An ERP study of memory for performed and planned actions. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2002, 45, 197-210.	1.0	36
6	Event-related potentials indicate that fluency can be interpreted as familiarity. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2015, 78, 41-50.	1.6	34
7	Variations in retrieval monitoring during action memory judgments: Evidence from event-related potentials (ERPs). <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2013, 87, 189-199.	1.0	31
8	Event-related potential (ERP) evidence for varied recollection during source monitoring.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition</i> , 2008, 34, 741-751.	0.9	29
9	Event-related potential (ERP) evidence for sensory-based action memories. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2006, 62, 193-202.	1.0	27
10	Influence of encoding focus and stereotypes on source monitoring event-related-potentials. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2016, 1630, 171-182.	2.2	23
11	Neurophysiological evidence that perceptions of fluency produce mere exposure effects. <i>Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 16, 754-767.	2.0	22
12	The effect of specific test queries on source-monitoring event-related potentials. <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2002, 50, 218-233.	1.8	20
13	Test Modality Affects Source Monitoring and Event-Related Potentials. <i>American Journal of Psychology</i> , 2003, 116, 389.	0.3	20
14	Champagne, beer, or coffee? A corpus of gender-related and neutral words. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2004, 36, 444-458.	1.3	20
15	Event-related potential evidence for multiple causes of the revelation effect. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2005, 14, 327-350.	1.5	18
16	Visual perspective during remembering: ERP evidence of familiarity-based source monitoring. <i>Cortex</i> , 2017, 91, 157-168.	2.4	17
17	Event-related potentials indicate that reality monitoring differs from external source monitoring. <i>American Journal of Psychology</i> , 2005, 118, 497-524.	0.3	17
18	Interrupted actions affect output monitoring and event-related potentials (ERPs). <i>Memory</i> , 2005, 13, 759-772.	1.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Event-related potential (ERP) evidence for source-monitoring based on the absence of information. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2012, 84, 284-295.	1.0	16
20	Investigating the encoding and retrieval of intentions with event-related potentials. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2003, 12, 1-18.	1.5	15
21	Eliminating the memory blocking effect. <i>Memory</i> , 2008, 16, 852-872.	1.7	15
22	Event-related potential evidence of accessing gender stereotypes to aid source monitoring. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2013, 1491, 176-187.	2.2	15
23	Manipulations that disrupt generative processes decrease conformity to examples: Evidence from two paradigms. <i>Memory</i> , 2004, 12, 90-103.	1.7	14
24	Encoding focus alters diagnostic recollection and event-related potentials (ERPs). <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2017, 117, 1-11.	1.8	12
25	Do Explicit Memory Manipulations Affect the Memory Blocking Effect?. <i>American Journal of Psychology</i> , 2006, 119, 463.	0.3	10
26	Event-related potential (ERP) evidence that encoding focus alters recollected features. <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2018, 127, 42-50.	1.8	10
27	Expectations alter recognition and event-related potentials (ERPs). <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2019, 135, 103573.	1.8	10
28	Event-related potential (ERP) correlates of memory blocking and priming during a word fragment test. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2010, 78, 136-150.	1.0	7
29	Roles of visual and taste cues in ingestional neophobia: Response latency effects in chicks ( <i>Gallus</i> ) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT <sub>6</sub> /Overlook <sub>3.4</sub>		
30	Distinct FN400/N400 memory effects for perceptually fluent and disfluent words. <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2021, 147, 105661.	1.8	6
31	When do primes go bad? A corpus of orthographically related primes that inhibit fragment completion. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2007, 39, 870-875.	4.0	5
32	Context influences the FN400 recognition event-related potential. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2020, 158, 16-26.	1.0	4
33	Event-Related Potential Measures of Smartphone Distraction. <i>Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking</i> , 2018, 21, 248-253.	3.9	3
34	Memory blocking in schizophrenia reflects deficient retrieval control mechanisms. <i>Schizophrenia Research</i> , 2011, 133, 182-186.	2.0	2
35	Encoding focus does not affect recollection of action memories: Event related potential (ERP) and modeling evidence. <i>International Journal of Psychophysiology</i> , 2020, 147, 9-17.	1.0	2
36	The effect of test query on recognition event-related potentials (ERPs). <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2021, 155, 105814.	1.8	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Do explicit memory manipulations affect the memory blocking effect?. American Journal of Psychology, 2006, 119, 463-79.	0.3	2
38	A reply to R. West's comments on Leynes, Marsh, Hicks, Allen, and Mayhorn. Consciousness and Cognition, 2003, 12, 25-30.	1.5	1
39	Objective and subjective measures indicate that orthographically similar words produce a blocking experience. Memory, 2011, 19, 17-35.	1.7	1