Juliane Nees

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9520769/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

840119 794141 21 513 11 19 citations h-index g-index papers 24 24 24 1096 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Circulating miRNAs with prognostic value in metastatic breast cancer and for early detection of metastasis. Carcinogenesis, 2016, 37, 461-470.	1.3	122
2	The impact of HER2 phenotype of circulating tumor cells in metastatic breast cancer: a retrospective study in 107 patients. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 403.	1.1	70
3	Serial enumeration of circulating tumor cells predicts treatment response and prognosis in metastatic breast cancer: a prospective study in 393 patients. BMC Cancer, 2014, 14, 512.	1.1	65
4	A plasma metabolite panel as biomarkers for early primary breast cancer detection. International Journal of Cancer, 2019, 144, 2833-2842.	2.3	50
5	Plasma hyaluronic acid level as a prognostic and monitoring marker of metastatic breast cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2016, 138, 2499-2509.	2.3	31
6	A Web-Based Survey Assessing the Attitudes of Health Care Professionals in Germany Toward the Use of Telemedicine in Pregnancy Monitoring: Cross-Sectional Study. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2018, 6, e10063.	1.8	24
7	Impact of apoptotic circulating tumor cells (aCTC) in metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 160, 277-290.	1.1	23
8	HER2-targeted therapy influences CTC status in metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 182, 127-136.	1.1	21
9	Estrogen, progesterone, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 discordance between primary and metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 183, 137-144.	1.1	19
10	Women's Attitudes Toward Self-Monitoring of Their Pregnancy Using Noninvasive Electronic Devices: Cross-Sectional Multicenter Study. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2019, 7, e11458.	1.8	19
11	Plasma S100P level as a novel prognostic marker of metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 157, 329-338.	1.1	18
12	Acceptance of a new non-invasive fetal monitoring system and attitude for telemedicine approaches in obstetrics: a case–control study. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2018, 298, 1085-1093.	0.8	11
13	Sustained prognostic impact of circulating tumor cell status and kinetics upon further progression of metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 173, 155-165.	1.1	11
14	A New Practical Decision Rule to Better Differentiate <scp>Blâ€RADS</scp> 3 or 4 Breast Masses on Breast Ultrasound. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2022, 41, 427-436.	0.8	11
15	The importance of multi-modal imaging and clinical information for humans and Al-based algorithms to classify breast masses (INSPiRED 003): an international, multicenter analysis. European Radiology, 2022, 32, 4101-4115.	2.3	8
16	How previous treatment changes the metabolomic profile in patients with metastatic breast cancer. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2022, 306, 2115-2122.	0.8	4
17	Potential of blood-based biomarker approaches in endometrium and breast cancer: a case-control comparison study. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2022, 306, 1623-1632.	0.8	2
18	Does conventional specimen radiography after neoadjuvant chemotherapy of breast cancer help to reduce the rate of second surgeries?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2022, 191, 589-598.	1.1	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Emergency consultations in obstetrics: identification of decisive, contributing and associated factors. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2020, 302, 821-828.	0.8	1
20	Intramural pregnancy: A case report. Case Reports in Women's Health, 2020, 27, e00215.	0.2	1
21	Abstract PD11-05: Intelligent shear-wave elastography to reduce unnecessary biopsies in breast cancer diagnosis (INSPiRED 002): An international, multicenter analysis. Cancer Research, 2022, 82, PD11-05-PD11-05.	0.4	0