## Seang-Hwane Joo

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9508619/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Examining stability of personality profile solutions between Likert-type and multidimensional forced choice measure. Personality and Individual Differences, 2019, 142, 13-20.	2.9	26
2	GGUM-RANK Statement and Person Parameter Estimation With Multidimensional Forced Choice Triplets. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2019, 43, 226-240.	1.0	23
3	Adaptive testing with the GGUM-RANK multidimensional forced choice model: Comparison of pair, triplet, and tetrad scoring. Behavior Research Methods, 2020, 52, 761-772.	4.0	18
4	Development of Information Functions and Indices for the GGUMâ€RANK Multidimensional Forced Choice IRT Model. Journal of Educational Measurement, 2018, 55, 357-372.	1.2	17
5	Evaluating Anchor-Item Designs for Concurrent Calibration With the GGUM. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2017, 41, 83-96.	1.0	16
6	Advancing and Evaluating IRT Model Data Fit Indices in Organizational Research. Organizational Research Methods, 2020, 23, 457-486.	9.1	16
7	Detecting DIF in Multidimensional Forced Choice Measures Using the Thurstonian Item Response Theory Model. Organizational Research Methods, 2021, 24, 739-771.	9.1	15
8	Evaluating Item Fit Statistic Thresholds in PISA: Analysis of Crossâ€Country Comparability of Cognitive Items. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 2021, 40, 37-48.	1.4	13
9	A New Investigation of Fake Resistance of a Multidimensional Forced-Choice Measure: An Application of Differential Item/Test Functioning. Personnel Assessment and Decisions, 2021, 7, .	0.7	10
10	Modeling Multidimensional Forced Choice Measures with the Zinnes and Griggs Pairwise Preference Item Response Theory Model. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2023, 58, 241-261.	3.1	7
11	Investigating the impact of negatively keyed statements on multidimensional forced-choice personality measures: A comparison of partially ipsative and IRT scoring methods. Personality and Individual Differences, 2022, 191, 111555.	2.9	7
12	Item Parameter Estimation With the General Hyperbolic Cosine Ideal Point IRT Model. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2019, 43, 18-33.	1.0	6
13	Investigating faking effects on the construct validity through the Monte Carlo simulation study. Personality and Individual Differences, 2019, 150, 109491.	2.9	5
14	Detecting Differential Item Functioning Using Posterior Predictive Model Checking: A Comparison of Discrepancy Statistics. Journal of Educational Measurement, 2022, 59, 442-469.	1.2	4
15	The Explanatory Generalized Graded Unfolding Model: Incorporating Collateral Information to Improve the Latent Trait Estimation Accuracy. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2022, 46, 3-18.	1.0	3
16	Opening the Black Box of the Response Process to Personality Faking: An Application of Item Response Tree Models. Journal of Business and Psychology, 2022, 37, 1199-1214.	4.0	3
17	Assessing Dimensionality of the Ideal Point Item Response Theory Model Using Posterior Predictive Model Checking. Organizational Research Methods, 0, , 109442812110506.	9.1	2
18	Bayesian Approaches for Detecting Differential Item Functioning Using the Generalized Graded Unfolding Model. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2022, 46, 014662162110666.	1.0	2