Bas Israël

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9502506/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



ΒΛς ΙςρλÃμι

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Clinical implementation of preâ€biopsy magnetic resonance imaging pathways for the diagnosis of prostate cancer. BJU International, 2022, 129, 480-490.	1.3	5
2	Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: What Urologists Need to Know. Part 4: Transperineal Magnetic Resonance–Ultrasound Fusion Guided Biopsy Using Local Anesthesia. European Urology, 2022, 81, 110-117.	0.9	17
3	Reply to Kamal Kant Sahu's Letter to the Editor re: Veerle H. Groen, Karin Haustermans, Floris J. Pos, et al. Patterns of Failure Following External Beam Radiotherapy With or Without an Additional Focal Boost in the Randomized Controlled FLAME Trial for Localized Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol. In press. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.eururo.2021.12.012. European Urology. 2022	0.9	0
4	Validation of In Vivo Nodal Assessment of Solid Malignancies with USPIO-Enhanced MRI: A Workflow Protocol. Methods and Protocols, 2022, 5, 24.	0.9	2
5	Ferumoxtran-10-enhanced 3-T Magnetic Resonance Angiography of Pelvic Arteries: Initial Experience. European Urology Focus, 2022, 8, 1802-1808.	1.6	5
6	Implications of the European Association of Urology Recommended Risk Assessment Algorithm for Early Prostate Cancer Detection. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 43, 1-4.	0.2	1
7	Evaluating F-18-PSMA-1007-PET in primary prostate cancer and comparing it to multi-parametric MRI and histopathology. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2021, 24, 423-430.	2.0	37
8	Head-to-Head Comparison of ⁶⁸ Ga-Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen PET/CT and Ferumoxtran-10–Enhanced MRI for the Diagnosis of Lymph Node Metastases in Prostate Cancer Patients. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2021, 62, 1258-1263.	2.8	26
9	External validation of the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Centre and Briganti nomograms for the prediction of lymph node involvement of prostate cancer using clinical stage assessed by magnetic resonance imaging. BJU International, 2021, 128, 236-243.	1.3	10
10	Clinical use of the SelectMDx urinary-biomarker test with or without mpMRI in prostate cancer diagnosis: a prospective, multicenter study in biopsy-naÃ ⁻ ve men. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2021, 24, 1110-1119.	2.0	40
11	Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: What Urologists Need to Know. Part 1: Acquisition. European Urology, 2020, 77, 457-468. Reply to Arnaldo Stanzione, Massimo Imbriaco, and Renato Cuocolo's Letter to the Editor re: Marloes	0.9	62
12	van der Leest, Bas IsraëI, Éric Bastiaan Cornel, et al. High Diagnostic Performance of Short Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocols for Prostate Cancer Detection in Biopsy-naÃ⁻ve Men: The Next Step in Magnetic Resonance Imaging Accessibility. Eur Urol 2019;76:574–81. Are We Meeting Our Standards? Stringent Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Acquisition Requirements Might be Limiting	0.9	8
13	Prostate Accessibilit. European Urology, 2020, 77, e58-e59. Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: What Urologists Need to Know. Part 2: Interpretation. European Urology, 2020, 77, 469-480.	0.9	59
14	ESUR/ESUI consensus statements on multi-parametric MRI for the detection of clinically significant prostate cancer: quality requirements for image acquisition, interpretation and radiologists' training. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 5404-5416.	2.3	185
15	Can Biparametric Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Fulfill its PROMIS?. European Urology, 2020, 78, 512-514.	0.9	6
16	Focus on the Quality of Prostate Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Synopsis of the ESUR/ESUI Recommendations on Quality Assessment and Interpretation of Images and Radiologists' Training. European Urology, 2020, 78, 483-485.	0.9	27
17	ESUR/ESUI consensus statements on multi-parametric MRI for the detection of clinically significant prostate cancer: quality requirements for image acquisition, interpretation and radiologists' training. , 2020, 30, 5404.		1
18	Reply to Jochen Walz. Let's Keep It at One Step at a Time: Why Biparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging Is Not the Priority Today. Eur Urol 2019;76:582–3. European Urology, 2019, 76, 584-585.	0.9	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	High Diagnostic Performance of Short Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocols for Prostate Cancer Detection in Biopsy-naÃīve Men: The Next Step in Magnetic Resonance Imaging Accessibility. European Urology, 2019, 76, 574-581.	0.9	114
20	Head-to-head Comparison of Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate Biopsy Versus Multiparametric Prostate Resonance Imaging with Subsequent Magnetic Resonance-guided Biopsy in Biopsy-naÃ ⁻ ve Men with Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen: A Large Prospective Multicenter Clinical Study. European Urology, 2019, 75, 570-578.	0.9	521
21	Ultraâ€small superparamagnetic iron oxides for metastatic lymph node detection: back on the block. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology, 2018, 10, e1471.	3.3	70