

Yader Sandoval

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9479426/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

81
papers

3,517
citations

136885

32
h-index

138417

58
g-index

83
all docs

83
docs citations

83
times ranked

4098
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I at presentation in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a cohort study. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2015, 386, 2481-2488.	6.3	422
2	Cardiac Troponin Assays: Guide to Understanding Analytical Characteristics and Their Impact on Clinical Care. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2017, 63, 73-81.	1.5	277
3	Type 2 Myocardial Infarction. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2019, 73, 1846-1860.	1.2	199
4	Association of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Concentration With Cardiac Outcomes in Patients With Suspected Acute Coronary Syndrome. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2017, 318, 1913.	3.8	188
5	The Global Need to Define Normality: The 99th Percentile Value of Cardiac Troponin. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2014, 60, 455-462.	1.5	138
6	Machine Learning to Predict the Likelihood of Acute Myocardial Infarction. <i>Circulation</i> , 2019, 140, 899-909.	1.6	128
7	Supply/Demand Type 2 Myocardial Infarction. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2014, 63, 2079-2087.	1.2	123
8	Type 1 and 2 Myocardial Infarction and Myocardial Injury: Clinical Transition to High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2017, 130, 1431-1439.e4.	0.6	95
9	Patient selection for high sensitivity cardiac troponin testing and diagnosis of myocardial infarction: prospective cohort study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 359, j4788.	2.4	92
10	Myocardial Infarction Type 2 and Myocardial Injury. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2017, 63, 101-107.	1.5	89
11	Cardiac troponin changes to distinguish type 1 and type 2 myocardial infarction and 180-day mortality risk. <i>European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care</i> , 2014, 3, 317-325.	0.4	84
12	Myocardial Injury in the Era of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin Assays. <i>JAMA Cardiology</i> , 2019, 4, 1034.	3.0	84
13	Contemporary Arterial Access in the Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2017, 10, 2233-2241.	1.1	82
14	Sex-Specific 99th Percentile Upper Reference Limits for High Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin Assays Derived Using a Universal Sample Bank. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2020, 66, 434-444.	1.5	80
15	Incidence, Trends, and Outcomes of Type 2 Myocardial Infarction in a Community Cohort. <i>Circulation</i> , 2020, 141, 454-463.	1.6	77
16	Myocardial Infarction Risk Stratification With a Single Measurement of High-Sensitivity Troponin I. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2019, 74, 271-282.	1.2	75
17	Present and Future of Cardiac Troponin in Clinical Practice: A Paradigm Shift to High-Sensitivity Assays. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2016, 129, 354-365.	0.6	74
18	Incidence, Treatment, and Outcomes of Coronary Perforation During Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2017, 120, 1285-1292.	0.7	66

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	New Insights Into the Use of the 12-Lead Electrocardiogram for Diagnosing Acute Myocardial Infarction in the Emergency Department. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2018, 34, 132-145.	0.8	61
20	Diagnosis of Type 1 and Type 2 Myocardial Infarction Using a High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Assay with Sex-Specific 99th Percentiles Based on the Third Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction Classification System. Clinical Chemistry, 2015, 61, 657-663.	1.5	60
21	Renal Dysfunction Influences the Diagnostic and Prognostic Performance of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN, 2018, 29, 636-643.	3.0	58
22	Single High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I to Rule Out Acute Myocardial Infarction. American Journal of Medicine, 2017, 130, 1076-1083.e1.	0.6	54
23	Using High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T for Acute Cardiac Care. American Journal of Medicine, 2017, 130, 1358-1365.e1.	0.6	47
24	Prognostic Value of Serial Changes in High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I and T over 3 Months Using Reference Change Values in Hemodialysis Patients. Clinical Chemistry, 2016, 62, 631-638.	1.5	46
25	Rapid Rule-Out of Acute Myocardial Injury Using a Single High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Measurement. Clinical Chemistry, 2017, 63, 369-376.	1.5	45
26	Prognostic value of 12-lead electrocardiogram and peak troponin I level after vascular surgery. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2013, 57, 166-172.	0.6	41
27	High-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays and unstable angina. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care, 2018, 7, 120-128.	0.4	41
28	Incidence, predictors, management and outcomes of coronary perforations. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 93, 48-56.	0.7	41
29	Radial Versus Femoral Access in Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 12, e007778.	1.4	40
30	Discordance between ICD-Coded Myocardial Infarction and Diagnosis according to the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. Clinical Chemistry, 2017, 63, 415-419.	1.5	39
31	Diagnostic Performance of High Sensitivity Compared with Contemporary Cardiac Troponin I for the Diagnosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction. Clinical Chemistry, 2017, 63, 1594-1604.	1.5	36
32	The Many Faces of Type 2 Myocardial Infarction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017, 70, 1569-1572.	1.2	33
33	Clinical Impact of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T Implementation in the Community. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2021, 77, 3160-3170.	1.2	33
34	Incidence of Undetectable, Measurable, and Increased Cardiac Troponin I Concentrations Above the 99th Percentile Using a High-Sensitivity vs a Contemporary Assay in Patients Presenting to the Emergency Department. Clinical Chemistry, 2016, 62, 1115-1119.	1.5	29
35	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT) Prior to Elective Vascular Surgery (CRIPES): A Prospective, Randomized, Sham-Controlled Phase III Clinical Trial. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2016, 5, .	1.6	28
36	High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T for the Detection of Myocardial Injury and Risk Stratification in COVID-19. Clinical Chemistry, 2021, 67, 1080-1089.	1.5	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Characteristics and occurrence of type 2 myocardial infarction in emergency department patients: a prospective study. <i>Emergency Medicine Journal</i> , 2018, 35, 169-175.	0.4	23
38	Covered stent implantation through a single 8â€ƒfrench guide catheter for the management of a distal coronary perforation. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2017, 90, 584-588.	0.7	22
39	Clinical Features and Outcomes of Emergency Department Patients With High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Concentrations Within Sex-Specific Reference Intervals. <i>Circulation</i> , 2019, 139, 1753-1755.	1.6	22
40	99th Percentile Upper-Reference Limit of Cardiac Troponin and the Diagnosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2020, 66, 1167-1180.	1.5	22
41	Complete Versus Incomplete Coronary Revascularization of Patients With Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease. <i>Current Treatment Options in Cardiovascular Medicine</i> , 2015, 17, 366.	0.4	21
42	Use of objective evidence of myocardial ischemia to facilitate the diagnostic and prognostic distinction between type 2 myocardial infarction and myocardial injury. <i>European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care</i> , 2020, 9, 62-69.	0.4	19
43	Type 2 Myocardial Infarction: Evolving Approaches to Diagnosis and Risk-Stratification. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2021, 67, 61-69.	1.5	18
44	Evolution of the Crush Technique for Bifurcation Stenting. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2021, 14, 2315-2326.	1.1	17
45	Risk Estimation in Type 2 Myocardial Infarction and Myocardial Injury: The TARRACO Risk Score. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2019, 132, 217-226.	0.6	15
46	Implementing High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T in a US Regional Healthcare System. <i>Circulation</i> , 2020, 141, 1937-1939.	1.6	15
47	Rapid Exclusion of Acute Myocardial Injury and Infarction With a Single High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T in the Emergency Department: A Multicenter United States Evaluation. <i>Circulation</i> , 2022, 145, 1708-1719.	1.6	15
48	The effect of targeted temperature management on QT and corrected QT intervals in patients with cardiac arrest. <i>Journal of Critical Care</i> , 2017, 39, 182-184.	1.0	14
49	Acute Pulmonary Embolism: Contemporary Approach to Diagnosis, Risk-Stratification, and Management. <i>International Journal of Angiology</i> , 2019, 28, 100-111.	0.2	13
50	Contemporary Management of Ischemic Mitral Regurgitation: A Review. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2018, 131, 887-895.	0.6	12
51	ST-segment Elevation, Myocardial Injury, and Suspected or Confirmed COVID-19 Patients: Diagnostic and Treatment Uncertainties. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings</i> , 2020, 95, 1107-1111.	1.4	11
52	Diagnostic performance of a rapid, novel, whole blood, point of care high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I assay for myocardial infarction. <i>Clinical Biochemistry</i> , 2022, 105-106, 70-74.	0.8	11
53	Type 2 myocardial infarction. Potential hazards of nomenclature systems: User discretion advised. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2015, 179, 373-374.	0.8	9
54	Sleep deprivation in interventional cardiology: Implications for patient care and physicianâ€™health. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2018, 91, 905-910.	0.7	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	“Around the world” How to reach native coronary artery lesions through long and tortuous aortocoronary bypass grafts. <i>Hellenic Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2018, 59, 354-357.	0.4	8
56	Appropriateness of Cardiac Troponin Testing: Insights from the Use of TROPonin In Acute coronary syndromes (UTROPIA) Study. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2019, 132, 869-874.	0.6	8
57	Type 2 Myocardial Infarction: The Next Frontier. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2014, 127, e19.	0.6	7
58	The role of rotational atherectomy in contemporary chronic total occlusion percutaneous coronary intervention. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2017, 89, 829-831.	0.7	7
59	Comparison of 0/3-Hour Rapid Rule-Out Strategies Using High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I in a US Emergency Department. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes</i> , 2020, 13, e006565.	0.9	7
60	Completeness of revascularization in multivessel coronary artery disease. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2016, 8, E1493-E1496.	0.6	6
61	Passions and Realities of Training in Cardiology. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 67, 112-115.	1.2	6
62	Major adverse cardiovascular events after diagnosis of myocardial injury and types 1 and 2 myocardial infarction. <i>European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care</i> , 2022, 11, 546-557.	0.4	6
63	The Elevated High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T Pilot. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings</i> , 2021, 96, 2366-2375.	1.4	5
64	Clinical use of cardiac troponin for acute cardiac care and emerging opportunities in the outpatient setting. <i>Minerva Medica</i> , 2019, 110, 139-156.	0.3	5
65	Impact of sleep deprivation on the outcomes of percutaneous coronary intervention. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2018, 92, 1118-1125.	0.7	4
66	Letter by Sandoval et al Regarding Article, “Designing a Better Mousetrap: Reflections on the November 28, 2017, US Food and Drug Administration Meeting on Next-Generation “High-Sensitivity” Cardiac Troponin Assays to Diagnose Myocardial Infarction” <i>Circulation</i> , 2019, 139, 562-563.	1.6	3
67	Incidence and Prognostic Impact of Infection in Patients with Type 1 and 2 Myocardial Infarction. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2020, 66, 1240-1241.	1.5	3
68	Rapid Identification of Patients at High Risk for Acute Myocardial Infarction Using a Single High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Measurement. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2020, 66, 620-622.	1.5	3
69	The Need to Develop Clinical Guidance for the Use of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin in Pediatric and Neonatal Patients. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2022, 68, 884-886.	1.5	3
70	Let's talk about change, cardiac troponin deltas: A step in the right direction. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2013, 168, 4407-4408.	0.8	2
71	Refining the Diagnosis of Type 2 Myocardial Infarction. <i>JAMA Cardiology</i> , 2017, 2, 106.	3.0	2
72	Raising the Bar for Clinical Cardiac Troponin Research Studies and Implementation Science. <i>Circulation</i> , 2021, 143, 2225-2228.	1.6	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	High-Sensitivity Troponin T Testing for Pediatric Patients in the Emergency Department. <i>Pediatric Cardiology</i> , 2022, 43, 350-359.	0.6	2
74	Appendicitis presenting as cardiac tamponade. <i>Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery</i> , 2013, 146, 228.	0.4	1
75	Ongoing Challenges with Type 2 Myocardial Infarction. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2016, 129, e155.	0.6	1
76	Editorial commentary: Improving our understanding of type 2 myocardial infarction and myocardial injury. <i>Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine</i> , 2017, 27, 418-419.	2.3	1
77	Single Coronary Artery Anomaly in a Woman With Acute ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. <i>JACC: Case Reports</i> , 2020, 2, 69-71.	0.3	1
78	Differentiating aortic fibrosarcoma from acute intramural hematoma. <i>Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery</i> , 2012, 143, e7-e8.	0.4	0
79	Regarding "The effect of postoperative myocardial ischemia on long-term survival after vascular surgery". <i>Journal of Vascular Surgery</i> , 2014, 59, 1474.	0.6	0
80	Preventing and treating coronary perforations: Lessons from disaster management. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2017, 89, 973-975.	0.7	0
81	Reply. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2017, 120, e73-e74.	0.7	0