

# Roger Blanks

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9454524/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

27  
papers

1,239  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

526287

27  
g-index

28  
all docs

28  
docs citations

28  
times ranked

1413  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	An analysis of screen-detected invasive cancers by grade in the English breast cancer screening programme: are we failing to detect sufficient small grade 3 cancers?. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2021, 31, 2548-2558.	4.5	10
2	Screen-detected and interval colorectal cancers in England: Associations with lifestyle and other factors in women in a large UK prospective cohort. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2019, 145, 728-734.	5.1	7
3	Association of ten gastrointestinal and other medical conditions with positivity to faecal occult blood testing in routine screening: a large prospective study of women in England. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 48, 549-558.	1.9	4
4	An analysis of 11.3 million screening tests examining the association between recall and cancer detection rates in the English NHS breast cancer screening programme. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2019, 29, 3812-3819.	4.5	8
5	An analysis of 11.3 million screening tests examining the association between needle biopsy rates and cancer detection rates in the English NHS Breast Cancer Screening Programme. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2019, 74, 384-389.	1.1	9
6	Factors affecting adenoma detection rate in a national flexible sigmoidoscopy screening programme: a retrospective analysis. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2019, 4, 239-247.	8.1	12
7	Impact of Digital Mammography on Cancer Detection and Recall Rates: 11.3 Million Screening Episodes in the English National Health Service Breast Cancer Screening Program. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019, 290, 629-637.	7.3	10
8	Association between Screening Mammography Recall Rate and Interval Cancers in the UK Breast Cancer Service Screening Program: A Cohort Study. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 288, 47-54.	7.3	21
9	Role of performance metrics in breast screening imaging "where are we and where should we be?". <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2018, 73, 381-388.	1.1	6
10	Heterogeneity of colorectal cancer risk by tumour characteristics: Large prospective study of UK women. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 140, 1082-1090.	5.1	37
11	Disability and participation in breast and bowel cancer screening in England: a large prospective study. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 117, 1711-1714.	6.4	30
12	Nationwide bowel cancer screening programme in England: cohort study of lifestyle factors affecting participation and outcomes in women. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 112, 1562-1567.	6.4	27
13	Evaluation of colonoscopy performance based on post-procedure bleeding complications: application of procedure complexity-adjusted model. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 910-916.	1.8	5
14	Risk factors for adverse events related to polypectomy in the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 46, 90-97.	1.8	164
15	The calculation of targets for the cancer and adenoma detection rates for the NHS bowel screening programme. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2012, 19, 72-76.	2.3	6
16	ABC3 Part II: a review of the new criteria for evaluating cervical cytology in England. <i>Cytopathology</i> , 2012, 23, 360-370.	0.7	9
17	Commentary on: Breast screening policy: Are we heading in the right direction?. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2011, 66, 920-921.	1.1	0
18	The effect of changing from one to two views at incident (subsequent) screens in the NHS breast screening programme in England: impact on cancer detection and recall rates. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2005, 60, 674-680.	1.1	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Comparison of Screening Mammography in the United States and the United Kingdom. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2003, 290, 2129.	7.4	283
20	Should Breast Screening Programmes Limit their Detection of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ?. Clinical Radiology, 2002, 57, 1086-1089.	1.1	22
21	Monitoring and evaluating the UK National Health Service Breast Screening Programme: evaluating the variation in radiological performance between individual programmes using PPV-referral diagrams. Journal of Medical Screening, 2001, 8, 24-28.	2.3	33
22	Effect of NHS breast screening programme on mortality from breast cancer in England and Wales, 1990-8: comparison of observed with predicted mortality. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2000, 321, 665-669.	2.3	313
23	Breast cancer screening sensitivity in the NHSBSP: recent results and implications. Breast, 1999, 8, 301-302.	2.2	3
24	Incident screening cancers detected with a second mammographic view: Pathological and radiological features. Clinical Radiology, 1999, 54, 724-735.	1.1	13
25	A comparison of cancer detection rates achieved by breast cancer screening programmes by number of readers, for one and two view mammography: results from the UK National Health Service breast screening programme. Journal of Medical Screening, 1998, 5, 195-201.	2.3	124
26	Monitoring the Performance of Breast Screening Programmes: Use of Indirect Standardisation in Evaluating the Invasive Cancer Detection Rate. Journal of Medical Screening, 1996, 3, 79-81.	2.3	40
27	Monitoring the Performance of Breast Screening Programmes: Allowing for Geographical Variation in Breast Cancer Incidence. Journal of Medical Screening, 1996, 3, 82-84.	2.3	16