

Sarah de Rijcke

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9408400/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

37
papers

2,491
citations

566801

15
h-index

395343

33
g-index

41
all docs

41
docs citations

41
times ranked

2014
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Bibliometrics: The Leiden Manifesto for research metrics. <i>Nature</i> , 2015, 520, 429-431.	13.7	1,465
2	Evaluation practices and effects of indicator use—a literature review. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2016, 25, 161-169.	1.3	276
3	Accounting for Impact? The Journal Impact Factor and the Making of Biomedical Research in the Netherlands. <i>Minerva</i> , 2015, 53, 117-139.	1.4	93
4	Thinking with indicators. Exploring the epistemic impacts of academic performance indicators in the life sciences. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2017, 26, 157-168.	1.3	85
5	Working with Research Integrity—Guidance for Research Performing Organisations: The Bonn PRINTEGER Statement. <i>Science and Engineering Ethics</i> , 2018, 24, 1023-1034.	1.7	59
6	Rethinking impact factors: better ways to judge a journal. <i>Nature</i> , 2019, 569, 621-623.	13.7	46
7	The Drawbacks of Project Funding for Epistemic Innovation: Comparing Institutional Affordances and Constraints of Different Types of Research Funding. <i>Minerva</i> , 2018, 56, 11-33.	1.4	42
8	Implicated in the Indicator Game? An Experimental Debate. <i>Engaging Science, Technology, and Society</i> , 0, 3, 21-40.	0.5	41
9	From Eminent Men to Excellent Universities: University Rankings as Calculative Devices. <i>Minerva</i> , 2017, 55, 391-411.	1.4	40
10	Portfolios of Worth: Capitalizing on Basic and Clinical Problems in Biomedical Research Groups. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2019, 44, 209-236.	1.7	30
11	Variation in Valuation: How Research Groups Accumulate Credibility in Four Epistemic Cultures. <i>Minerva</i> , 2019, 57, 127-149.	1.4	28
12	To intervene or not to intervene; is that the question? On the role of scientometrics in research evaluation. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 66, 1954-1958.	1.5	25
13	Filling in the gaps: The interpretation of <i>curricula vitae</i> in peer review. <i>Social Studies of Science</i> , 2019, 49, 863-883.	1.5	25
14	From indicators to indicating interdisciplinarity: A participatory mapping methodology for research communities in-the-making. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020, 1, 1041-1055.	1.6	21
15	Research groups as communities of practice—a case study of four high-performing research groups. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2018, 76, 231-246.	2.8	19
16	Expanding Research Integrity: A Cultural-Practice Perspective. <i>Science and Engineering Ethics</i> , 2021, 27, 10.	1.7	19
17	Temporality in Academic Evaluation. <i>Valuation Studies</i> , 2020, 7, 33.	0.5	19
18	Networked Neuroscience: Brain Scans and Visual Knowing at the Intersection of Atlases and Databases. , 2014, , 131-152.		19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Rinse and Repeat: Understanding the Value of Replication across Different Ways of Knowing. Publications, 2019, 7, 52.	1.9	18
20	â€œHeterogeneous couplingsâ€: Operationalizing network perspectives to study scienceâ€™society interactions through social media metrics. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2021, 72, 595-610.	1.5	17
21	Drawing into abstraction. Practices of observation and visualisation in the work of Santiago RamÃ³n y Cajal. Interdisciplinary Science Reviews, 2008, 33, 287-311.	1.0	12
22	Image as Interface: Consequences for Users of Museum Knowledge. Library Trends, 2011, 59, 663-685.	0.2	12
23	Essay Review: Taking a Good Look at Why Scientific Images Don't Speak for Themselves. Theory and Psychology, 2007, 17, 733-742.	0.7	11
24	Funding for few, anticipation among all: Effects of excellence funding on academic research groups. Science and Public Policy, 2021, 48, 265-275.	1.2	11
25	Ten ways to improve academic CVs for fairer research assessment. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 2021, 8, .	1.3	9
26	Light Tries the Expert Eye: The Introduction of Photography in Nineteenth-Century Macroscopic Neuroanatomy. Journal of the History of the Neurosciences, 2008, 17, 349-366.	0.1	7
27	Quantifying â€œOutputâ€™ for Evaluation: Administrative Knowledge Politics and Changing Epistemic Cultures in Dutch Law Faculties. Science and Public Policy, 2016, , scw064.	1.2	6
28	Europe the rule-maker. Nature, 2019, 569, 479-481.	13.7	6
29	Scienceâ€™s moral economy of repair: Replication and the circulation of reference. Accountability in Research, 2020, 27, 107-113.	1.6	6
30	Resist calls for replicability in the humanities. Nature, 2018, 560, 29-29.	13.7	6
31	The reward system of science. Aslib Journal of Information Management, 2017, 69, 478-485.	1.3	5
32	Making researchers responsible: attributions of responsibility and ambiguous notions of culture in research codes of conduct. BMC Medical Ethics, 2020, 21, 56.	1.0	4
33	Thinking with indicators. Exploring the epistemic impacts of academic performance indicators in the life sciences. Research Evaluation, 2018, 27, 283-283.	1.3	3
34	Algorithmic Allocation: Untangling Rival Considerations of Fairness in Research Management. Politics and Governance, 2020, 8, 15-25.	0.8	3
35	Quality monitoring in transition: The challenge of evaluating translational research programs in academic biomedicine. Science and Public Policy, 2016, , scw078.	1.2	2
36	Towards best practices for authorship and research evaluation: Effects of performance metrics and the Leiden Manifesto. Septentrio Conference Series, 2017, , .	0.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Advancing to the Next Level: Caring for Evaluative Metrics Monsters in Academia and Healthcare. IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, 2018, , 80-95.	0.5	0