Paul Conway

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9407213/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28 1,821 16 26
papers citations h-index g-index

38 38 38 1581 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Empathy regulation, prosociality, and moral judgment. Current Opinion in Psychology, 2022, 44, 188-195.	2.5	14
2	Insecure and insensitive: Avoidant and anxious attachment predict less concern for others in sacrificial moral dilemmas. Personality and Individual Differences, 2022, 185, 111274.	1.6	6
3	Intrinsic religiosity attenuates the negative relationship between social disconnectedness and meaning in life Psychology of Religion and Spirituality, 2022, 14, 390-394.	0.9	6
4	Standing up or giving up? Moral foundations mediate political differences in evaluations of BLACK LIVES MATTER and other protests. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2022, 52, 553-569.	1.5	1
5	Deontological and Utilitarian Responses to Sacrificial Dilemmas Predict Disapproval of Sin Stocks. Social Psychology, 2022, 53, 51-62.	0.3	1
6	Longitudinal studies support the safety and ethics of virtual reality suicide as a research method. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 9653.	1.6	4
7	The behavioral ecology of moral dilemmas: Childhood unpredictability, but not harshness, predicts less deontological and utilitarian responding Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2021, 120, 1696-1719.	2.6	20
8	Testosterone and cortisol do not predict rejecting harm or maximizing outcomes in sacrificial moral dilemmas: A preregistered analysis. Hormones and Behavior, 2021, 136, 105063.	1.0	0
9	Bound together for God and country: The binding moral foundations link unreflectiveness with religiosity and political conservatism. Personality and Individual Differences, 2020, 155, 109632.	1.6	16
10	Kant be Compared: People High in Social Comparison Orientation Make Fewer—Not More—Deontological Decisions in Sacrificial Dilemmas. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2020, , 194855062094729.	2.4	3
11	(How) Do You Regret Killing One to Save Five? Affective and Cognitive Regret Differ After Utilitarian and Deontological Decisions. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2020, 46, 1303-1317.	1.9	8
12	Not all who ponder count costs: Arithmetic reflection predicts utilitarian tendencies, but logical reflection predicts both deontological and utilitarian tendencies. Cognition, 2019, 192, 103995.	1.1	42
13	Mirror, mirror, on the wall, who is deontological? Completing moral dilemmas in front of mirrors increases deontological but not utilitarian response tendencies. Cognition, 2019, 192, 103993.	1.1	22
14	Paradoxical Effects of Power on Moral Thinking: Why Power Both Increases and Decreases Deontological and Utilitarian Moral Decisions. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2019, 10, 110-120.	2.4	24
15	The strategic moral self: Self-presentation shapes moral dilemma judgments. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2018, 74, 24-37.	1.3	71
16	Reflecting on God's will: Reflective processing contributes to religious peoples' deontological dilemma responses. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2018, 79, 301-314.	1.3	25
17	Distrusting Your Moral Compass: The Impact of Distrust Mindsets on Moral Dilemma Processing and Judgments. Social Cognition, 2018, 36, 345-380.	0.5	14
18	Sacrificial utilitarian judgments do reflect concern for the greater good: Clarification via process dissociation and the judgments of philosophers. Cognition, 2018, 179, 241-265.	1.1	114

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Effects of incidental emotions on moral dilemma judgments: An analysis using the CNI model Emotion, 2018, 18, 989-1008.	1.5	43
20	Not just bad actions: Affective concern for bad outcomes contributes to moral condemnation of harm in moral dilemmas Emotion, 2018, 18, 1009-1023.	1.5	52
21	Reading dilemmas in a foreign language reduces both deontological and utilitarian response tendencies Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 321-326.	0.7	46
22	Judging those who judge: Perceivers infer the roles of affect and cognition underpinning others' moral dilemma responses. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2017, 69, 44-58.	1.3	67
23	Deontological Dilemma Response Tendencies and Sensorimotor Representations of Harm to Others. Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 2017, 11, 34.	1.0	22
24	Consequences, norms, and generalized inaction in moral dilemmas: The CNI model of moral decision-making Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2017, 113, 343-376.	2.6	157
25	Parasite stress and pathogen avoidance relate to distinct dimensions of political ideology across 30 nations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2016, 113, 12408-12413.	3.3	179
26	Gender Differences in Responses to Moral Dilemmas. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2015, 41, 696-713.	1.9	212
27	Deontological and utilitarian inclinations in moral decision making: A process dissociation approach Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2013, 104, 216-235.	2.6	438
28	When Does Feeling Moral Actually Make You a Better Person? Conceptual Abstraction Moderates Whether Past Moral Deeds Motivate Consistency or Compensatory Behavior. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2012, 38, 907-919.	1.9	214