

Paul Conway

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9407213/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

28
papers

1,821
citations

516215

16
h-index

552369

26
g-index

38
all docs

38
docs citations

38
times ranked

1581
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Deontological and utilitarian inclinations in moral decision making: A process dissociation approach.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2013, 104, 216-235.	2.6	438
2	When Does Feeling Moral Actually Make You a Better Person? Conceptual Abstraction Moderates Whether Past Moral Deeds Motivate Consistency or Compensatory Behavior. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2012, 38, 907-919.	1.9	214
3	Gender Differences in Responses to Moral Dilemmas. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2015, 41, 696-713.	1.9	212
4	Parasite stress and pathogen avoidance relate to distinct dimensions of political ideology across 30 nations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2016, 113, 12408-12413.	3.3	179
5	Consequences, norms, and generalized inaction in moral dilemmas: The CNI model of moral decision-making.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2017, 113, 343-376.	2.6	157
6	Sacrificial utilitarian judgments do reflect concern for the greater good: Clarification via process dissociation and the judgments of philosophers. Cognition, 2018, 179, 241-265.	1.1	114
7	The strategic moral self: Self-presentation shapes moral dilemma judgments. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2018, 74, 24-37.	1.3	71
8	Judging those who judge: Perceivers infer the roles of affect and cognition underpinning others' moral dilemma responses. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2017, 69, 44-58.	1.3	67
9	Not just bad actions: Affective concern for bad outcomes contributes to moral condemnation of harm in moral dilemmas.. Emotion, 2018, 18, 1009-1023.	1.5	52
10	Reading dilemmas in a foreign language reduces both deontological and utilitarian response tendencies.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 321-326.	0.7	46
11	Effects of incidental emotions on moral dilemma judgments: An analysis using the CNI model.. Emotion, 2018, 18, 989-1008.	1.5	43
12	Not all who ponder count costs: Arithmetic reflection predicts utilitarian tendencies, but logical reflection predicts both deontological and utilitarian tendencies. Cognition, 2019, 192, 103995.	1.1	42
13	Reflecting on God's will: Reflective processing contributes to religious peoples' deontological dilemma responses. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2018, 79, 301-314.	1.3	25
14	Paradoxical Effects of Power on Moral Thinking: Why Power Both Increases and Decreases Deontological and Utilitarian Moral Decisions. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2019, 10, 110-120.	2.4	24
15	Deontological Dilemma Response Tendencies and Sensorimotor Representations of Harm to Others. Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 2017, 11, 34.	1.0	22
16	Mirror, mirror, on the wall, who is deontological? Completing moral dilemmas in front of mirrors increases deontological but not utilitarian response tendencies. Cognition, 2019, 192, 103993.	1.1	22
17	The behavioral ecology of moral dilemmas: Childhood unpredictability, but not harshness, predicts less deontological and utilitarian responding.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2021, 120, 1696-1719.	2.6	20
18	Bound together for God and country: The binding moral foundations link unreflectiveness with religiosity and political conservatism. Personality and Individual Differences, 2020, 155, 109632.	1.6	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Distrusting Your Moral Compass: The Impact of Distrust Mindsets on Moral Dilemma Processing and Judgments. <i>Social Cognition</i> , 2018, 36, 345-380.	0.5	14
20	Empathy regulation, prosociality, and moral judgment. <i>Current Opinion in Psychology</i> , 2022, 44, 188-195.	2.5	14
21	(How) Do You Regret Killing One to Save Five? Affective and Cognitive Regret Differ After Utilitarian and Deontological Decisions. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 2020, 46, 1303-1317.	1.9	8
22	Insecure and insensitive: Avoidant and anxious attachment predict less concern for others in sacrificial moral dilemmas. <i>Personality and Individual Differences</i> , 2022, 185, 111274.	1.6	6
23	Intrinsic religiosity attenuates the negative relationship between social disconnectedness and meaning in life.. <i>Psychology of Religion and Spirituality</i> , 2022, 14, 390-394.	0.9	6
24	Longitudinal studies support the safety and ethics of virtual reality suicide as a research method. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2021, 11, 9653.	1.6	4
25	Kant be Compared: People High in Social Comparison Orientation Make Fewer "Not More" Deontological Decisions in Sacrificial Dilemmas. <i>Social Psychological and Personality Science</i> , 2020, , 194855062094729.	2.4	3
26	Standing up or giving up? Moral foundations mediate political differences in evaluations of BLACK LIVES MATTER and other protests. <i>European Journal of Social Psychology</i> , 2022, 52, 553-569.	1.5	1
27	Deontological and Utilitarian Responses to Sacrificial Dilemmas Predict Disapproval of Sin Stocks. <i>Social Psychology</i> , 2022, 53, 51-62.	0.3	1
28	Testosterone and cortisol do not predict rejecting harm or maximizing outcomes in sacrificial moral dilemmas: A preregistered analysis. <i>Hormones and Behavior</i> , 2021, 136, 105063.	1.0	0