## Peta Ashworth

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9406799/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

279487 264894 1,905 51 23 42 citations h-index g-index papers 53 53 53 1683 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Societal acceptance of wind farms: Analysis of four common themes across Australian case studies. Energy Policy, 2013, 58, 200-208.	4.2	213
2	China's carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS) policy: A critical review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2020, 119, 109601.	8.2	174
3	Public attitudes towards bioplastics – knowledge, perception and end-of-life management. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2019, 151, 104479.	5.3	139
4	The development of Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS) research in China: A bibliometric perspective. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2021, 138, 110521.	8.2	132
5	Public attitudes towards plastics. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2019, 147, 227-235.	5.3	114
6	Rethinking renewable energy targets and electricity sector reform in Indonesia: A private sector perspective. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2019, 101, 231-247.	8.2	114
7	From research to action: Now we have to move on CCS communication. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2010, 4, 426-433.	2.3	75
8	Geothermal technology in Australia: Investigating social acceptance. Energy Policy, 2011, 39, 6301-6307.	4.2	74
9	Developments in public communications on CCS. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2015, 40, 449-458.	2.3	73
10	Public support for energy sources and related technologies: The impact of simple information provision. Energy Policy, 2013, 63, 862-869.	4.2	67
11	What's in store: Lessons from implementing CCS. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2012, 9, 402-409.	2.3	61
12	Doing the Social in Social License. Social Epistemology, 2014, 28, 209-218.	0.7	50
13	Assessing socio-technical mindsets: Public deliberations on carbon capture and storage in the context of energy sources and climate change. Energy Policy, 2013, 53, 149-158.	4.2	45
14	An integrated roadmap of communication activities around carbon capture and storage in Australia and beyond. Energy Procedia, 2009, 1, 4749-4756.	1.8	40
15	Investigating the link between knowledge and perception of CO 2 and CCS: An international study. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2014, 28, 79-87.	2.3	40
16	Enhancing developing countries' transition to a low-carbon electricity sector. Energy, 2021, 220, 119659.	4.5	40
17	Engaging the public on carbon dioxide capture and storage: Does a large group process work?. Energy Procedia, 2009, 1, 4765-4773.	1.8	36
18	Challenges and prospects for negawatt trading in light of recent technological developments. Nature Energy, 2020, 5, 834-841.	19.8	35

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Print media representations of carbon capture utilization and storage (CCUS) technology in China. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2022, 155, 111938.	8.2	33
20	Engaging the public with low-carbon energy technologies: Results from a Scottish large group process. Energy Policy, 2014, 66, 496-506.	4.2	27
21	The demographics of nuclear power: Comparing nuclear experts', scientists' and non-science professionals' views of risks, benefits and values. Energy Research and Social Science, 2018, 46, 29-39.	3.0	26
22	Public Preferences to CCS: How does it Change Across Countries?. Energy Procedia, 2013, 37, 7410-7418.	1.8	23
23	Comparing how the public perceive CCS across Australia and China. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2019, 86, 125-133.	2.3	21
24	What Do Science Communicators Talk About When They Talk About Science Communications? Engaging With the Engagers. Science Communication, 2015, 37, 274-282.	1.8	20
25	The Language of Science and Social Licence to Operate. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 2017, 36, 45-60.	1.2	19
26	Exploring the value proposition for RRI in Australia. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 2019, 6, 332-339.	2.3	19
27	Energymark: Empowering individual Australians to reduce their energy consumption. Energy Policy, 2012, 51, 264-276.	4.2	15
28	The Citizen's Round Table process: canvassing public opinion on energy technologies to mitigate climate change. Climatic Change, 2013, 119, 533-546.	1.7	15
29	The influence of narrative versus statistical evidence on public perception towards CCS in China: Survey results from local residents in Shandong and Henan provinces. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2019, 84, 54-61.	2.3	15
30	CCS in the Media: An Analysis of International Coverage. Energy and Environment, 2012, 23, 283-298.	2.7	13
31	Comparing public attitudes towards energy technologies in Australia and the UK: The role of political ideology. Global Environmental Change, 2021, 70, 102327.	3.6	13
32	Examining the Role of Carbon Capture and Storage Through an Ethical Lens. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2014, 20, 1111-1128.	1.7	12
33	Who's talking CCS?. Energy Procedia, 2011, 4, 6194-6201.	1.8	10
34	Relating Individual Perceptions of Carbon Dioxide to Perceptions of CCS: An International Comparative Study. Energy Procedia, 2013, 37, 7436-7443.	1.8	10
35	Exploring the orientations which characterise the likely public acceptance of low emission energy technologies. Climatic Change, 2011, 107, 549-565.	1.7	9
36	Message framing, environmental behaviour and support for carbon capture and storage in Australia. Energy Research and Social Science, 2021, 73, 101931.	3.0	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Reflections on a process for developing public trust in energy technologies: Follow-up results of the Australian large group process. Energy Procedia, 2011, 4, 6322-6329.	1.8	7
38	ZeroGen new generation power–a framework for engaging stakeholders. Energy Procedia, 2009, 1, 4697-4705.	1.8	6
39	Urban sustainability – a segmentation study of Greater Brisbane, Australia. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2016, 59, 414-435.	2.4	5
40	Critical factors and pathways influencing genetically modified food risk perceptions. Journal of Risk Research, 2019, 22, 44-54.	1.4	5
41	Developing an Interactive Survey Game for Informing Opinions about CCS. Energy Procedia, 2013, 37, 7428-7435.	1.8	4
42	Influence of the Large Earthquake and Nuclear Plant Accident on Perception of CCS. Energy Procedia, 2014, 63, 7133-7140.	1.8	4
43	Towards the Intelligent Grid: A Review of the Literature. , 2008, , 283-307.		2
44	Understanding Australian Attitudes to Low Carbon Energy Technologies. Energy Procedia, 2014, 63, 6991-6998.	1.8	2
45	Understanding the public's response towards  enhanced water recovery' in the Great Artesian Basin (Australia) using the carbon capture and storage process. Hydrogeology Journal, 2020, 28, 427-437.	0.9	2
46	Understanding Stakeholder Attitudes to CCS in Victoria, Australia. Energy Procedia, 2014, 63, 6982-6990.	1.8	1
47	Sidrap: A Study of the Factors That Led to the Development of Indonesia's First Large-Scale Wind Farm. Case Studies in the Environment, 2019, 3, 1-12.	0.4	1
48	Effect of Collaboration and Competition in an Augmented Reality Mobile Game., 2011,, 109-116.		1
49	Collaborative emission reduction in regional Australia: Maine's power. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2009, 6, 542005.	0.2	O
50	Best Practice for Community Engagement: Determining Who is Affected and What is at Stake. , 0, , 391-410.		0
51	First Year of the UQ Sustainable Energy MicroMasters Series: Evaluation of Participation and Achievement. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2019, , 140-145.	1.0	0