## Nicola L Harman

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/937259/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

35 2,134 18 30 g-index

36 36 36 36 4106

docs citations

all docs

times ranked

citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The COMET Handbook: version 1.0. Trials, 2017, 18, 280.	0.7	1,128
2	MOMENT – Management of Otitis Media with Effusion in Cleft Palate: protocol for a systematic review of the literature and identification of a core outcome set using a Delphi survey. Trials, 2013, 14, 70.	0.7	158
3	A patient and public involvement (PPI) toolkit for meaningful and flexible involvement in clinical trials $\hat{a}$ $\in$ " a work in progress. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2016, 2, 15.	1.1	140
4	The Importance of Integration of Stakeholder Views in Core Outcome Set Development: Otitis Media with Effusion in Children with Cleft Palate. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0129514.	1.1	93
5	Increased dietary cholesterol does not increase plasma low density lipoprotein when accompanied by an energy-restricted diet and weight loss. European Journal of Nutrition, 2008, 47, 287-293.	1.8	53
6	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: 4th annual update to a systematic review of core outcome sets for research. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0209869.	1.1	52
7	Choosing important health outcomes for comparative effectiveness research: An updated systematic review and involvement of low and middle income countries. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0190695.	1.1	52
8	The management of Otitis Media with Effusion in children with cleft palate (mOMEnt): a feasibility study and economic evaluation. Health Technology Assessment, 2015, 19, 1-374.	1.3	50
9	Designing and using incentives to support recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a scoping review and a checklist for design. Trials, 2019, 20, 624.	0.7	46
10	Selecting Core Outcomes for Randomised Effectiveness trials In Type 2 diabetes (SCORE-IT): a patient and healthcare professional consensus on a core outcome set for type 2 diabetes. BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care, 2019, 7, e000700.	1.2	42
11	Development of a core outcome set for use in routine orthodontic clinical trials. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2020, 158, 650-660.	0.8	41
12	Timing Of Primary Surgery for cleft palate (TOPS): protocol for a randomised trial of palate surgery at 6 months versus 12 months of age. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029780.	0.8	37
13	Development of an online resource for recruitment research in clinical trials to organise and map current literature. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 533-542.	0.7	34
14	Core outcome sets through the healthcare ecosystem: the case of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Trials, 2020, 21, 570.	0.7	31
15	Trial Steering Committees in randomised controlled trials: A survey of registered clinical trials units to establish current practice and experiences. Clinical Trials, 2015, 12, 664-676.	0.7	24
16	Exploring the role and function of trial steering committees: results of an expert panel meeting. Trials, 2015, 16, 597.	0.7	23
17	Incorporating patients' perspectives into the initial stages of core outcome set development: a rapid review of qualitative studies of type 2 diabetes. BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care, 2019, 7, e000615.	1.2	22
18	Otitis Media with Effusion: Experiences of Children with Cleft Palate and Their Parents. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2015, 52, 23-30.	0.5	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Delivering early care in diabetes evaluation (DECIDE): a protocol for a randomised controlled trial to assess hospital versus home management at diagnosis in childhood diabetes. BMC Pediatrics, 2011, 11, 7.	0.7	15
20	The Predictive Ability of Triglycerides and Waist (Hypertriglyceridemic Waist) in Assessing Metabolic Triad Change in Obese Children and Adolescents. Metabolic Syndrome and Related Disorders, 2013, 11, 336-342.	0.5	14
21	SCORE-IT (Selecting Core Outcomes for Randomised Effectiveness trials In Type 2 diabetes): a systematic review of registered trials. Trials, 2017, 18, 597.	0.7	14
22	Selecting Core Outcomes for Randomised Effectiveness trials In Type 2 Diabetes (SCORE-IT): study protocol for the development of a core outcome set. Trials, 2018, 19, 427.	0.7	12
23	Effectiveness of home or hospital initiation of treatment at diagnosis for children with type 1 diabetes (DECIDE trial): a multicentre individually randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e032317.	0.8	7
24	Can harmonisation of outcomes bridge the translation gap for pre-clinical research? A systematic review of outcomes measured in mouse models of type 2 diabetes. Journal of Translational Medicine, 2020, 18, 468.	1.8	6
25	Core Outcome Set for Research and Clinical Practice in Post COVID-19 Condition (Long COVID): An International Delphi Consensus Study †PC-COS'. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	6
26	Developing an online, searchable database to systematically map and organise current literature on retention research (ORRCA2). Clinical Trials, 2022, 19, 71-80.	0.7	5
27	Development of an online resource for recruitment research in clinical trials (ORRCA). Trials, 2015, 16, .	0.7	4
28	Separation of the principal HDL subclasses by iodixanol ultracentrifugation. Journal of Lipid Research, 2013, 54, 2273-2281.	2.0	3
29	Scout - sarcoidosis outcomes taskforce. A systematic review of outcomes to inform the development of a core outcome set for pulmonary sarcoidosis. Sarcoidosis Vasculitis and Diffuse Lung Diseases, 2021, 38, e2021034.	0.2	2
30	Separation of the principal HDL subclasses by iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation. Atherosclerosis, 2007, 194, 283-284.	0.4	1
31	A cohort examination to establish reporting of the remit and function of Trial Steering Committees in randomised controlled trials. Trials, 2017, 18, 590.	0.7	1
32	Design considerations in the development of a core outcome set. Trials, 2013, 14, .	0.7	0
33	Developing a toolkit for patient and public involvement in a clinical trials unit. Trials, 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
34	Exploring the role and functionality of trial steering committees. Trials, 2015, 16, .	0.7	0
35	A review of the Cochrane COVID-19 Study Register reveals inconsistency in the choice and measurement of SARS-CoV-2 infection outcomes in prevention trials. F1000Research, 0, 10, 426.	0.8	0