## **Åukasz Markiewicz**

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9361801/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

23 papers

311 citations

8 h-index 17 g-index

23 all docs 23 docs citations

23 times ranked 397 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	DOSPERT's Gambling Risk-Taking Propensity Scale Predicts Excessive Stock Trading. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 2013, 14, 65-78.	1.7	74
2	You Only Live Once: Present-hedonistic time perspective predicts risk propensity. Personality and Individual Differences, 2017, 115, 148-153.	2.9	70
3	Many Labs 5: Testing Pre-Data-Collection Peer Review as an Intervention to Increase Replicability. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2020, 3, 309-331.	9.4	42
4	Disposition Effect Among Contrarian and Momentum Investors. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 2012, 13, 214-225.	1.7	20
5	Information Use Differences in Hot and Cold Risk Processing: When Does Information About Probability Count in the Columbia Card Task?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1727.	2.1	12
6	Richard H. Thaler, Cass R. Sunstein – "Impuls. Jak podejmować wÅ,aÅ›ciwe decyzje dotyczÄce zdrowia, dobrobytu i szczęścia". Decyzje, 2013, 2013, .	0.3	11
7	Cheating among children: Temptation, loss framing, and previous cheating. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2020, 33, 151-165.	1.7	10
8	Technical Analysis as a Rational Tool of Decision Making for Professional Traders. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2016, 52, 2756-2771.	3.1	9
9	You Cannot be Partially Pregnant: A Comparison of Divisible and Nondivisible Outcomes in Delay and Probability Discounting Studies. Psychological Record, 2016, 66, 1-8.	0.9	8
10	An explanatory analysis of perceived risk decision weights (perceived-risk attitudes) and perceived benefit decision weights (perceived-benefit attitudes) in risk-value models. Journal of Risk Research, 2020, 23, 739-761.	2.6	8
11	Cheating: One common morality for gains and losses but two components of morality itself. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2020, 33, 166-179.	1.7	7
12	Introducing conjoint analysis method into delayed lotteries studies: its validity and time stability are higher than in adjusting. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 23.	2.1	6
13	Financial Decision Making and Individual Dispositions. , 2020, , 135-166.		6
14	A belief in trend reversal requires access to cognitive resources. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2017, 29, 202-216.	0.9	5
15	Technical analysis gives you courage, but not money-von the relationship between technical analisys usage, overconfidence and investment performance. Argumenta Oeconomica, 2018, 1, 317-344.	0.8	5
16	What Makes Technical Analysis Popular?. Argumenta Oeconomica Cracoviensia, 2015, , 53-66.	0.2	5
17	Confounding dynamic risk taking propensity with a momentum prognostic strategy: the case of the Columbia Card Task (CCT). Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1073.	2.1	4
18	Magnitude effect contributes to the domain specificity in delay discounting. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2020, 33, 323-332.	1.7	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Many Labs 5: Registered Replication of Förster, Liberman, and Kuschel's (2008) Study 1. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2020, 3, 366-376.	9.4	3
20	Conjoint jako metoda pomiaru preferencji odroczonych loterii – komunikat z badań. Decyzje, 2014, 11, 71-100.	0.3	2
21	The dissolution of temporal distance increases risk-taking: experimental evidence. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 16565.	3.3	1
22	Can conjugate prior probability explain the illusion of control?. Decyzje, 2018, 29, 87-113.	0.3	0
23	The role of need for structure in technical analysis and how identifying information in price movements raises traders' confidence. Decyzje, 2020, 2020, .	0.3	0