

# John Tzilivakis

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9341492/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

43  
papers

1,783  
citations

623699

14  
h-index

276858

41  
g-index

47  
all docs

47  
docs citations

47  
times ranked

2118  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	An international database for pesticide risk assessments and management. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA), 2016, 22, 1050-1064.	3.4	1,112
2	An assessment of the energy inputs and greenhouse gas emissions in sugar beet ( <i>Beta vulgaris</i> ) production in the UK. Agricultural Systems, 2005, 85, 101-119.	6.1	165
3	Environmental impacts of farm scenarios according to five assessment methods. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 2007, 118, 327-338.	5.3	115
4	Environmental impact and economic assessment for UK sugar beet production systems. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 2005, 107, 341-358.	5.3	32
5	Development of a Data Set of Pesticide Dissipation Rates in/on Various Plant Matrices for the Pesticide Properties Database (PPDB). Data, 2017, 2, 28.	2.3	31
6	The development and use of farm-level indicators in England. Sustainable Development, 2004, 12, 107-120.	12.5	23
7	Greenhouse gas emissions and energy use in UK-grown short-day strawberry ( <i>Fragaria</i> ) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT <sub>1</sub> /Overlock 10 Tf 50 1.3 21	1.3	21
8	An indicator framework to help maximise potential benefits for ecosystem services and biodiversity from ecological focus areas. Ecological Indicators, 2016, 69, 859-872.	6.3	20
9	Wild Bee Toxicity Data for Pesticide Risk Assessments. Data, 2019, 4, 98.	2.3	20
10	A strategic environmental assessment method for agricultural policy in the UK. Land Use Policy, 1999, 16, 223-234.	5.6	16
11	A prototype framework for assessing risks to soil functions. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2005, 25, 181-195.	9.2	16
12	Adapting to climate change: assessing the vulnerability of ecosystem services in Europe in the context of rural development. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 2015, 20, 547-572.	2.1	16
13	p-EMA (II): evaluating ecological risks of pesticides for a farm-level risk assessment system. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 2003, 23, 75-84.	0.8	15
14	p-EMA (III): overview and application of a software system designed to assess the environmental risk of agricultural pesticides. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 2003, 23, 85-96.	0.8	15
15	Developing an emissions inventory from farm data. Journal of Environmental Management, 1999, 55, 183-197.	7.8	13
16	A framework for practical and effective eco-labeling of food products. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal, 2012, 3, 50-73.	4.1	13
17	Developing practical techniques for quantitative assessment of ecosystem services on farmland. Ecological Indicators, 2019, 106, 105514.	6.3	11
18	The role of the EMA software in integrated crop management and its commercial uptake. Pest Management Science, 2000, 56, 969-973.	3.4	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Carbon accounting tools: are they fit for purpose in the context of arable cropping?. <i>International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability</i> , 2013, 11, 159-175.	3.5	9
20	Potential of feed additives to improve the environmental impact of European livestock farming: a multi-issue analysis. <i>International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability</i> , 2015, 13, 55-68.	3.5	9
21	Farm assurance schemes: can they improve farming standards?. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2008, 110, 1088-1105.	2.9	8
22	A socio-technical experiment with a resource efficient product service system. <i>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</i> , 2021, 166, 105364.	10.8	8
23	DECISION SUPPORT FOR OPTIMISING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN EUROPEAN GREENHOUSES. <i>Acta Horticulturae</i> , 2008, , 803-810.	0.2	7
24	A broad-scale spatial analysis of the environmental benefits of fertiliser closed periods implemented under the Nitrates Directive in Europe. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2021, 299, 113674.	7.8	7
25	Assessing the environmental impact of different crop protection strategies. <i>Agronomy for Sustainable Development</i> , 2004, 24, 67-76.	0.8	7
26	OPTIONS FOR INFORMAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: THE AGRICULTURAL INDUSTRY HIGHLIGHTED. <i>Eco-Management and Auditing</i> , 1997, 4, 22-27.	0.5	6
27	Evaluating a technique used to measure environmental performance within agriculture-case studies. <i>Eco-Management and Auditing</i> , 1998, 5, 126-135.	0.5	6
28	Agricultural climate change mitigation: carbon calculators as a guide for decision making. <i>International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability</i> , 2017, 15, 645-661.	3.5	6
29	Review of the published exposure data to pesticides for residents and bystanders, and for environmental risk assessment: Final report. <i>EFSA Supporting Publications</i> , 2017, 14, 1204E.	0.7	6
30	Identifying integrated options for agricultural climate change mitigation. <i>International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management</i> , 2014, 6, 192-211.	2.9	5
31	Prioritising agri-environment options for greenhouse gas mitigation. <i>International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management</i> , 2017, 9, 104-122.	2.9	5
32	Application of the Danish pesticide load indicator to arable agriculture in the United Kingdom. <i>Journal of Environmental Quality</i> , 2021, 50, 1110-1122.	2.0	5
33	The contribution of UK farm assurance schemes towards desirable environmental policy outcomes. <i>International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability</i> , 2010, 8, 237-249.	3.5	4
34	Spatial analysis of the benefits and burdens of ecological focus areas for water-related ecosystem services vulnerable to climate change in Europe. <i>Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change</i> , 2019, 24, 205-233.	2.1	4
35	A novel technique for identifying environmental outcomes from agricultural practices. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2011, 29, 2-10.	1.8	3
36	Problems of benchmarking greenhouse gas emissions in dairy agriculture. <i>Benchmarking</i> , 2017, 24, 1470-1489.	4.6	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Review of substances/agents that have direct beneficial effect on the environment: mode of action and assessment of efficacy. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2013, 10, 440E.	0.7	2
38	Spatial and temporal variability of greenhouse gas emissions from rural development land use operations. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 2017, 22, 447-467.	2.1	2
39	Integrated farming standards and food eco-labelling. British Food Journal, 2008, 110, 1061-1078.	2.9	2
40	Rural Development Program measures on cultivated land in Europe to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions – regional “hotspots” and priority measures. Carbon Management, 2016, 7, 205-219.	2.4	1
41	Final evidence report as part of preparatory work for the setting of Dietary Reference Values for sodium and chloride – j. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2019, 16, 692E.	0.7	1
42	Integration of environmental assessment indicators into site assessment procedures. Geological Society Engineering Geology Special Publication, 1998, 14, 127-133.	0.2	0
43	Preparatory work to support the re-evaluation of botanically defined feed flavouring additives. EFSA Supporting Publications, 2015, 12, 760E.	0.7	0