## Stacey S. Martin

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9302392/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

471509 477307 30 958 17 29 citations h-index g-index papers 32 32 32 714 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Intensity, Magnitude, Location, and Attenuation in India for Felt Earthquakes since 1762. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2010, 100, 570-584.	2.3	170
2	A Catalog of Felt Intensity Data for 570 Earthquakes in India from 1636 to 2009. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2010, 100, 562-569.	2.3	118
3	The 26 January 2001 M 7.6 Bhuj, India, Earthquake: Observed and Predicted Ground Motions. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2002, 92, 2061-2079.	2.3	84
4	The 26 January 2001 "Republic Day" Earthquake, India. Seismological Research Letters, 2001, 72, 328-335.	1.9	81
5	Ground Motions from the 2015 <i>M</i> <sub>w</sub> Â7.8 Gorkha, Nepal, Earthquake Constrained by a Detailed Assessment of Macroseismic Data. Seismological Research Letters, 2015, 86, 1524-1532.	1.9	78
6	Strong-Motion Observations of the MÂ7.8 Gorkha, Nepal, Earthquake Sequence and Development of the N-SHAKE Strong-Motion Network. Seismological Research Letters, 2015, 86, 1533-1539.	1.9	53
7	Characterizing the Kathmandu Valley sediment response through strong motion recordings of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake sequence. Tectonophysics, 2017, 714-715, 146-157.	2.2	37
8	Preliminary observations from the 3 January 2017, MW 5.6 Manu, Tripura (India) earthquake. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 2017, 148, 173-180.	2.3	30
9	Prolonged Canterbury earthquake sequence linked to widespread weakening of strong crust. Nature Geoscience, 2014, 7, 34-37.	12.9	29
10	Thumbnailâ€Based Questionnaires for the Rapid and Efficient Collection of Macroseismic Data from Global Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters, 2017, 88, 72-81.	1.9	29
11	Seismological, geodetic, macroseismic and historical context of the 2016 Mw 6.7 Tamenglong (Manipur) India earthquake. Tectonophysics, 2016, 688, 36-48.	2.2	25
12	A comparison of observed and predicted ground motions from the 2015 MW7.8 Gorkha, Nepal, earthquake. Natural Hazards, 2016, 84, 1661-1684.	3.4	25
13	Magnitude Estimates of Two Large Aftershocks of the 16 December 1811 New Madrid Earthquake. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2002, 92, 3259-3268.	2.3	24
14	The 21 May 2014 Mw 5.9 Bay of Bengal Earthquake: Macroseismic Data Suggest a High-Stress-Drop Event. Seismological Research Letters, 2015, 86, 369-377.	1.9	21
15	Which Earthquake Accounts Matter?. Seismological Research Letters, 2021, 92, 1069-1084.	1.9	21
16	Reassessment of the 1907 Sumatra "Tsunami Earthquake―Based on Macroseismic, Seismological, and Tsunami Observations, and Modeling. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 2019, 176, 2831-2868.	1.9	19
17	The 19 January 2011 Mw 7.2 Dalbandin Earthquake, Balochistan. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2012, 102, 1810-1819.	2.3	17
18	Intensity Distribution from the 2004 M 9.0 Sumatra-Andaman Earthquake. Seismological Research Letters, 2005, 76, 321-330.	1.9	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A comprehensive assessment of ground motions from two 2016 intra-slab earthquakes in Myanmar. Tectonophysics, 2019, 765, 146-160.	2.2	13
20	Reply to "Comment on †Ground Motions from the 2015MwÂ7.8 Gorkha, Nepal, Earthquake Constrained by a Detailed Assessment of Macroseismic Data' by Stacey S. Martin, Susan E. Hough, and Charleen Hung― by Andrea Tertulliani, Laura Graziani, Corrado Castellano, Alessandra Maramai, and Antonio Rossi: Table 1. Seismological Research Letters, 2016, 87, 957-962.	1.9	11
21	The 1922 Peninsula Malaysia Earthquakes: Rare Intraplate Seismicity within the Sundaland Block in Southeast Asia. Seismological Research Letters, 2020, 91, 2531-2545.	1.9	10
22	The 1868 Hayward Fault, California, Earthquake: Implications for Earthquake Scaling Relations on Partially Creeping Faults. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2015, 105, 2894-2909.	2.3	8
23	A proposed rupture scenario for the 1925 MW 6.5 Santa Barbara, California, earthquake. Tectonophysics, 2018, 747-748, 211-224.	2.2	6
24	The 8 April 1860 Jour de Pâques Earthquake Sequence in Southern Haiti. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2022, 112, 2468-2486.	2.3	6
25	Damage Patterns. Earthquake Spectra, 2002, 18, 67-75.	3.1	5
26	Where was the 31 October 1895 Charleston, Missouri, Earthquake?. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2019, 109, 1479-1497.	2.3	5
27	Reply to "Comment on â€~Which Earthquake Accounts Matter?' by Susan E. Hough and Stacey S. Martinâd by David J. Wald. Seismological Research Letters, 2022, 93, 506-511.	ۥ 1.9	4
28	When Punjab Cried Wolf: How a Rumor Triggered an "Earthquake―in India. Seismological Research Letters, 2021, 92, 3887-3898.	1.9	3
29	Representation matters in earthquake â€ <sup>*</sup> felt reports'. Temblor, 0, , .	0.0	1
30	A media-based assessment of damage and ground motions from the january 26th, 2001M 7.6 Bhuj, India earthquake. Journal of Earth System Science, 2003, 112, 353-373.	1.3	0