Rebecca D Pentz

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9258823/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

79 papers

1,026 citations

643344 15 h-index 30 g-index

79 all docs

79 docs citations

79 times ranked

1600 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Dissemination of validated health literacy videos: A tailored approach. Cancer Medicine, 2022, , .	1.3	2
2	Therapeutic Misconception about Research Procedures: Does a Simple Information Chart Improve Understanding?. Ethics & Luman Research, 2022, 44, 18-25.	0.5	0
3	Improving first-in-human and window-of-opportunity informed consent forms through participant feedback Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 40, 1562-1562.	0.8	O
4	Accounting for the high enrollment of African Americans in Winship Cancer Institute's myeloma clinical trials Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 40, 12016-12016.	0.8	0
5	Prostate Cancer: Community Education and Disparities in Diagnosis and Treatment. Oncologist, 2021, 26, 537-548.	1.9	8
6	Relieving Investigator Angst After an Appropriate But Concerning Ethics Consultation. American Journal of Bioethics, 2021, 21, 102-104.	0.5	0
7	Evaluating the impact of the Patient Preference Assessment Tool on clinicians' recommendations for phase I oncology clinical trials. Psycho-Oncology, 2021, 30, 1739-1744.	1.0	2
8	Misunderstanding immunotherapy: An analysis of provider-patient conversations Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, e18638-e18638.	0.8	O
9	Dissemination of validated health literacy videos: A tailored approach Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, e18505-e18505.	0.8	0
10	Improving consent forms for first-in-human trials through participant feedback Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, e13563-e13563.	0.8	0
11	Reassessing the measurement and presence of therapeutic misconception in a phase 1 setting. Cancer, 2021, 127, 3794-3800.	2.0	4
12	Videos improve patient understanding of chemotherapy terminology in a rural setting. Cancer, 2021, 127, 4015-4021.	2.0	7
13	Physician Communication and Patient Understanding of Molecular Testing Terminology. Oncologist, 2021, 26, 934-940.	1.9	5
14	Understanding immunotherapy terminology: An analysis of providerâ€patient conversations. Immunomedicine, 2021, 1, e1028.	0.7	1
15	The Impact of Genetic Counseling Educational Tools on Patients' Knowledge of Molecular Testing Terminology. Journal of Cancer Education, 2020, 35, 864-870.	0.6	8
16	Why many oncologists fail to share accurate prognoses: They care deeply for their patients. Cancer, 2020, 126, 1163-1165.	2.0	13
17	Ethics in Eye Banking: Understanding Professional Attitudes Toward Industry Changes. Cornea, 2020, 39, 1207-1214.	0.9	6
18	Allocating Scarce Health Care Resources During Pandemics: Making the Case for Patients with Advanced and Metastatic Cancer. Oncologist, 2020, 25, e1586-e1588.	1.9	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Provider Recommendations for Phase I Clinical Trials Within a Shared Decision-Making Model in Phase I Cancer Clinical Trial Discussions. JCO Oncology Practice, 2020, 16, e859-e867.	1.4	1
20	Reply to Helping patients to understand terrifying news: Addressing the inner lives of physicians and extending beyond what we know. Cancer, 2020, 126, 2714-2716.	2.0	3
21	Cancer Research Ethics and COVID-19. Oncologist, 2020, 25, 458-459.	1.9	32
22	Patient and Surrogate Postenrollment Perspectives on Research Using the Exception From Informed Consent: An Integrated Survey. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2020, 76, 343-349.	0.3	5
23	Videos improve patient understanding of misunderstood chemotherapy terms in a rural population Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 7022-7022.	0.8	4
24	Effect of informational charts on patient understanding of nontherapeutic research procedures in phase I trials Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, e24193-e24193.	0.8	1
25	Videos improve patient understanding of misunderstood chemotherapy terminology. Cancer, 2019, 125, 4011-4018.	2.0	18
26	Academic oncology clinicians' understanding of biosimilars and information needed before prescribing. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology, 2019, 11, 175883591881833.	1.4	31
27	Ethical considerations of using a single minor donor for three bone marrow harvests for three HLAâ€matched siblings with primary immunodeficiency. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2019, 66, e27602.	0.8	1
28	Child and Parent Access to Transplant Information and Involvement in Treatment Decision Making. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 2019, 41, 576-591.	0.6	4
29	How will the cost of biosimilars affect patients' willingness to receive them?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, e18338-e18338.	0.8	2
30	Broad Consent for Research on Biospecimens: The Views of Actual Donors at Four U.S. Medical Centers. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2018, 13, 115-124.	0.6	31
31	Patient perspectives on compensation for biospecimen donation. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2018, 9, 77-81.	0.8	6
32	Patient, caregiver and physician perspectives on participating in a thoracic rapid tissue donation program. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 703-710.	1.0	6
33	Caring for Colleagues and Loved Ones With Cancer. American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book / ASCO American Society of Clinical Oncology Meeting, 2018, 38, 903-908.	1.8	1
34	Potential Benefits to Families, Children, and Adolescents, Enrolled in Longitudinal Qualitative Research. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, 2018, 40, 1-7.	0.8	3
35	The impact of genetic counseling on patients' knowledge about tumor genomic profiling Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 1592-1592.	0.8	0
36	Discussing molecular testing in oncology care: Comparing patient and physician information preferences. Cancer, 2017, 123, 1610-1616.	2.0	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Family Strategies to Support Siblings of Pediatric Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Patients. Pediatrics, 2017, 139, .	1.0	14
38	Using Metaphors to Explain Molecular Testing to Cancer Patients. Oncologist, 2017, 22, 445-449.	1.9	9
39	Cancer donor preferences for disposition of their biospecimens after biobank closure. Cancer, 2017, 123, 4648-4652.	2.0	1
40	Does experience matter? Implications for community consultation for research in emergency settings. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2017, 8, 75-81.	0.8	7
41	Reframing Consent for Clinical Research: A Function-Based Approach. American Journal of Bioethics, 2017, 17, 3-11.	0.5	176
42	Impact of individual clinical outcomes on trial participants' perspectives on enrollment in emergency research without consent. Clinical Trials, 2017, 14, 180-186.	0.7	11
43	Patient and Surrogate Views of Community Consultation for Emergency Research. Academic Emergency Medicine, 2017, 24, 1410-1414.	0.8	4
44	Understanding of important chemotherapy terminology by underserved populations Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, e18046-e18046.	0.8	0
45	Biospecimen donors' views about biobank closure Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, TPS6625-TPS6625.	0.8	0
46	Physician communication and patient understanding of molecular testing of tumors Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, e18217-e18217.	0.8	2
47	Referral Patterns and Clinical Outcomes for Transplant-Eligible Lymphoma and Myeloma Patients Evaluated at an Urban County Hospital. Journal of Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2016, 06, .	0.3	3
48	Another look at the informed consent process: The document and the conversation. Cancer, 2016, 122, 352-354.	2.0	4
49	Access to Children's Oncology Group and Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium phase 1 clinical trials: Racial/ethnic dissimilarities in participation. Cancer, 2016, 122, 3207-3214.	2.0	16
50	Important chemotherapy terminology patients find difficult to understand Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, e14024-e14024.	0.8	0
51	When participants state that a phase I trial is intended to benefit them, is this therapeutic misconception?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, e14042-e14042.	0.8	0
52	Description of the types and content of phase 1 clinical trial consent conversations in practice. Clinical Trials, 2015, 12, 567-574.	0.7	13
53	Development and testing of a tool to assess patient preferences for phase I clinical trial participation. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 835-838.	1.0	6
54	Learning From Experience: A Systematic Review of Community Consultation Acceptance Data. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2015, 65, 162-171.e3.	0.3	24

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Establishing a need for the inclusion of patient genetic vernacular in informed consent forms Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, e22234-e22234.	0.8	O
56	Subjects' views on compensation for donating biospecimens Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 11015-11015.	0.8	0
57	Themes reported by families as important when proceeding with pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2014, 61, 1625-1631.	0.8	13
58	Patients' perceptions of Complementary and Alternative Medicine in head and neck cancer: A qualitative, pilot study with clinical implications. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 2014, 20, 213-218.	0.7	14
59	Unmet Needs of Siblings of Pediatric Stem Cell Transplant Recipients. Pediatrics, 2014, 133, e1156-e1162.	1.0	49
60	Meeting the needs of at-home siblings of pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, e21002-e21002.	0.8	0
61	Development of a patient preference assessment tool for cancer patients considering phase I research Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, e17593-e17593.	0.8	0
62	Access to Children's Oncology Group phase I clinical trials: Racial/ethnic dissimilarities in participation Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, e17527-e17527.	0.8	0
63	Code status discussion: Just have one. Cancer, 2013, 119, 1938-1940.	2.0	4
64	Stakeholder perceptions of thoracic rapid tissue donation: AnÂexploratory study. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 99, 35-41.	1.8	11
65	Integrating patients' values into decisions to enroll in phase I trials: A feasibility study Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2013, 31, e20608-e20608.	0.8	0
66	Shared Decision-Making in Pediatric Allogeneic Blood and Marrow Transplantation: What If There Is No Decision to Make?. Oncologist, 2012, 17, 881-885.	1.9	42
67	Communicating About Phase I Trials: Objective Disclosures Are Only A First Step. Oncologist, 2012, 17, 466-468.	1.9	3
68	Recommendations for the Return of Research Results to Study Participants and Guardians: A Report From the Children's Oncology Group. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, 4573-4579.	0.8	31
69	Therapeutic misconception, misestimation, and optimism in participants enrolled in phase 1 trials. Cancer, 2012, 118, 4571-4578.	2.0	119
70	Physicians' ability to correctly identify values important to cancer patients enrolled in phase I clinical trials Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, e13026-e13026.	0.8	0
71	Research biopsies in phase I studies: views and perspectives of participants and investigators. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, 2012, 34, 1-8.	0.8	9
72	Bioethics Training in Uganda: Report on Research and Clinical Ethics Workshops. HEC Forum, 2011, 23, 43-56.	0.6	2

#	Article	IF	CITATION
73	Smoking and Ethics: What Are the Duties of Oncologists?. Oncologist, 2010, 15, 987-993.	1.9	11
74	Racial/ethnic diversity in children's oncology clinical trials. Cancer, 2009, 115, 3808-3816.	2.0	48
75	The Ethical Justification for Minor Sibling Bone Marrow Donation: A Case Study. Oncologist, 2008, 13, 148-151.	1.9	17
76	Healthy sibling donation of G-CSF primed stem cells: A call for research. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2006, 46, 407-408.	0.8	16
77	Research on stored biological samples: Views of African American and White American cancer patients. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2006, 140A, 733-739.	0.7	69
78	Designing an Ethical Policy for Bone Marrow Donation by Minors and Others Lacking Capacity. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 2004, 13, 149-55.	0.5	28
79	Discussion of the do-not-resuscitate order: a pilot study of perceptions of patients with refractory cancer. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2002, 10, 573-578.	1.0	31