Markus Schäfer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9257229/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

361045 182168 2,688 65 20 51 citations h-index g-index papers 69 69 69 3399 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Immunotherapy for Esophageal Cancer: State-of-the Art in 2021. Cancers, 2022, 14, 554.	1.7	20
2	Author's Reply: Cost–Benefit Analysis of an Enhanced Recovery Program for Gastrectomy: A Retrospective Controlled Analysis. World Journal of Surgery, 2022, 46, 971-972.	0.8	0
3	Thoracoscopic Enucleation of a Mid-Esophageal Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor: Key Technical Aspects. Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques Part B, Videoscopy, 2022, 32, .	0.1	O
4	Postoperative decrease of albumin (\hat{l} "Alb) as early predictor of complications after gastrointestinal surgery: a systematic review. Perioperative Medicine (London, England), 2022, 11, 7.	0.6	12
5	External validation of three lymph node ratio-based nomograms predicting survival using an international cohort of patients with resected pancreatic head ductal adenocarcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , .	0.5	2
6	Reply to: Deep insight into lymph node metastasis in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , .	0.5	0
7	Early postoperative decrease of albumin is an independent predictor of major complications after oncological esophagectomy: A multicenter study. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 123, 462-469.	0.8	9
8	Prognostic value of positive histological margins in patients with pancreatic head ductal adenocarcinoma and lymph node involvement: an international multicentric study. Hpb, 2021, 23, 379-386.	0.1	4
9	Esophageal Cancer in Elderly Patients, Current Treatment Options and Outcomes; A Systematic Review and Pooled Analysis. Cancers, 2021, 13, 2104.	1.7	21
10	The "Real RO― A Resection Margin Smaller Than 0.1 cm is Associated with a Poor Prognosis After Oncologic Esophagectomy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7095-7106.	0.7	6
11	ASO Author Reflections: RO Resection After Oncologic Esophagectomy—Cutting on the Edge (0 cm) is Not Enough. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7107-7108.	0.7	O
12	ASO Visual Abstract: The  Real R0': A Resection MarginÂ<Â0.1Âcm is Associated with Poor Prognosis After Oncological Esophagectomy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 497-498.	0.7	1
13	Costâ€Benefit Analysis of an Enhanced Recovery Program for Gastrectomy A Retrospective Controlled Analysis. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 3249-3257.	0.8	6
14	Perioperative nutritional management of patients undergoing pancreatoduodenectomy: an international survey among surgeons. Hpb, 2020, 22, 75-82.	0.1	18
15	18F- FDG PET/CT-derived parameters predict clinical stage and prognosis of esophageal cancer. BMC Medical Imaging, 2020, 20, 7.	1.4	33
16	Tumor response and outcome after reverse treatment for patients with synchronous colorectal liver metastasis: a cohort study. BMC Surgery, 2020, 20, 78.	0.6	8
17	Tumoral Venous Invasion after Distal Pancreatectomy: A Risk Factor for Recurrence. Digestive Surgery, 2019, 36, 317-322.	0.6	0
18	Assessment of Avoidable Readmissions in a Visceral Surgery Department with an Algorithm: Methodology, Analysis and Measures for Improvement. World Journal of Surgery, 2019, 43, 107-116.	0.8	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Impact of staffing a surgical intermediate care unit with a critical care specialist. Swiss Medical Weekly, 2019, 149, w20117.	0.8	О
20	Prognostic role of splenic vessel infiltration in distal pancreatic adenocarcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 859.	0.5	0
21	Locoregional Tumor Extension and Preoperative Smoking are Significant Risk Factors for Early Recurrence After Esophagectomy for Cancer. World Journal of Surgery, 2018, 42, 2209-2217.	0.8	8
22	RA05.08: OPTIMAL RADIATION DOSE IN THE NEOADJUVANT TREATMENT OF ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A COMPARATIVE EUROPEAN STUDY. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2018, 31, 29-29.	0.2	0
23	Preoperative immunonutrition for esophageal cancer. Nutrition Clinique Et Metabolisme, 2018, 32, 178-183.	0.2	2
24	Short-term outcomes after distal pancreatectomy: Laparotomy vs. laparoscopy - A single-center series. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 2017, 13, 1-5.	0.5	9
25	Lower gastrointestinal bleeding—Computed Tomographic Angiography, Colonoscopy or both?. World Journal of Emergency Surgery, 2017, 12, 1.	2.1	74
26	Is postoperative decrease of serum albumin an early predictor of complications after major abdominal surgery? A prospective cohort study in a European centre. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e013966.	0.8	61
27	Sterilization of tumorâ€positive lymph nodes of esophageal cancer by neoâ€adjuvant treatment is associated with worse survival compared to tumorâ€negative lymph nodes treated with surgery first. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 524-532.	0.8	2
28	Normal Diet within Two Postoperative Daysâ€"Realistic or Too Ambitious?. Nutrients, 2017, 9, 1336.	1.7	11
29	Procalcitonin-Guided Antibiotics after Surgery for Peritonitis: A Randomized Controlled Study. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2017, 2017, 1-6.	0.7	11
30	Repair of challenging non-malignant tracheo- or broncho-oesophageal fistulas by extrathoracic muscle flaps. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery, 2017, 51, ezw435.	0.6	5
31	Postoperative Albumin Drop Is a Marker for Surgical Stress and a Predictor for Clinical Outcome: A Pilot Study. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2016, 2016, 1-8.	0.7	125
32	MERCI for Improving Quality of Surgical Care at No Cost: Reply. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 3097-3097.	0.8	0
33	Hypofractionated radiotherapy in pancreatic cancer: Lessons from the past in the era of stereotactic body radiation therapy. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology, 2016, 103, 49-61.	2.0	26
34	Cost–Benefit Analysis of the Implementation of an Enhanced Recovery Program in Liver Surgery. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 2441-2450.	0.8	58
35	Incidence and Risk Factors Related to Symptomatic Venous Thromboembolic Events After Esophagectomy for Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2016, 102, 979-984.	0.7	22
36	When the spleen meets the fetus. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2016, 29, 510-511.	0.7	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Use of the nutritional risk score by surgeons and nutritionists. Clinical Nutrition, 2016, 35, 230-233.	2.3	6
38	Antecolic versus retrocolic duodenoenteric reconstruction after pancreatoduodenectomy. Hpb, 2015, 17, 1156.	0.1	0
39	Prediction of Complications After Pancreaticoduodenectomy. Pancreas, 2015, 44, 1323-1328.	0.5	27
40	External assessment of the Early Mortality Risk Score in patients with adenocarcinoma undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. Hpb, 2015, 17, 605-610.	0.1	6
41	Preoperative nutritional screening by the specialist instead of the nutritional risk score might prevent excess nutrition: a multivariate analysis of nutritional risk factors. Nutrition Journal, 2015, 14, 37.	1.5	8
42	A Novel Approach to Major Surgery: Tracking Its Pathophysiologic Footprints. World Journal of Surgery, 2015, 39, 2641-2651.	0.8	16
43	Future development of gastrointestinal cancer incidence and mortality rates in Switzerland: a tumour registry- and population-based projection up to 2030. Swiss Medical Weekly, 2015, 145, w14188.	0.8	7
44	Impact of Preoperative Risk Factors on Morbidity after Esophagectomy: Is There Room for Improvement?. World Journal of Surgery, 2014, 38, 2882-2890.	0.8	42
45	Cost-effectiveness analysis of immune-modulating nutritional support for gastrointestinal cancer patients. Clinical Nutrition, 2014, 33, 649-654.	2.3	17
46	Giant extra-hepatic thrombosed portal vein aneurysm: a case report and review of the literature. World Journal of Emergency Surgery, 2014, 9, 35.	2.1	12
47	Remains of the day: Biliary complications related to single-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2014, 20, 843.	1.4	35
48	Single-incision versus conventional laparoscopic appendectomy: A case-match study. SAGE Open Medicine, 2014, 2, 205031211452419.	0.7	2
49	Severe Postoperative Complications Adversely Affect Longâ€Term Survival After R1 Resection for Pancreatic Head Adenocarcinoma. World Journal of Surgery, 2013, 37, 1901-1908.	0.8	42
50	Is tumour size an underestimated feature in the current TNM system for malignancies of the pancreatic head?. Hpb, 2013, 15, 872-881.	0.1	17
51	Guidelines for Perioperative Care for Pancreaticoduodenectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS $<$ sup $>$ Â $^{\odot}<$ /sup $>$) Society Recommendations. World Journal of Surgery, 2013, 37, 240-258.	0.8	330
52	Management of delayed major visceral arterial bleeding after pancreatic surgery. Hpb, 2011, 13, 132-138.	0.1	56
53	Bilateral Endoscopic Total Extraperitoneal (TEP) Inguinal Hernia Repair Does not Induce Obstructive Azoospermia: Data of a Retrospective and Prospective Trial. World Journal of Surgery, 2011, 35, 1649-1650.	0.8	1
54	Systematic Review of Delayed Postoperative Hemorrhage after Pancreatic Resection. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2011, 15, 1055-1062.	0.9	133

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Perioperative Nutrition in Abdominal Surgery: Recommendations and Reality. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2011, 2011, 1-8.	0.7	64
56	Fibrin Sealant for Mesh Fixation in Endoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair: Is There Enough Evidence for Its Routine Use?. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2010, 20, 205-212.	0.4	13
57	Martin A. Makary (eds): General Surgery Review (2nd Edition). World Journal of Surgery, 2010, 34, 874-874.	0.8	O
58	Chronic Groin Pain After Open, Transabdominal Preperitoneal and Totally Extraperitoneal Hernia Repair. World Journal of Surgery, 2010, 34, 697-698.	0.8	2
59	The correlation of nutrition risk index, nutrition risk score, and bioimpedance analysis with postoperative complications in patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery. Surgery, 2009, 145, 519-526.	1.0	187
60	Preventing Parastomal Hernia with a Prosthetic Mesh: A Five Year Follow Up of a Randomised Study. World Journal of Surgery, 2009, 33, 122-123.	0.8	47
61	A Metaâ€Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials Assessing the Prophylactic Use of Ceftriaxone. A Study of Wound, Chest and Urinary Infections. World Journal of Surgery, 2009, 33, 2551-2552.	0.8	0
62	Assessment of a novel screening score for nutritional risk in predicting complications in gastro-intestinal surgery. Clinical Nutrition, 2008, 27, 565-570.	2.3	189
63	Assessment of Complications After Pancreatic Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2006, 244, 931-939.	2.1	684
64	Evidence-Based Pancreatic Head Resection for Pancreatic Cancer and Chronic Pancreatitis. Annals of Surgery, 2002, 236, 137-148.	2.1	184
65	Early enteral vs. oral nutrition after Whipple procedure: Study protocol for a multicentric randomized controlled trial (NUTRIWHI trial). Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12, .	1.3	2