

Astrid Brousselle

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9210050/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

82
papers

1,242
citations

430874

18
h-index

454955

30
g-index

86
all docs

86
docs citations

86
times ranked

1426
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	What should evaluation learn from COP 26? Views of evaluation practitioners. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2022, 28, 7-35.	1.8	8
2	A theory-based approach to designing interventions for Planetary Health. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2022, 28, 330-355.	1.8	2
3	Evaluation for planetary health. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2021, 27, 168-183.	1.8	8
4	Designing centralized waiting lists for attachment to a primary care provider: Considerations from a logic analysis. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2021, 89, 101962.	1.6	5
5	Economic Analysis of the Prevention and Control of Nosocomial Infections: Research Protocol. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , 2021, 9, 531624.	2.7	1
6	Youth and parent perceptions on participating in specialized multidisciplinary pain rehabilitation options: A qualitative timeline effect analysis. <i>Canadian Journal of Pain</i> , 2021, 5, 1-21.	1.7	7
7	What really matters in pediatric chronic pain rehabilitation? Results of a multi-stakeholder nominal group technique study. <i>Disability and Rehabilitation</i> , 2020, 42, 1675-1686.	1.8	13
8	Evaluating complex interventions in real context: Logic analysis of a case management program for frequent users of healthcare services. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2020, 79, 101753.	1.6	7
9	Contribution analysis to analyze the effects of the health impact assessment at the local level: A case of urban revitalization. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2020, 79, 101746.	1.6	6
10	Beyond COVID-19: Five commentaries on reimagining governance for future crises and resilience. <i>Canadian Public Administration</i> , 2020, 63, 369-408.	0.9	19
11	Using collaborative logic analysis evaluation to test the program theory of an intensive interdisciplinary pain treatment for youth with pain-related disability. <i>Paediatric and Neonatal Pain</i> , 2020, 2, 113-130.	1.7	6
12	Is It Worth It? A Comparison of an Intensive Interdisciplinary Pain Treatment and a Multimodal Treatment for Youths With Pain-related Disability. <i>Clinical Journal of Pain</i> , 2020, 36, 833-844.	1.9	6
13	Current emergency response in montreal: How does it fit in the services offered to homeless people who use substances?. <i>International Journal of Drug Policy</i> , 2020, 82, 102758.	3.3	5
14	How the design and implementation of centralized waiting lists influence their use and effect on access to healthcare - A realist review. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2020, 124, 787-795.	3.0	12
15	Evaluators in the Anthropocene. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2020, 26, 190-204.	1.8	7
16	Planetary health: countering commercial and corporate power. <i>Lancet Planetary Health</i> , The, 2019, 3, e12-e13.	11.4	7
17	Explaining variation of implementation outcomes of centralized waiting lists for unattached patients. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2019, 33, 563-587.	1.3	7
18	Contribution to healthy places: Risks of equity free health impact assessment. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2019, 73, 138-145.	1.6	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Evaluation of the Effects of Health Impact Assessment (Hia) Practice in Monteregie. International Journal of Global Health, 2019, 1, 1-10.	1.0	1
20	Theory-based evaluations: Framing the existence of a new theory in evaluation and the rise of the 5th generation. Evaluation, 2018, 24, 153-168.	1.8	29
21	A sociogram is worth a thousand words: proposing a method for the visual analysis of narrative data. Qualitative Research, 2018, 18, 70-87.	3.5	11
22	Family Physicians Attaching New Patients From Centralized Waiting Lists: A Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Primary Care and Community Health, 2018, 9, 215013271879594.	2.1	8
23	Area deprivation and attachment to a general practitioner through centralized waiting lists: a cross-sectional study in Quebec, Canada. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2018, 17, 176.	3.5	14
24	Stakeholder Views on Solutions to Improve Health System Performance. Healthcare Policy, 2018, 14, 71-85.	0.6	5
25	Redesigning public health for planetary health. Lancet Planetary Health, The, 2018, 2, e188-e189.	11.4	4
26	Healthcare reforms, inertia polarization and group influence. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1018-1027.	3.0	3
27	Centralized Waiting Lists for Unattached Patients in Primary Care: Learning from an Intervention Implemented in Seven Canadian Provinces. Healthcare Policy, 2018, 13, 65-82.	0.6	11
28	Centralized Waiting Lists for Unattached Patients in Primary Care: Learning from an Intervention Implemented in Seven Canadian Provinces. Healthcare Policy, 2018, 13, 65-82.	0.6	19
29	Conceptualizing 20 years of engaged scholarship: A scoping review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0193201.	2.5	71
30	Linking Evaluation and Spending Reviews: Challenges and Prospects. Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation, 2018, 32, 297-304.	0.5	2
31	Regards sur l'expérience de la Commission de révision permanente des programmes au Québec. Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation, 2018, 32, 394-411.	0.5	0
32	Explaining time elapsed prior to cancer diagnosis: patients' perspectives. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 448.	2.2	23
33	Assessing the performance of centralized waiting lists for patients without a regular family physician using clinical-administrative data. BMC Family Practice, 2017, 18, 1.	2.9	58
34	A comparative analysis of centralized waiting lists for patients without a primary care provider implemented in six Canadian provinces: study protocol. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 60.	2.2	17
35	Investing in a healthy lifestyle strategy: is it worth it?. International Journal of Public Health, 2017, 62, 3-13.	2.3	6
36	Collaborative learning processes in the context of a public health professional development program: a case study. Studies in Continuing Education, 2017, 39, 87-106.	1.9	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	L'analyse de contribution pour évaluer l'impact de la démarche IS sur les processus décisionnels municipaux : un choix méthodologique intéressant ?. <i>Global Health Promotion</i> , 2017, 24, 55-65.	1.3	3
38	Public health for a sustainable future: the need for an engaged ecosocial approach. <i>Saúde Em Debate</i> , 2017, 41, 14-21.	0.5	1
39	Comment évaluer les effets des évaluations d'impact sur la santé : le potentiel de l'analyse de contribution. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2017, 32, 25-45.	0.5	2
40	What are the benefits and risks of using return on investment to defend public health programs?. <i>Preventive Medicine Reports</i> , 2016, 3, 135-138.	1.8	21
41	Using the evaluation process as a lever for improving health and healthcare accessibility: The case of HCV services organization in Quebec. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2016, 55, 134-143.	1.6	3
42	Nurse practitioners, canaries in the mine of primary care reform. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2016, 120, 682-689.	3.0	68
43	Evaluation of the effects of health impact assessment practice at the local level in Monteregie. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2016, 14, 7.	2.8	11
44	Does the Primary Care Experience Influence the Cancer Diagnostic Process?. <i>International Journal of Family Medicine</i> , 2015, 2015, 1-12.	1.2	4
45	Who gets a family physician through centralized waiting lists?. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2015, 16, 10.	2.9	17
46	A process-based framework to guide nurse practitioners integration into primary healthcare teams: results from a logic analysis. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2015, 15, 78.	2.2	62
47	Tool for assessing health and equity impacts of interventions modifying air quality in urban environments. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2015, 53, 1-9.	1.6	17
48	Intégration des services en santé mentale et en toxicomanie : processus de rencontre de deux mondes. <i>Santé Publique</i> , 2015, S1, 137-143.	0.1	0
49	Managing Tensions Between Evaluation and Research. <i>American Journal of Evaluation</i> , 2014, 35, 45-60.	2.1	26
50	Partners for the optimal organisation of the healthcare continuum for high users of health and social services: protocol of a developmental evaluation case study design. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e006991.	1.9	8
51	Addressing equity in interventions to reduce air pollution in urban areas: a systematic review. <i>International Journal of Public Health</i> , 2014, 59, 933-944.	2.3	29
52	Learning reflexively from a health promotion professional development program in Canada. <i>Health Promotion International</i> , 2014, 29, 538-548.	1.8	36
53	Evaluation of the implementation of centralized waiting lists for patients without a family physician and their effects across the province of Quebec. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 117.	6.9	13
54	The economic value of Quebec's water fluoridation program. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Gesundheitswissenschaften</i> , 2013, 21, 523-533.	1.6	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Defining, illustrating and reflecting on logic analysis with an example from a professional development program. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2013, 40, 64-73.	1.6	18
56	How Can Both the Intervention and Its Evaluation Fulfill Health Promotion Principles? An Example From a Professional Development Program. <i>Health Promotion Practice</i> , 2013, 14, 563-571.	1.6	11
57	Evaluation models and evaluation use. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2012, 18, 61-77.	1.8	47
58	Economic evaluation to inform health care decision-making: Promise, pitfalls and a proposal for an alternative path. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2011, 72, 832-839.	3.8	54
59	Program theory evaluation: Logic analysis. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2011, 34, 69-78.	1.6	103
60	LOGIC ANALYSIS: TESTING PROGRAM THEORY TO BETTER EVALUATE COMPLEX INTERVENTIONS. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2011, 26, 61-89.	0.5	9
61	HOW DOES COMPLEXITY IMPACT EVALUATION? AN INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECIAL ISSUE. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2011, 26, v-xx.	0.5	6
62	DISCUSSION: PRACTICE-BASED EVALUATION AS A RESPONSE TO ADDRESS INTERVENTION COMPLEXITY. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2011, 26, 105-113.	0.5	5
63	Integrating services for patients with mental and substance use disorders. <i>Health Care Management Review</i> , 2010, 35, 212-223.	1.4	36
64	Key enhancing factors for integrating services for patients with mental and substance use disorders. <i>Mental Health and Substance Use: Dual Diagnosis</i> , 2010, 3, 203-218.	0.5	11
65	Reliable in their failure: An analysis of healthcare reform policies in public systems. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2010, 95, 144-152.	3.0	24
66	Using Logic Analysis to Evaluate Knowledge Transfer Initiatives. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2009, 15, 165-183.	1.8	25
67	Evaluating Service Organization Models. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2009, 15, 375-401.	1.8	8
68	Use of health care services by patients with co-occurring severe mental illness and substance use disorders. <i>Mental Health and Substance Use: Dual Diagnosis</i> , 2008, 1, 216-227.	0.5	14
69	Evaluating Interventions Aimed at Promoting Information Utilization in Organizations and Systems. <i>Healthcare Policy</i> , 2008, 4, 89-107.	0.6	4
70	Evaluating interventions aimed at promoting information utilization in organizations and systems. <i>Healthcare Policy</i> , 2008, 4, 89-107.	0.6	11
71	Beyond the limitations of best practices: How logic analysis helped reinterpret dual diagnosis guidelines. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2007, 30, 94-104.	1.6	23
72	What Counts is not Falling... but Landing. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2004, 10, 155-173.	1.8	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	How was the UNAIDS drug access initiative implemented in Chile?. Evaluation and Program Planning, 2004, 27, 295-308.	1.6	6
74	Introduction. Positionner lâ€™Ã©valuateur face aux enjeux de lâ€™Ã©valuation. , 0, , 11-23.		1
75	2. Lâ€™Ã©valuation dans le domaine de la santÃ©Â: concepts et mÃ©thodes. , 0, , 49-70.		30
76	3. ModÃ©liser les interventions. , 0, , 71-84.		8
77	10. Lâ€™analyse de lâ€™implantation. , 0, , 237-273.		8
78	4. Lâ€™apprÃ©ciation normative. , 0, , 87-104.		0
79	5. Lâ€™analyse stratÃ©gique. , 0, , 105-115.		0
80	6. Lâ€™analyse logique. , 0, , 117-126.		0
81	8. Lâ€™analyse des effets. , 0, , 173-198.		1
82	9. Lâ€™Ã©valuation Ã©conomique. , 0, , 199-235.		0