

Astrid Brousselle

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9210050/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

82
papers

1,242
citations

430874

18
h-index

454955

30
g-index

86
all docs

86
docs citations

86
times ranked

1426
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Program theory evaluation: Logic analysis. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2011, 34, 69-78.	1.6	103
2	Conceptualizing 20 years of engaged scholarship: A scoping review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0193201.	2.5	71
3	Nurse practitioners, canaries in the mine of primary care reform. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2016, 120, 682-689.	3.0	68
4	A process-based framework to guide nurse practitioners integration into primary healthcare teams: results from a logic analysis. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2015, 15, 78.	2.2	62
5	Assessing the performance of centralized waiting lists for patients without a regular family physician using clinical-administrative data. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2017, 18, 1.	2.9	58
6	Economic evaluation to inform health care decision-making: Promise, pitfalls and a proposal for an alternative path. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2011, 72, 832-839.	3.8	54
7	Evaluation models and evaluation use. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2012, 18, 61-77.	1.8	47
8	Integrating services for patients with mental and substance use disorders. <i>Health Care Management Review</i> , 2010, 35, 212-223.	1.4	36
9	Learning reflexively from a health promotion professional development program in Canada. <i>Health Promotion International</i> , 2014, 29, 538-548.	1.8	36
10	2. L'Évaluation dans le domaine de la santé: concepts et méthodes. , 0, , 49-70.		30
11	Addressing equity in interventions to reduce air pollution in urban areas: a systematic review. <i>International Journal of Public Health</i> , 2014, 59, 933-944.	2.3	29
12	Theory-based evaluations: Framing the existence of a new theory in evaluation and the rise of the 5th generation. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2018, 24, 153-168.	1.8	29
13	Managing Tensions Between Evaluation and Research. <i>American Journal of Evaluation</i> , 2014, 35, 45-60.	2.1	26
14	Using Logic Analysis to Evaluate Knowledge Transfer Initiatives. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2009, 15, 165-183.	1.8	25
15	Reliable in their failure: An analysis of healthcare reform policies in public systems. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2010, 95, 144-152.	3.0	24
16	Beyond the limitations of best practices: How logic analysis helped reinterpret dual diagnosis guidelines. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2007, 30, 94-104.	1.6	23
17	Explaining time elapsed prior to cancer diagnosis: patients' perspectives. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2017, 17, 448.	2.2	23
18	What are the benefits and risks of using return on investment to defend public health programs?. <i>Preventive Medicine Reports</i> , 2016, 3, 135-138.	1.8	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Beyond COVID-19: Five commentaries on reimagining governance for future crises and resilience. Canadian Public Administration, 2020, 63, 369-408.	0.9	19
20	Centralized Waiting Lists for Unattached Patients in Primary Care: Learning from an Intervention Implemented in Seven Canadian Provinces. Healthcare Policy, 2018, 13, 65-82.	0.6	19
21	The economic value of Quebec's water fluoridation program. Zeitschrift Fur Gesundheitswissenschaften, 2013, 21, 523-533.	1.6	18
22	Defining, illustrating and reflecting on logic analysis with an example from a professional development program. Evaluation and Program Planning, 2013, 40, 64-73.	1.6	18
23	Who gets a family physician through centralized waiting lists?. BMC Family Practice, 2015, 16, 10.	2.9	17
24	Tool for assessing health and equity impacts of interventions modifying air quality in urban environments. Evaluation and Program Planning, 2015, 53, 1-9.	1.6	17
25	A comparative analysis of centralized waiting lists for patients without a primary care provider implemented in six Canadian provinces: study protocol. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 60.	2.2	17
26	Use of health care services by patients with co-occurring severe mental illness and substance use disorders. Mental Health and Substance Use: Dual Diagnosis, 2008, 1, 216-227.	0.5	14
27	Area deprivation and attachment to a general practitioner through centralized waiting lists: a cross-sectional study in Quebec, Canada. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2018, 17, 176.	3.5	14
28	Evaluation of the implementation of centralized waiting lists for patients without a family physician and their effects across the province of Quebec. Implementation Science, 2014, 9, 117.	6.9	13
29	What really matters in pediatric chronic pain rehabilitation? Results of a multi-stakeholder nominal group technique study. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2020, 42, 1675-1686.	1.8	13
30	How the design and implementation of centralized waiting lists influence their use and effect on access to healthcare - A realist review. Health Policy, 2020, 124, 787-795.	3.0	12
31	What Counts is not Falling... but Landing. Evaluation, 2004, 10, 155-173.	1.8	11
32	Key enhancing factors for integrating services for patients with mental and substance use disorders. Mental Health and Substance Use: Dual Diagnosis, 2010, 3, 203-218.	0.5	11
33	How Can Both the Intervention and Its Evaluation Fulfill Health Promotion Principles? An Example From a Professional Development Program. Health Promotion Practice, 2013, 14, 563-571.	1.6	11
34	Evaluation of the effects of health impact assessment practice at the local level in Monteregie. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2016, 14, 7.	2.8	11
35	A sociogram is worth a thousand words: proposing a method for the visual analysis of narrative data. Qualitative Research, 2018, 18, 70-87.	3.5	11
36	Centralized Waiting Lists for Unattached Patients in Primary Care: Learning from an Intervention Implemented in Seven Canadian Provinces. Healthcare Policy, 2018, 13, 65-82.	0.6	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Evaluating interventions aimed at promoting information utilization in organizations and systems. <i>Healthcare Policy</i> , 2008, 4, 89-107.	0.6	11
38	LOGIC ANALYSIS: TESTING PROGRAM THEORY TO BETTER EVALUATE COMPLEX INTERVENTIONS. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2011, 26, 61-89.	0.5	9
39	Evaluating Service Organization Models. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2009, 15, 375-401.	1.8	8
40	Partners for the optimal organisation of the healthcare continuum for high users of health and social services: protocol of a developmental evaluation case study design. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e006991.	1.9	8
41	Family Physicians Attaching New Patients From Centralized Waiting Lists: A Cross-Sectional Study. <i>Journal of Primary Care and Community Health</i> , 2018, 9, 215013271879594.	2.1	8
42	Evaluation for planetary health. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2021, 27, 168-183.	1.8	8
43	3. Modéliser les interventions. , 0, , 71-84.		8
44	10. L'analyse de l'implantation. , 0, , 237-273.		8
45	What should evaluation learn from COP 26? Views of evaluation practitioners. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2022, 28, 7-35.	1.8	8
46	Planetary health: countering commercial and corporate power. <i>Lancet Planetary Health</i> , The, 2019, 3, e12-e13.	11.4	7
47	Explaining variation of implementation outcomes of centralized waiting lists for unattached patients. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2019, 33, 563-587.	1.3	7
48	Evaluating complex interventions in real context: Logic analysis of a case management program for frequent users of healthcare services. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2020, 79, 101753.	1.6	7
49	Evaluators in the Anthropocene. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2020, 26, 190-204.	1.8	7
50	Youth and parent perceptions on participating in specialized multidisciplinary pain rehabilitation options: A qualitative timeline effect analysis. <i>Canadian Journal of Pain</i> , 2021, 5, 1-21.	1.7	7
51	How was the UNAIDS drug access initiative implemented in Chile?. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2004, 27, 295-308.	1.6	6
52	Investing in a healthy lifestyle strategy: is it worth it?. <i>International Journal of Public Health</i> , 2017, 62, 3-13.	2.3	6
53	Contribution analysis to analyze the effects of the health impact assessment at the local level: A case of urban revitalization. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2020, 79, 101746.	1.6	6
54	Using collaborative logic analysis evaluation to test the program theory of an intensive interdisciplinary pain treatment for youth with pain-related disability. <i>Paediatric and Neonatal Pain</i> , 2020, 2, 113-130.	1.7	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Is It Worth It? A Comparison of an Intensive Interdisciplinary Pain Treatment and a Multimodal Treatment for Youths With Pain-related Disability. <i>Clinical Journal of Pain</i> , 2020, 36, 833-844.	1.9	6
56	HOW DOES COMPLEXITY IMPACT EVALUATION? AN INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECIAL ISSUE. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2011, 26, v-xx.	0.5	6
57	Stakeholder Views on Solutions to Improve Health System Performance. <i>Healthcare Policy</i> , 2018, 14, 71-85.	0.6	5
58	Contribution to healthy places: Risks of equity free health impact assessment. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2019, 73, 138-145.	1.6	5
59	Current emergency response in montreal: How does it fit in the services offered to homeless people who use substances?. <i>International Journal of Drug Policy</i> , 2020, 82, 102758.	3.3	5
60	Designing centralized waiting lists for attachment to a primary care provider: Considerations from a logic analysis. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2021, 89, 101962.	1.6	5
61	DISCUSSION: PRACTICE-BASED EVALUATION AS A RESPONSE TO ADRESS INTERVENTION COMPLEXITY. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2011, 26, 105-113.	0.5	5
62	Does the Primary Care Experience Influence the Cancer Diagnostic Process?. <i>International Journal of Family Medicine</i> , 2015, 2015, 1-12.	1.2	4
63	Redesigning public health for planetary health. <i>Lancet Planetary Health</i> , The, 2018, 2, e188-e189.	11.4	4
64	Evaluating Interventions Aimed at Promoting Information Utilization in Organizations and Systems. <i>Healthcare Policy</i> , 2008, 4, 89-107.	0.6	4
65	Using the evaluation process as a lever for improving health and healthcare accessibility: The case of HCV services organization in Quebec. <i>Evaluation and Program Planning</i> , 2016, 55, 134-143.	1.6	3
66	L'analyse de contribution pour évaluer l'impact de la démarche IS sur les processus décisionnels municipaux : un choix méthodologique intéressant ?. <i>Global Health Promotion</i> , 2017, 24, 55-65.	1.3	3
67	Healthcare reforms, inertia polarization and group influence. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2018, 122, 1018-1027.	3.0	3
68	Collaborative learning processes in the context of a public health professional development program: a case study. <i>Studies in Continuing Education</i> , 2017, 39, 87-106.	1.9	2
69	Comment évaluer les effets des évaluations d'impact sur la santé : le potentiel de l'analyse de contribution. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2017, 32, 25-45.	0.5	2
70	Linking Evaluation and Spending Reviews: Challenges and Prospects. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2018, 32, 297-304.	0.5	2
71	A theory-based approach to designing interventions for Planetary Health. <i>Evaluation</i> , 2022, 28, 330-355.	1.8	2
72	Public health for a sustainable future: the need for an engaged ecosocial approach. <i>Saúde Em Debate</i> , 2017, 41, 14-21.	0.5	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Economic Analysis of the Prevention and Control of Nosocomial Infections: Research Protocol. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , 2021, 9, 531624.	2.7	1
74	Introduction. Positionner l'Évaluateur face aux enjeux de l'évaluation. , 0, , 11-23.		1
75	Evaluation of the Effects of Health Impact Assessment (Hia) Practice in Monteregie. <i>International Journal of Global Health</i> , 2019, 1, 1-10.	1.0	1
76	8. L'analyse des effets. , 0, , 173-198.		1
77	Intégration des services en santé mentale et en toxicomanie : processus de rencontre de deux mondes. <i>Sante Publique</i> , 2015, S1, 137-143.	0.1	0
78	Regards sur l'expérience de la Commission de révision permanente des programmes au Québec. <i>Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation</i> , 2018, 32, 394-411.	0.5	0
79	4. L'appréciation normative. , 0, , 87-104.		0
80	5. L'analyse stratégique. , 0, , 105-115.		0
81	6. L'analyse logique. , 0, , 117-126.		0
82	9. L'évaluation économique. , 0, , 199-235.		0