Deborah Mascalzoni

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9171197/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

50 2,920 23 papers citations h-index

55 55 5471 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

49

g-index

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A catalog of genetic loci associated with kidney function from analyses of a million individuals. Nature Genetics, 2019, 51, 957-972.	9.4	549
2	Target genes, variants, tissues and transcriptional pathways influencing human serum urate levels. Nature Genetics, 2019, 51, 1459-1474.	9.4	251
3	From patients to partners: participant-centric initiatives in biomedical research. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2012, 13, 371-376.	7.7	250
4	Dynamic Consent: a potential solution to some of the challenges of modern biomedical research. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 4.	1.0	223
5	Genome-wide analyses identify a role for SLC17A4 and AADAT in thyroid hormone regulation. Nature Communications, 2018, 9, 4455.	5.8	181
6	Genome-wide association meta-analyses and fine-mapping elucidate pathways influencing albuminuria. Nature Communications, 2019, 10, 4130.	5.8	133
7	Recommendations for Improving the Quality of Rare Disease Registries. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2018, 15, 1644.	1.2	116
8	International Charter of principles for sharing bio-specimens and data. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2015, 23, 721-728.	1.4	112
9	Large-scale genome-wide analysis identifies genetic variants associated with cardiac structure and function. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2017, 127, 1798-1812.	3.9	106
10	Informed Consent in the Genomics Era. PLoS Medicine, 2008, 5, e192.	3.9	81
11	Global Public Perceptions of Genomic Data Sharing: What Shapes the Willingness to Donate DNA and Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752.	2.6	76
11	Global Public Perceptions of Genomic Data Sharing: What Shapes the Willingness to Donate DNA and Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752. †You should at least ask'. The expectations, hopes and fears of rare disease patients on large-scale data and biomaterial sharing for genomics research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1403-1408.	2.6	76
	Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752. †You should at least ask'. The expectations, hopes and fears of rare disease patients on large-scale data and biomaterial sharing for genomics research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24,		
12	Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752. †You should at least ask'. The expectations, hopes and fears of rare disease patients on large-scale data and biomaterial sharing for genomics research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1403-1408. The GDPR and the research exemption: considerations on the necessary safeguards for research	1.4	70
12	Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752. †You should at least ask'. The expectations, hopes and fears of rare disease patients on large-scale data and biomaterial sharing for genomics research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1403-1408. The GDPR and the research exemption: considerations on the necessary safeguards for research biobanks. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 27, 1159-1167. The Cooperative Health Research in South Tyrol (CHRIS) study: rationale, objectives, and preliminary	1.4	70
12 13 14	Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752. †You should at least ask'. The expectations, hopes and fears of rare disease patients on large-scale data and biomaterial sharing for genomics research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1403-1408. The GDPR and the research exemption: considerations on the necessary safeguards for research biobanks. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 27, 1159-1167. The Cooperative Health Research in South Tyrol (CHRIS) study: rationale, objectives, and preliminary results. Journal of Translational Medicine, 2015, 13, 348. The genetic study of three population microisolates in South Tyrol (MICROS): study design and	1.4 1.4 1.8	70 70 63
12 13 14	Health Data?. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 107, 743-752. †You should at least ask'. The expectations, hopes and fears of rare disease patients on large-scale data and biomaterial sharing for genomics research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1403-1408. The GDPR and the research exemption: considerations on the necessary safeguards for research biobanks. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 27, 1159-1167. The Cooperative Health Research in South Tyrol (CHRIS) study: rationale, objectives, and preliminary results. Journal of Translational Medicine, 2015, 13, 348. The genetic study of three population microisolates in South Tyrol (MICROS): study design and epidemiological perspectives. BMC Medical Genetics, 2007, 8, 29.	1.4 1.4 1.8	70 70 63 56

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Demonstrating trustworthiness when collecting and sharing genomic data: public views across 22 countries. Genome Medicine, 2021, 13, 92.	3.6	39
20	Collaboration to Understand Complex Diseases. Hypertension, 2016, 67, 681-687.	1.3	36
21	The case for open science: rare diseases. JAMIA Open, 2020, 3, 472-486.	1.0	33
22	Comparison of participant information and informed consent forms of five European studies in genetic isolated populations. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2010, 18, 296-302.	1.4	31
23	Are Requirements to Deposit Data in Research Repositories Compatible With the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 332.	2.0	27
24	Rare disease research: Breaking the privacy barrier. Applied & Translational Genomics, 2014, 3, 23-29.	2.1	26
25	Feedback of Individual Genetic Results to Research Participants: Is It Feasible in Europe?. Biopreservation and Biobanking, 2016, 14, 241-248.	0.5	24
26	Practical Barriers and Ethical Challenges in Genetic Data Sharing. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2014, 11, 8383-8398.	1.2	20
27	Rare diseases and now rare data?. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2013, 14, 372-372.	7.7	19
28	Data in question: A survey of European biobank professionals on ethical, legal and societal challenges of biobank research. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0221496.	1.1	18
29	The RDâ€Connect Genomeâ€Phenome Analysis Platform: Accelerating diagnosis, research, and gene discovery for rare diseases. Human Mutation, 2022, , .	1.1	18
30	Differential and shared genetic effects on kidney function between diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. Communications Biology, 2022, 5, .	2.0	17
31	The commercial genetic testing landscape for Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2021, 92, 107-111.	1.1	16
32	How to responsibly acknowledge research work in the era of big data and biobanks: ethical aspects of the Bioresource Research Impact Factor (BRIF). Journal of Community Genetics, 2018, 9, 169-176.	0.5	15
33	Compound heterozygous SZT2 mutations in two siblings with early-onset epilepsy, intellectual disability and macrocephaly. Seizure: the Journal of the British Epilepsy Association, 2019, 66, 81-85.	0.9	14
34	Preferences of the Public for Sharing Health Data: Discrete Choice Experiment. JMIR Medical Informatics, 2021, 9, e29614.	1.3	11
35	Consenting in Population Genomics as an Open Communication Process. Studies in Ethics, Law, and Technology, 2009, 3, .	0.3	10
36	The Role of Solidarity(-ies) in Rare Diseases Research. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 2017, 1031, 589-604.	0.8	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Return of genomic results does not motivate intentÂtoÂparticipate in research for all: Perspectives across 22 countries. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, 1120-1129.	1.1	8
38	Appropriate Safeguards and Article 89 of the GDPR: Considerations for Biobank, Databank and Genetic Research. Frontiers in Genetics, 2022, 13, 719317.	1.1	8
39	What ethical approaches are used by scientists when sharing health data? An interview study. BMC Medical Ethics, 2022, 23, 41.	1.0	7
40	Task matters - challenging the motor system allows distinguishing unaffected Parkin mutation carriers from mutation-free controls. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 2021, 86, 101-104.	1,1	6
41	Balancing scientific interests and the rights of participants in designing a recall by genotype study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 1146-1157.	1.4	6
42	Return of research results (RoRR) to the healthy CHRIS cohort: designing a policy with the participants. Journal of Community Genetics, 2021, 12, 577-592.	0.5	6
43	Governance mechanisms for sharing of health data: An approach towards selecting attributes for complex discrete choice experiment studies. Technology in Society, 2021, 66, 101625.	4.8	6
44	Italian public's views on sharing genetic information and medical information: findings from the †Your DNA, Your Say' study. Wellcome Open Research, 2021, 6, 180.	0.9	4
45	Prospective epidemiological, molecular, and genetic characterization of a novel coronavirus disease in the Val Venosta/Vinschgau: the CHRIS COVID-19 study protocol. Pathogens and Global Health, 2022, 116, 128-136.	1.0	4
46	Legal issues in governing genetic biobanks: the Italian framework as a case study for the implications for citizen's health through public-private initiatives. Journal of Community Genetics, 2018, 9, 177-190.	0.5	3
47	Ethics Law and Governance of Biobanking: A Very Complex Normative Puzzle. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology, 2015, , 1-14.	0.2	3
48	Digital Biomarkers in Psychiatric Research: Data Protection Qualifications in a Complex Ecosystem. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 0, 13, .	1.3	3
49	Governance of Access in Biobanking: The Case of Telethon Network of Genetic Biobanks. Biopreservation and Biobanking, 2021, 19, 483-492.	0.5	1
50	Ethical, legal and social/societal implications (ELSI) of recall-by-genotype (RbG) and genotype-driven-research (GDR) approaches: a scoping review. European Journal of Human Genetics, 0, , .	1.4	1

4