

Esther Chan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9164013/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

10
papers

112
citations

1477746

6
h-index

1588620

8
g-index

11
all docs

11
docs citations

11
times ranked

89
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Are the religious suspicious of science? Investigating religiosity, religious context, and orientations towards science. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2018, 27, 967-984.	1.6	40
2	Moral Schemas in Articulation and Intuition: How Religious People Evaluate Human Reproductive Genetic Technologies. <i>Sociological Forum</i> , 2017, 32, 277-297.	0.6	17
3	Narrating and Navigating Authorities: Evangelical and Mainline Protestant Interpretations of the Bible and Science. <i>Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion</i> , 2016, 55, 54-69.	0.9	14
4	Sociocultural determinants of US women's ethical views on various fertility treatments. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2017, 35, 669-677.	1.1	12
5	Motivating Civic Engagement: In-Group versus Out-Group Service Orientations among Mexican Americans in Religious and Nonreligious Organizations. <i>Sociology of Religion</i> , 2013, 74, 370-391.	0.4	10
6	Perceptions of Science Education among African American and White Evangelicals: A Texas Case Study. <i>Review of Religious Research</i> , 2015, 57, 131-148.	0.5	9
7	Does diversity include me? Colorblindness and racial triangulation among Asian Americans on two college campuses. <i>Ethnic and Racial Studies</i> , 2020, 43, 2217-2235.	1.5	7
8	Racial and Ethnic Differences in the Utilization of Prayer and Clergy Counseling by Infertile US Women Desiring Pregnancy. <i>Journal of Religion and Health</i> , 2018, 57, 2230-2240.	0.8	2
9	Fertilizing morality: How religiosity and orientations toward science shape the morality, immorality, and amorality of reproductive technologies. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2021, , 096366252110359.	1.6	1
10	Complementarianism as Doctrine and Governance: Narratives on Women's Leadership among Second-Generation Asian Americans. <i>Review of Religious Research</i> , 2015, 57, 435-452.	0.5	0